1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE,
8	joint with the
9	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM
10	and the
11	COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
12	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
13	WASHINGTON, D.C.
14	
15	
16	
17	DEPOSITION OF: PHILIP REEKER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Saturday, October 26, 2019
23	Washington, D.C.
24	
25	

1	The deposition in the above matter was held in Room HVC-304,
2	Capitol Visitor Center, commencing at 11:03 a.m.
3	Present: Representatives Schiff, Swalwell, Heck, and Wenstrup.
4	Also Present: Representatives Engel, Connolly, Maloney, Lynch,
5	Raskin, Malinowski, Meadows, and Perry.
6	

:	Appearances:
	For the PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE:
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
١	
I	
ı	
١	
1	
j	
ı	
	For the COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM:
i	

1	
2	For the COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS:
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	For PHILIP REEKER:
9	MARGARET E. DAUM, PARTNER
10	SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS LLP
11	2550 M STREET, NW
12	WASHINGTON DC 20037

THE CHAIRMAN: Good morning, Ambassador Reeker, and welcome to 1 2 the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence which, along with the Foreign Affairs and Oversight Committees, is conducting this 3 investigation as part of the official impeachment inquiry of the House 4 of Representatives. Today's deposition is being conducted as part of 5 the impeachment inquiry. 6 In light of attempts by the State Department and the 7 administration to direct you not to cooperate with the inquiry, the 8 committee had no choice but to compel your appearance today. We thank 9 you for complying with a duly authorized congressional subpoena as 10 other former and current officials from across the Federal Government 11 have done. 12 Ambassador Reeker is the Acting Assistant Secretary of European 13 and Eurasian Affairs and has held this position since earlier this year. 14 Ambassador Reeker joined the Foreign Service in 1992 and has served 15 with distinction in various positions through his long career in public 16 service. 17

Ambassador Reeker, we are grateful your being here and we thank you for your service. We look forward to your testimony today, including your knowledge of and involvement in key policy discussions, meetings, and decisions on Ukraine that relate directly to areas under investigation by the committees. This includes developments related to the recall of Ambassador Yovanovitch, the President's July 25th, 2019, call with Ukrainian President Zelensky, as well as the documentary record that has come to light about efforts before and after

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- the call to get the Ukrainians to announces publicly investigations
- into two areas President Trump asked Zelensky to pursue, the Bidens
- and Burisma and the conspiracy theory about Ukraine's purported
- 4 interference in the 2016 U.S. elections.
- We'll also have questions about the Department's response to the
- 6 impeachment inquiry, including the committee's subpoena, which the
- 7 Department continues to defy, despite the fact that we know it has
- 8 already collected significant documentary evidence that goes to the
- 9 heart of our inquiry.
- 10 Finally, to restate what I and others have emphasized in other
- interviews, Congress will not tolerate any reprisal, threat of
- reprisal, or attempt to retaliate against any government official for
- testifying before Congress, including you or any of your colleagues.
- 14 It is disturbing that the State Department, in coordination with the
- White House, has sought to prohibit Department employees from
- 16 cooperating with the inquiry and with Congress and have tried to limit
- 17 what people can say.
- This is unacceptable. Thankfully, consummate public servants,
- 19 like you, have demonstrated remarkable courage in coming forward to
- 20 testify and tell the truth.
- Before I turn to committee counsel to begin the interview, I
- invite the ranking member, in his absence, a minority member of the
- 23 Foreign Affairs or Oversight Committee, to make any opening remarks.
- MR. MEADOWS: Thank you, Chairman Schiff.
- Ambassador Reeker, thank you for being here.

- 1 Certainly as we look to today's hearing, it is my understanding,
- 2 Mr. Chairman, that this is, I guess, a joint deposition. Is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: It is of the character I described in my opening
- 5 statement.
- 6 MR. MEADOWS: All right. And so as a joint deposition, one of
- 7 the concerns I have, as you know, you and I had a very respectful
- 8 conversation as it relates to the rules, and access to the deposition
- 9 transcripts is a key component. It is my understanding that you and
- your staff have access to the deposition transcripts currently. And
- under the rules, maybe I will quote it here, under paragraph 9 in the
- rules it says, the chair and the ranking minority member shall be
- provided with a copy of the transcripts of the deposition at the same
- 14 time.
- 15 And so, Mr. Chairman --
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry.
- MR. MEADOWS: That's all right.
- So, Mr. Chairman, in light of the rules, I think it's critically
- important that if we're going to have fair and equal access and follow
- the rules, that those deposition transcripts be provided to the
- 21 minority at the same time.
- And to date, it has been very laborious, I think, in a best case
- scenario, and impossible in a worse case scenario, to have access to
- 24 those transcripts.
- And so I would just bring to the chairman's attention the rules,

- and, respectfully, and I mean that respectfully, let's look and see
- if we can have an accommodation as it relates to the deposition.
- I just find it very difficult to have sat in over 60 hours of
- 4 transcribed interviews and then have it be very difficult for me to
- go back and compare notes or refresh my memory when I've actually been
- 6 in the depositions.
- 7 I'm not saying wide access to those outside of the three
- 8 committees of jurisdiction, but certainly the three committees of
- 9 jurisdiction. And under the guidelines that you set forth in your
- opening statement, I think that would be appropriate.
- I respectfully yield back.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think the gentleman.
- My understanding is that under the rules the chairman and ranking
- member, so myself and Mr. Nunes, have access to the transcript in
- 15 electronic form. All Members of the three committees have access to
- transcripts in hard copy, but they are not allowed to take the hard
- 17 copy out of the spaces.
- We don't print numerous copies because the very first transcript
- that the minority was allowed to print was then leaked to a conservative
- 20 newspaper in very short order.
- The point of doing the depositions in closed session -- and as
- you know, there are almost 50 Republican Members entitled to
- 23 participate -- is so that witnesses are not influenced by the testimony
- of others. When transcripts are released, as the transcript of
- 25 Mr. Volker's testimony was, it obviously undermines the integrity of

- the investigation and we're trying to prevent that.
- 2 MR. MEADOWS: And undermining the integrity of the investigation
- 3 is certainly not what I'm suggesting.
- And so with that, this is either a joint deposition or it's not.
- 5 The House rules are very clear. You know full well that this rule was
- actually not put in place for this type of hearing as much as it was
- 7 what I call the Issa rule. You were very familiar with that during
- 8 the Benghazi hearings. It was put in place under a Republican
- 9 majority, primarily because of the classified nature of those and the
- desire of Congressman Issa to be part of that.
- 11 I'm not asking for a printed copy. I've not seen a printed copy
- of any transcript. But what I am asking is, is that we're allowed with
- our staff to go in and review those depositions as we prepare for further
- 14 witnesses. I think that would certainly be in keeping with the rules.
- 15 I think it is in spirit with the rules.
- My understanding is right now is that we can set up a time and
- 17 have your staff come in and supervise that. That's not laid out in
- 18 the rules, Mr. Chairman.
- And again, I think when we look at this, it's paragraph 9,
- 20 paragraph 10. I'm just saying respectfully, let's find a way to make
- an accommodation. And I'm not asking you to rule right now. Certainly
- we can have a further discussion off-line.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And let's have that further discussion off-line
- so we don't have to use up the witness' time.
- MR. MEADOWS: I'll yield back.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll see if we can reach an accommodation.
- Again, I'm happy to entertain any accommodation that doesn't expose
- 3 us to the same risk of what happened with the first transcript that
- 4 was made available.
- And of course we have a problem with people willy-nilly ignoring
- the House rules as we saw when some of your colleagues came into the
- 7 SCIF the other day.
- 8 MR. MEADOWS: Certainly the chairman and this ranking member are
- 9 both committed to keeping the rules. And so I guess in that spirit
- is why I'm making the respectful appeal.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I thank you.
- I will now turn it over to Mr. Goldman to begin the deposition.
- MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 This is a deposition of Ambassador Philip Reeker conducted by the
- 15 House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to the
- impeachment inquiry announced by the Speaker of the House on September
- 17 24th.
- As you know, Ambassador Reeker, the committees had to adjust our
- schedule due to the memorial events the past two days in honor of our
- dear colleague, Representative Elijah Cummings. And we appreciate
- your flexibility in accommodating our schedule in order to conduct this
- 22 deposition on a weekend.
- Ambassador Reeker, if you can now please state your full name and
- 24 spell your last name it for the record.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: My name is Philip Thomas Reeker,

- 1 R-e-e-k-e-r.
- 2 MR. GOLDMAN: And if you just want to pull the microphone in a
- 3 way that makes you comfortable that you just speak and it goes into
- 4 the microphone. Thank you.
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Got it.
- 6 MR. GOLDMAN: Along with other proceedings in furtherance of the
- 7 inquiry to date, this deposition is part of a joint investigation led
- 8 by the Intelligence Committee in coordination with the Committee on
- 9 Foreign Affairs and Oversight and Reform. In the room today are
- majority staff and minority staff from all three committees, and this
- will be a staff-led deposition. Members, of course, may ask questions
- during their allotted time as has been the case in every deposition
- 13 since the inception of this investigation.
- My name is Daniel Goldman. I'm the director of investigations
- for the HPSCI majority staff. And I want to thank you again for coming
- in today.
- 17 Let me do some brief introductions. To my right Nicholas
- 18 Mitchell. He is the senior investigative counsel for the Intelligence
- 19 Committee. Mr. Mitchell and I will be conducting most of the interview
- 20 for the majority.
- 21 And I'll now let my counterparts from the minority staff introduce
- 22 themselves?
- MR. CASTOR: Steve Castor with the Republican staff of the
- 24 Oversight Committee.

MR. GOLDMAN: This deposition will be conducted entirely at the unclassified level. However, the deposition is being conducted in HPSCI secure spaces and in the presence of staff with appropriate security clearances. We also understand that your attorney has her security clearance as well.

It is the committee's expectation that neither questions asked of you nor answers provided by you will require discussion of any information that is currently or at any point could be properly classified under Executive Order 13526. You are reminded that EO 13526 states that, quote, "In no case shall information be classified, continue to be maintained as classified, or fail to be declassified," unquote, for the purpose of concealing any violations of law or preventing embarrassment of any person or entity.

If any of our questions can only be answered with classified information, please inform us of that before you answer the question and we can adjust accordingly.

Today's deposition is not being taken in executive session, but because of the sensitive and confidential nature of some of the topics and materials that will be discussed, access to the transcript of the deposition will be limited to the three committees in attendance.

Under the House deposition rules, no Member of Congress nor any staff member can discuss the substance of the testimony that you provide

- today. You and your attorney will have an opportunity to review the
- transcript of today's deposition?
- Before we begin, I'd like to go over some ground rules. We will
- be following the House regulations for depositions, which we have
- 5 previously provided to your counsel. The deposition will proceed as
- 6 follow. The majority will be given 1 hour to ask questions, then the
- 7 minority will be given 1 hour to ask questions. Thereafter, we will
- 8 alternate back and forth between majority and minority in 45-minute
- 9 rounds until questioning is complete. We will take periodic breaks,
- but if you need a break at any time, please just let us know.
- 11 Under the House deposition rules, counsel for other persons or
- government agencies may not attend. You are permitted to have an
- attorney present during this deposition. And I see that you have
- 14 brought a personal attorney.
- At this time, if counsel could please state her appearance for
- 16 the record?
- MS. DAUM: Margaret Daum, Squire Patton Boggs, for Ambassador
- 18 Reeker.
- 19 MR. GOLDMAN: There is a stenographer taking down everything that
- is said here today in order to make a written record of the deposition.
- 21 For that record to be clear, please wait until each question is
- completed before you begin your answer and we will try to wait until
- you finish your response before asking you the next question. The
- stenographer cannot record nonverbal answers, such as shaking your
- 25 head, so it is important that you answer each question with an audible

- verbal answer.
- We ask that you give complete replies to questions based on your
- best recollection. If a question is unclear or you are uncertain in
- 4 your response, please let us know. And if do not know the answer to
- 5 a question or cannot remember, simply say so.
- You may only refuse to answer a question to preserve a privilege
- 7 that is recognized by the committee. If you refuse or object to a
- 8 question -- refuse to answer or object to a question on the basis of
- 9 privilege, staff may either proceed with the deposition or seek a ruling
- 10 from the chairman on an objection. If the chair overrules any such
- objection, you are required to answer the question.
- Finally, you are reminded that it is unlawful to deliberately
- provide false information to Members of Congress or staff. It is
- imperative that you not only answer our questions truthfully, but that
- you give full and complete answers to all questions asked of you.
- Omissions may also be considered as false statements.
- As this deposition is under oath, Ambassador Reeker, would you
- please now stand and raise your right hand to be sworn?
- Do you swear that your testimony here today will be the whole truth
- and nothing but the truth?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do.
- MR. GOLDMAN: Let the record reflect that the witness has been
- 23 sworn.
- And you may be seated?
- Ambassador Reeker, if you have an opening statement or your

- attorney has any matters to discuss before we proceed, now is the time.
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I have no opening statement.
- MR. GOLDMAN: All right. Then I will recognize myself for 60
- 4 minutes.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 7 Q Ambassador Reeker, before we get into the substance of
- 8 today's deposition, we would like to just briefly go through your
- 9 background.
- 10 As we understand, you joined the Foreign Service in 1992. And
- can you describe for us, generally speaking, some of your foreign posts
- and your more recent positions?
- A Sure. I joined in 1992 after finishing graduate school.
- 14 Actually went through the Foreign Service process and joined with the
- 15 United States Information Agency, which at that time was separate.
- 16 My first assignment was to Budapest, Hungary, where I was the
- 17 assistant information officer or press attache. I moved on then to
- be the public affairs officer at the U.S. Embassy in Skopje, what is
- 19 now North Macedonia.
- 20 From there, I went back to Washington to become the director of
- 21 the press office, the Office of Press Relations at the Department of
- 22 State, and subsequently became the deputy spokesman, first under
- 23 Secretary of State Albright and then through the transition for 3 more
- years under Secretary of State Powell.
- 25 From there, I went back overseas, returning to Budapest as the

- Deputy Chief of Mission from 2004 to 2007. From 2007 to 2008, I served,
- 2 in Baghdad at
- the U.S. Embassy there, as the Counselor for Public Affairs to
- 4 Ambassador Ryan Crocker, working very closely with Ambassador Crocker
- and General David Petraeus on the so-called surge in that period in
- 6 Iraq.
- 7 I was then nominated to be the United States Ambassador to again
- what is now called North Macedonia, confirmed by the Senate, and arrived
- 9 at post in September 2008.
- After a normal 3-year tour as Ambassador, in 2011 I was asked to
- 11 come back and become the Deputy Assistant Secretary in the Bureau of
- 12 European and Eurasian Affairs covering the Balkans, South Central
- Europe, as it's known, and then later expanded to include Central Europe
- 14 and the office of Holocaust Initiatives.
- And then after that assignment I followed , who had been
- assigned to Italy, to become counsel general in Milan. I served there
- from 2014 to 2017, at which time I moved. I had been asked to become
- the civilian deputy commander of the United States European Command,
- the U.S. military forces in Europe, serving as deputy to General Curtis
- Scapparotti, who was at that time the commander of U.S. European
- 21 Command, based in Stuttgart, and was serving in that capacity as his
- civilian deputy and foreign policy advisor until in late January I was
- 23 approached or called by the Department upon the sudden resignation of
- the Assistant Secretary for European Affairs, Wess Mitchell.
- 25 Secretary Pompeo asked me to come back to Washington to take over

- running the Bureau of European Affairs after Wess had departed.
- So I -- formally I came back on the 18th of March to be able to
- be here for the NATO 70th anniversary ministerial, and then I was
- 4 semi-dual-hatted. My technical assignment at European Command ended
- 5 at the 26th of May, I believe, but I travel about 50 percent of the
- 6 time back and forth to our various posts.
- We have, as you know, 50 countries in the European -- under the
- 8 European Bureau. That includes 49 missions, including NATO, the
- 9 European Union, the OSCE, and 28 consulates or constituent posts as
- well. Back here in Washington we have about 300 employees under the
- 11 Bureau of European Affairs. I have seven deputy assistant
- secretaries, a principal deputy who came on in August and then six more
- deputy assistant secretaries, and as I said, about 300 staff here.
- Overseas, the Bureau has about 11,500 personnel for which I'm broadly
- 15 responsible.
- Q Thank you for that background. We are going to focus
- 17 primarily on your time as Acting Assistant Secretary in 2019.
- A And if I may clarify, I think it's at least worth noting for
- 19 the record, I am formally assigned as the Principal Deputy Assistant
- 20 Secretary in the Bureau and in that capacity serve as Acting Assistant
- 21 Secretary since I'm not confirmed.
- Q Understood.
- Are you -- prior to testifying here today, did you have
- 24 any -- you, yourself, have any conversations with anyone at the State
- 25 Department about your testimony?

- 1 A The fact that I was doing it?
- Q Let's start with the fact -- the fact that you were asked
- 3 and whether or not you should testify.
- A I let my supervisor, my boss, the Under Secretary, David
- 5 Hale, know, as well as the Office of the Legal Advisor and my principal
- 6 deputy know. I think I sent an email the night that I received the
- 7 request to testify. One of my deputies had already testified, George
- 8 Kent. And I certainly didn't keep it a secret that I'd been asked
- 9 to -- requested to give a deposition as the email stated. And
- 10 originally the request was for Wednesday.
- 11 Q Did anyone, other than in formal letters to you, did anyone
- 12 discourage you from testifying?
- 13 A No, sir.
- Q Did you have any discussions with anyone else about the
- 15 substance of your testimony?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q Are you aware that the committees have subpoenaed the
- 18 Department for documents related to this investigation?
- 19 A I do understand that from the press reporting, yes.
- 20 Q Only from the press reporting?
- A Yeah, to the best -- well, I know we were -- when this began,
- I was traveling in Italy on official travel. And I recall that there
- was -- we needed to at least review files for documents relevant to
- this, and I understood that my emails were reviewed automatically.
- Q Were you asked to collect any documents related to the

- subject of this investigation?
- 2 A There was a general request. And so, since I was traveling,
- 3 my assistant went through files. And I really didn't have anything
- 4 relevant. Everything I had was in email.
- Q Okay. And I see you do have a binder of materials here today.
- 6 Can you just generally describe what's in that binder?
- 7 A It's my emails.
- 9 A Not all of them obviously, because I get upwards of 300 or
- 10 400 a day. But it's emails that I thought may be relevant to help me
- 11 trace and recall.
- Q And just for the record, it's a 4-inch binder, it appears
- that it's quite full of perhaps a couple hundred pages of documents.
- 14 Is that accurate?
- 15 A Yes, that's accurate.
- 16 Q And you are aware of --
- 17 MR. MEADOWS: 3-inch binder.
- 18 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 19 Q -- are you not, that the Department has not provided the
- committees with any documents pursuant to the subpoena. Is that right?
- 21 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 22 O Have you had any conversations with anyone at the State
- 23 Department about whether the Department should --
- 24 A No, sir.
- Q -- produce any documents?

- 1 A No, sir.
- Q Okay. But you've reviewed the relevant emails prior to
- 3 testifying here today?
- A Well, I've tried to review to the best -- I have a fairly
- fulsome job. And so in between, in preparing what was initially a short
- 6 period and then with a couple of extra days, I did try to go through
- 7 emails just to try to be able to recall and track the general timeline
- 8 of things that I believe you may be interested in.
- 9 Q Okay. And that review, I assume, has helped you prepare for
- 10 your interview today.
- 11 A I think so, yes.
- 12 Q Your testimony today.
- A I may be able to refer to some of them.
- Q So just so you're aware, because we don't have those
- documents and don't have any documents, we may be asking a lot of
- questions just to get some of the basics and the foundation. So it
- may seem basic, it may seem redundant, but we ask your indulgence as
- we try to determine the facts here.
- In addition to emails, did you have any electronic
- communications, such as WhatsApp messages, related to the topic of the
- 21 investigation?
- 22 A I do have some -- a few pages of WhatsApp, I guess you
- could -- would that be call a transcript? -- WhatsApp things which I
- converted to, as we're required to do, converted to archives -- I'm
- not very technically inclined here -- and sent to my email. So -- and

- 1 I think those -- I reviewed those.
- Q And generally speaking, who were those WhatsApp messages
- 3 with?
- A I think I have four people with whom they are relevant.
- 5 Masha Yovanovitch. Let me just check to be accurate here. Sorry, I'm
- 6 being a terrible witness. I apologize. Masha Yovanovitch, Bill
- 7 Taylor, George Kent, and Kurt Volker.
- Q All right. So you said that in January you were asked by
- 9 Secretary Pompeo to return to D.C. to take on this new role. Is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A Specifically, I can't actually find in my calendar the
- specific day, but I had been in Washington for a chief of mission
- conference. I was invited to join the European Bureau's chief of
- mission conference in my capacity as the civilian deputy at European
- 15 Command where the focus was on trying to integrate defense and
- diplomacy, our State, DOD, EUCOM, EUR, the European Bureau work. So
- 17 I was back for that. Returned to Stuttgart.
- And it was several days later. I think it was close to the very
- end of January, Wess Mitchell had suddenly announced his resignation
- 20 as Assistant Secretary. And I received a call from him saying: Sorry
- I didn't tell you this before. And then he suggested I was going to
- get another phone call because a new idea had come up. And I received
- that call from the Counselor of the State Department, Ulrich Brechbuhl,
- 24 who talked to me for a little while and said they were interested in
- 25 having me come back to take over for Wess.

- Q Could you just move your microphone a little closer to your
- 2 mouth?
- 3 A I'm sorry.
- Q You can pull it even closer to you just so you're comfortable.
- 5 It's a large room.
- A Lapel mikes or something. That's better. Is that all
- 7 right? Sorry.
- 8 So he suggested that I come back to Washington. In fact, I was
- 9 scheduled, I think, to come back for something EUCOM related. And I
- 10 did return to D.C. then. I left -- I flew on the 6th of February and
- on the 7th and 8th I had a variety of meetings, including with Wess
- Mitchell, with Counselor Brechbuhl, and ultimately a short meeting with
- 13 Secretary of State Pompeo. They asked me if I would do this. That
- was their desire, that my new assignment would be to come back and do
- 15 that.
- And so then I returned to Stuttgart on the 9th of February. The
- 17 Secretary had suggested that I join him, along with Wess, who was of
- course still in his capacity as Assistant Secretary, on the trip to
- 19 Central Europe that they took immediately after.
- 20 So I went back to Stuttgart, arriving on the 10th of February,
- changed my suitcase and then went to Budapest to join the Secretary's
- party on the 11th. We were in Budapest, Bratislava, then Warsaw.
- 23 Stopped in Brussels later that week. And then the Secretary visited
- Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland, and I accompanied on that trip. And then
- I went from there back to Munich actually on the -- I think it was the

- 1 15th of February -- for the Munich security conference in my existing
- job as EUCOM deputy commander.
- Q You mentioned a trip, part of that European swing was to
- 4 Warsaw. What was in Warsaw? Do you recall?
- 5 A In Warsaw there was the Ministerial on the Future of the
- 6 Middle East and bilateral meetings. I think we were there two nights
- 7 as I recall.
- 8 Q Were you aware that Rudy Giuliani attended that conference?
- 9 A I do recall hearing somebody mention that Rudy Giuliani was
- in town. I do recall that. It had no particular significance to me
- or to the conference site. But I do recall that I never saw him or
- 12 met him.
- Q How did you hear that he was there? Who told you, do you
- 14 recall?
- 15 A I just remember hearing it in the -- it's possible I may have
- read it in the press, but I do recall hearing that.
- 17 O Do you know if he met with any employees of the State
- 18 Department?
- 19 A I do not know.
- 20 O When you were asked to take the job, what did you know about
- 21 Ukraine?
- A Well, I mean, I followed some developments in Ukraine. I
- think I had visited there twice in my life. The first time, in my very
- 24 first assignment, I went to Kyiv, it must have been 1995, newly
- independent country from the Soviet Union of course after the collapse

- of the Soviet Union. I think that was when the President at that time
- 2 made the first visit to independent Ukraine by a U.S. President. I
- just worked on the press support.
- And then I accompanied Secretary Albright in my capacity as
- 5 spokesman, deputy spokesman. I think I must have been Acting at that
- 6 point. So traveled with her.
- 7 I was, of course, aware of the Russian -- the general current
- 8 events in Ukraine and then the Russian, shall we say, invasion,
- 9 attempted annexation of Crimea in 2014, our efforts to support Ukraine.
- I was aware of the general policy. It wasn't particularly
- relevant to my working in Italy, although we often focused on the
- sanctions that the United States put in place, helping to explain those
- and encourage the support, because the European Union also had put
- sanctions in place against Russia because of their invasion of Ukraine,
- their occupation of Crimea, and the war that they had started in the
- 16 Donbas in the eastern part of Ukraine.
- And, you know, the extensive programs we've had, supported and
- funded by Congress, to help the Ukrainians over time in terms of their
- 19 reforms and development.
- 20 And then at EUCOM I was familiar with Ukraine generally.
- 21 Obviously EUCOM had a role there in terms of some of the military support
- that we were providing. I knew the Ambassador, Masha Yovanovitch. I
- 23 knew the previous Ambassador. And that was part of my job at EUCOM,
- 24 was to maintain a liaison there.
- Q Did you have -- what was your relationship with Ambassador

- 1 Yovanovitch like?
- 2 A I've know Masha for, I think -- she's been in the Foreign
- 3 Service longer than I, but, you know, probably 20 years. We both have
- 4 served in the broad -- broadly same region. When I was nominated and
- 5 came for my confirmation hearing, we were on the same panel. She was
- 6 being confirmed for Armenia, to be Ambassador to Armenia. She had
- already been, I believe, Ambassador to Kyrgyzstan. And so we were on
- the same panel. We knew each other. We're friends, colleagues.
- Q And what was her general reputation as a Foreign Service
- 10 officer?
- 11 A Outstanding. I mean, she's one of the Foreign Service great
- leaders. Outstanding diplomat, very precise, very -- very
- professional, considered an excellent mentor, you know, a good leader.
- 14 And this was, of course, Ukraine was her third ambassadorship. We had
- served together in the European Bureau when I was Deputy Assistant
- 16 Secretary for the Balkans and in Central Europe. She was another one
- of the DAS's. I think she had the Nordic and Baltic portfolio at that
- 18 time. And then she became the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary
- and Acting for a period toward the end of my time in the Bureau.
- Q Had you ever heard any complaints within the State Department
- about the job that she was doing as Ambassador of Ukraine?
- A No, sir. And at European Command she had an excellent
- reputation. The commander found her extremely professional and worked
- 24 closely with her.
- Q Soon after your official start date in this role as the Acting

- Assistant Secretary, I'm sure you're aware there became a lot of or
- there was a lot of publicity related to her and her role in Ukraine
- toward the end of March. I assume -- you became aware of that as well
- 4 at the end of March, right?
- 5 A Yes, sir. I arrived late in the evening of the 17th of March
- from Stuttgart. Went home to my apartment and reported to work in the
- 7 European Bureau on the 18th.
- One of the first tasks that I had at hand, because my
- 9 responsibility largely in sort of shepherding this bureau, the large
- bureau I described to you, is making sure the personnel issues at the
- top level are handled and the -- Masha was coming to the end of her
- 3-year tour and we needed to find a new candidate, the chief of mission
- process, the usual Foreign Service process, which had been conducted
- 14 and identified a candidate for nomination.
- That candidate had been redirected to a different job and so there
- was then an opening. And one of my first tasks was to work within the
- Bureau and the bureaucracy to try to identify candidates to
- submit -- you know, there is a standard process for this -- to submit
- then to what's known as the Deputies Committee that then selects the
- Department's candidate, which then goes on to become, after the
- 21 appropriate vetting, et cetera, become a nominee.
- So we were focused on that. There were two posts that needed
- 23 quickly to get new candidates.
- Q Were you aware that she had been asked to stay a little longer
- 25 than her usual tour?

I was aware that that had been considered, because when the 1 candidate, the person that was -- had been selected and was working 2 towards, you know, submitting the necessary papers for nomination was 3 4 revectored, I had had conversations with Masha about -- you know, timing of confirmation is always a challenge. And I believe Under 5 Secretary Hale had approached her about whether, you know, was she able 6 7 to stay. We go through this a lot with a number of our ambassadors in posts. 8 Some have onward assignments, some of them are retiring, some of them 9 have personal reasons. Others, when there's a gap because of, you 10 know, a slow confirmation process we try to see if we can have them 11 12 carry on. We have a number of posts right now, for instance, that are covered by the deputies in the capacity as Charge d'Affaires pending 13 confirmation of that. 14 So I know that she had been approached as to the possibility of 15 that certainly in the earlier period. 16 Was there anything unusual about the reassignment of the 17 other candidate that you are aware of? 18 Look, let me just be very candid, I was that candidate. So 19 I had been approached by Assistant Secretary Mitchell as he was doing 20 the annual chief of mission process, as we call it, and was I interested 21 22 in any of the jobs that were open or coming open. To be honest, I was ambivalent because I was extremely happy at 23 European Command. It was a 3-year assignment and I was just finishing 24 25 the first year of it.

1 Worked out reasonably well. The commute was 2 3 tolerable. But Wess was quite eager and so encouraged me to consider the 4 Ukraine job. And ultimately I was -- I was the candidate and I had 5 gotten as far, December 21st, I recall, just before the Christmas 6 weekend, I got word that the White House had approved moving forward 7 with potential nomination, which means they send you hundreds of pages 8 of documents to fill out. 9 And I did not do that over Christmas and got through the holiday. 10 And then as I was doing -- starting to work on those forms, and in fact 11 when Wess Mitchell called me, I got a message, you know, Assistant 12 Secretary Mitchell wants to call you. I said, oh, he's calling to say, 13 where do you stand on those forms? And they weren't done, of course. 14 And that's when he said, you know, we have this other -- we would like 15 you to do this instead. So --16 You said that Wess Mitchell's resignation was somewhat 17 sudden or surprising. Why did you frame it that way? 18 I mean, I knew Wess. Wess is a friend, a colleague I have 19 known him even prior to his time as Assistant Secretary. And we had 20 a good rapport. I made sure that he and the commander were well knitted 21 up in terms of the task at hand, that is the integration of State and 22 DOD, diplomacy and defense. And he had expressed a number of times 23

he's got young children and that maybe he was coming toward the end.

So it wasn't a complete surprise that he chose to do that, but

24

- it was unexpected, I guess is the better word. Obviously it was his
- 2 decision.
- Q But it wasn't from -- as far as you knew, there was no policy
- 4 or other reason that he --
- A Not that I'm aware of. He always told me he was -- you know,
- 6 again, little kids and more time with the family.
- Q Are you -- what happened related to Ambassador Yovanovitch
- after you assumed duty, the duties of this job on March 18th?
- 9 A So that week, of course, there came this sort of avalanche
- of very, very negative press stories. There was a public prosecutor
- in Ukraine who was alleging things about the Ambassador. Ukraine was
- in a highly politicized period prior to their Presidential election.
- I had actually been there in my EUCOM capacity, further to the
- question you started and we got partially through it in terms of any
- 15 experience I had with Ukraine. I had visited there once with General
- 16 Scaparrotti for a ship visit, the USS Mount Whitney, that paid a port
- call in Odessa, probably in the summer, late summer of 2018.
- And then in February when I already had -- knew that I had been
- 19 revectored, I similarly took a trip down for another U.S. ship visit
- in Odessa and talked to Masha at that time. And we'd had a little
- conversation about what was she doing, what were her plans. And in
- fact one of the conversations we had was she expressed an interest in
- 23 possibly succeeding me at EUCOM, because obviously that job was now
- 24 suddenly coming open.
- And so with her tour ending, you know, in the coming months, in

- the summer of 2019, I was quite enthused about that. In fact the commander at EUCOM was also quite enthused about that opportunity.
- 3 So then this storm of, as I literally arrived in the first days,
- all of these stories were coming out, lots of press inquiries to the
- 5 European Bureau press office, to the Department as a whole, allegations
- of all kinds of what seemed to me very outlandish and unrealistic
- 7 stories were coming out about this.
- And it, you know, became kind of one of these media frenzies, lots
- of efforts to figure out where is this coming from, what is this about,
- and the press operation generating, of course, trying to generate
- 11 responses to the queries from press.
- 12 Q Was there internal discussion within the State Department
- about the allegations themselves against her?
- A I discussed with -- primarily with the Counselor, Ulrich
- Brechbuhl, this, and also with David Hale, the Under Secretary, who
- is, of course, responsible for all of the -- the Under Secretary for
- 17 Political or Policy Affairs and oversees all of the geographic bureaus.
- And Ulrich did say: Any idea where this is coming from, what this
- is about? We started sort of trying to look into that, talking to the
- 20 post, of course to Masha. My deputy for Eastern Europe, which includes
- 21 Ukraine, George Kent, who is a real expert on the whole region actually,
- as well as the Caucasus that he covers, and he had been the Deputy Chief
- of Mission under Masha Yovanovitch until a year prior when he came back
- to be the DAS, he kind of led and coordinated a look into that.
- I did understand from Ulrich that there had been, I think a year

- previously or sometime in 2018, a letter from Congressman Sessions
- which had criticized Ambassador Yovanovitch and accused her of being
- partisan, to which Ulrich said they had never found anything to suggest
- 4 any foundation to those allegations.
- And he had thought after that that perhaps that had sort of ended,
- 6 but clearly this was coming back again. And I believe some of the press
- 7 coverage in March referred to that letter from Congressman Sessions.
- 8 And in fact, it was -- the letter was released with sort of some not
- 9 so great blacking out of the name, but it was clearly that letter from
- 10 2018.
- 11 Q Did the Department determine whether or not any of the
- allegations that came out about Ambassador Yovanovitch at the end of
- 13 March had any merit?
- 14 A The general -- not even general -- the view was there was
- never any proof, was one word that was used, there was no documentation
- to suggest this. Ultimately -- and I don't have the full timeline
- 17 right in front of me. Ultimately, the Prosecutor, who had alleged that
- she as Ambassador had given him a do-not-prosecute list, he ultimately
- 19 recanted that. There was never anything to suggest this.
- 20 And I think certainly the Counselor underscored that. And I
- think efforts were made at his level and with the support of the
- 22 Secretary to push back on some of these journalists and their reporting,
- to simply ask: Where are you getting this? On what basis are you
- writing this or tweeting this? Because, of course, this is common now,
- 25 some tweet comes out and then is retweeted with no basis at all and

- 1 it generates more questions.
- 2 And some of them were really not just highly, highly inaccurate
- and inflammatory, but threatening also to Ambassador Yovanovitch. And
- 4 this affects not only, you know, our policy, our standing, the work
- of the embassy, which was, you know, extremely busy.
- It's a large mission with a lot of very hardworking people working
- on programs to fight corruption, to promote economic reforms, to work
- 8 on energy diversification, to promote antitrust, and try to help
- 9 Ukraine emerge from the oligarchical system that has kept them far from
- their potential, to help them pursue their clearly Western orientation,
- with obviously fighting literally a hot war on their eastern front from
- 12 Russia.
- All that work is vitally important. That was key to our policy
- and that was being certainly distracted from. In fact, because the
- 15 Prosecutor had put out these nasty allegations, these untrue
- allegations about the Ambassador, and he was known to be close to
- 17 President Poroshenko, on my third day -- in fact, I guess it would be
- technically my fourth day -- Thursday, March 21st, with the advice of
- my Ukraine folks, we called in -- the Ambassador was not available,
- 20 but -- the Deputy Chief of Mission of the Ukrainian Embassy here in
- 21 Washington, we called her in to deliver a stern demarche, saying, this
- was unacceptable, to have Government of Ukraine figures maligning our
- 23 Ambassador in this way.
- Q Who met with the Ukrainian official?
- 25 A I did, as the --

- 1 Q Just you?
- A Well, I'm sure I would have had staff with me from the
- 3 Ukraine --
- 4 Q You were the highest ranking --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q -- Department official?
- 7 A Yes.
- Q You mentioned something about there being follow-on press
- 9 related to these allegations. Do you know who was amplifying these
- allegations and accusations in the media around this time?
- 11 A I mean, you can look at all those media reports and they quote
- a number of people. There was -- Mr. Giuliani, of course, was one of
- the main voices of this and he, himself, was on air. There was Mr.
- diGenova, I recall, who was also there and a stream of press reports
- which then, you know, they sort of feed on each other. And that really
- 16 was lasting that whole week, and through the next week we continued
- to be bombarded with this. And I was pushing for responses, what we
- were going to say about this in terms of the pushing back, defending
- 19 our Ambassador and our mission there.
- Q Who did you have those conversations with?
- THE CHAIRMAN: Could I before we -- before you answer that. What
- was the Ukrainian response when you did that demarche with the Ukrainian
- counterparts to raise these concerns?
- 24 A The Deputy Chief of Mission was mortified. And she was a
- young diplomat who did report that immediately back. And I believe

- the President himself or certainly his staff in Ukraine offered some
- apologies and tried to tone things down on the Ukrainian side. The
- Ambassador, who returned, I think, a day or 2 later, called me. I was
- in the midst of just sort of meeting these people, because, as I said,
- it was literally my first week on the job, and he came in to underscore
- that, you know, this was nasty politics in Ukraine and, you know, they
- 7 valued the Ambassador, they valued the U.S. relationship, and all that
- the United States was doing to support Ukraine's progress and their
- 9 efforts to defend themselves against the Russia aggression.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: And this was relayed to you by the Ukrainian
- 11 Ambassador to the United States?
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, he came in, he followed up the
- demarche. He was not available when we called. The standard
- 14 procedure would have been to call him in. He was, I think, traveling
- on the West Coast or someplace and that's why his deputy came to receive
- the demarche and our stern concerns about this. And then I had a
- 17 meeting with him at some point.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And what's the Ambassador's name?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He's now gone. I can probably find it.
- 20 Chalyy, Ambassador Chalyy, C-h-a-l-y-y.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And was it Ambassador Chalyy who informed you that
- it would have been then President Poroshenko had expressed his regret
- 23 as well.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think we heard that through our embassy in
- 25 Kyiv.

BY MR. GOLDMAN:

2	Q You said that Rudy Giuliani was one of the foremost
3	individuals discussing these allegations in public. Were you aware
4	of whether the President had commented or tweeted about these
5	allegations for the article in the paper?
6	A I do not recall specifically. I know his son Donald Trump,
7	Jr., retweeted or tweeted something to that the same thing with some,
8	in my view, incorrect statements about Ambassador Yovanovitch.
9	Q Were you aware of whether this received some prominent
10	attention on FOX News around this time?
11	A Yes. I mean, if I look through emails my the Ukraine
12	office, and George Kent sort of overseeing that, he being the senior
13	guy on my team, also with the knowledge and the expertise on Ukraine,
14	knows all the players, all the knows the language, the political
15	dynamics.
16	So they were with the embassy and his office compiling all of these
17	reports and forwarding me volumes, of which I didn't have a chance to
18	read everything, but we were trying to keep track of the stories and
19	how it was generating and where was this coming from, which was the
20	question that the Counselor was asking.
21	And I was forwarding not all, but summaries, ones that I thought
22	captured well the context, you know, what was happening, who it was
23	coming from, and how it was demonstrably wrong. I was forwarding those
24	to Under Secretary Hale and to Counselor Brechbuhl.
25	Q You said over the next week or two there were internal

- discussions within the Department about a potential response to defend the Ambassador. Can you describe what conversations you had and what recommendations you made?
- A Most of the process of developing press guidance or statements starts with our press office in conjunction with the subject matter experts drafting potential guidance or statements, with the embassy obviously contributing, and I think Ambassador Yovanovitch herself who had a clear interest in getting some solid push back on this.
- And that goes through a clearance process in the Department and
 I would -- often it would get through the Bureau up to my deputies and
 myself, and then it would move upstairs to what we call the seventh
 floor for the "P," known as the Under Secretary for Political Affairs,
 David Hale, and then "C," Ulrich Brechbuhl, the Counselor, who were
 kind of in the main my senior go-to people on this.

- 1 [12:03 p.m.]
- 2 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q And what -- describe the conversations that you had -- well,
- 4 withdrawn.
- 5 There was an immediate statement issued by the Department
- 6 specifically rejecting the allegations. Were you aware of that, that
- followed very closely on to the publication of the first article?
- 8 A Yeah, if you give me a chance here, I'll --
- 9 Q And by the way, feel free to review your emails as we go on
- 10 today if they are helpful to you.
- 11 A Exactly. That's why I brought them. So I think I should
- 12 try to use them. Let's see.
- Okay. So by Wednesday, March 20th, when a lot of this stuff had
- come out -- and the way the press operation usually works, it's response
- to particular queries from journalists.
- And we did get a response to the query that there were rumors at
- 17 that time circulating around the dismissal of the Ambassador to
- 18 Ukraine, and citing articles in The Hill publication.
- 19 And so we had a statement -- a response. Just to be technical,
- it's a response to the press query, versus a formal statement that is
- released by the Department. And they sent me a draft, which was cleared
- by Deputy Assistant Secretary, DAS Kent, by D staff, that's the Deputy
- 23 Secretary of State; P staff, the Under Secretary of State, and by the
- NSC. And then they came to me looking for clearance.
- This was actually in response to a Ukrainian TV query, this

- question on rumors circulating about the dismissal of the Ambassador,
- because that was a theme that had emerged, was, oh, she's already been
- fired or she's gone. And, obviously, that was of particular interest
- 4 in Ukraine as a story line.
- And I did make a suggestion here that afternoon, just switching
- the order of sentences in a particular paragraph. And I can read you
- 7 that statement if you want -- or, again, it's not technically a
- 8 statement -- read you the response, the particular paragraph that I
- 9 asked to be moved.
- 10 Q Who was on this email chain with you?
- 11 A Oh, this is lots of staff throughout the Bureau, the press
- office staff. And then I forwarded those up to Ulrich, I think. I
- was sending him emails, you know, fairly often.
- The office for Ukraine on the Thursday then, the 21st, forwarded
- up a package of this providing sort of -- this was up to the seventh
- 16 floor, to the Secretary's office, giving kind of the story, what had
- transpired over the last couple of days, what The Hill had published,
- this op-ed which really kind of started this, an op-ed by a journalist
- called John Solomon, relating to the Ukrainian Prosecutor General,
- 20 Lutsenko, whom I mentioned earlier, and then providing some facts in
- 21 terms of what we knew about it.
- Q Was a response to the press query ultimately provided?
- 23 A Yes, I believe -- I believe it was. And then there were
- further -- there were further questions, queries by the media, and we
- continued to use -- sometimes, I think, with minor

- 1 refinements -- language that we had.
- 2 O What was the approved language that ultimately was issued?
- A I'm just trying to make sure. The cleared guidance, this
- is on Thursday the 21st of March, cleared guidance from last night,
- so as of the evening of the 20th, as all this had come out. I mean,
- 6 it begins: "Ambassador Yovanovitch represents the President of the
- 7 United States here in Ukraine" -- this is what the embassy was
- 8 authorized to put out -- "and America stands behind her and her
- 9 statements. The allegations by the Ukrainian Prosecutor General are
- not true and are intended to tarnish the reputation of Ambassador
- 11 Yovanovitch. Such allegations only serve the corrupt. Ukraine, like
- the United States, is a free country with a free press. That is one
- of the fantastic and unifying qualities of U.S. and Ukrainian society.
- 14 Politicians, pundits, and the media are entitled to share their
- opinions as part of the political process. It does not mean the claims
- 16 are true. Such attacks redouble our resolve to help Ukraine win the
- 17 struggle against corruption."
- 18 Q Now, following this statement or response to a query, did
- 19 you have additional conversations with anyone above you in the State
- 20 Department about issuing a statement to defend Ambassador Yovanovitch
- 21 more persuasively and powerfully?
- A I did. We were trying for a statement. As this went on,
- dealing with this kind of press thing, you know, you give your response,
- 24 and certainly in my experience it was wise to then see where the story
- 25 goes, if it continues, and it did continue on.

- Q And just to be clear, you have, in your career, you've had
- a lot of experience dealing with press matters in the State Department,
- 3 having worked --
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 O -- at that office multiple times, right?
- A To be clear and fair, the press world, the world of the media,
- 7 has changed dramatically since the days when I conducted daily
- 8 briefings and dealt with the press as the focus of my job. We didn't
- 9 have Twitter. There was a news cycle.
- But that's -- and just to interject here, because it gets to the
- point you made earlier, I found the statement by the Ministry of Foreign
- 12 Affairs in response to the demarche, that as the chairman asked, we
- demarched and said we really expected the Ukrainian Government to step
- up and say something about this. And the Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- did put out a statement through their ministry spokesperson, Zelenko,
- saying how: The ministry fruitfully cooperates with the U.S.
- 17 Ambassador and thanks the American diplomat and her team for their
- 18 contribution to building strategic partnerships between our states.
- 19 So that was an important piece.
- 20 But this -- so getting back to my point about, you know, where
- 21 does the story go? It kept coming.
- So by Friday night, March 22nd, I was sent then Saturday morning
- a roundup of Friday night U.S. media developments. And our press
- office information officer in Kyiv was trying to monitor everything
- for us and send in bundles that sort of captured this.

- 1 And this included some of the nastiest of the tweets that included
- some implied violence, references to "lynching" against the
- Ambassador, which we turned over, or were turned over, obviously, to
- 4 the security people as well. Some details, the program about -- by
- 5 Laura Ingraham that referenced then the letter that then-Congressman
- 6 Sessions had sent in May of 2018.
- 7 Q And just so the record is clear, you're reviewing emails that
- 8 you had from that time where you're --
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q -- summarizing what is included in them?
- 11 A Exactly, yes.
- 12 O And so I had asked you earlier about conversations about
- issuing a more formal defense of Ambassador Yovanovitch from the
- 14 executives or from the seventh floor and you indicated you did have
- 15 those conversations.
- 16 Can you describe a little bit more generally the nature of those
- 17 conversations?
- A So by the weekend, as I noted, you know, with this still
- going, so now we are on the weekend of the 23rd, I've been in town 5 days,
- and my team was, as I said, passing the stuff to me, excerpts from the
- various press things, trying to sort of compile it. And I did forward
- it up to Under Secretary Hale, copying the Counselor on the 23rd in
- the afternoon: "Looping you in" -- this is to Ulrich
- 24 Brechbuhl -- "Looping you in on the latest I've received." And the
- 25 references I mentioned before that include Twitter-based threats

- 1 against the Ambassador.
- And then Under Secretary Hale said he "deferred to coms people,"
- or the communications folks, "but I believe Masha" -- that is,
- 4 Ambassador Yovanovitch -- "should deny on the record saying anything
- disrespectful and reaffirm her loyalty as Ambassador and Foreign
- 6 Service officer to POTUS and the Constitution."
- 7 And we transmitted --
- 8 Q What is the date of that email?
- 9 A That is the 23rd of March.
- 10 Q Is Ambassador Yovanovitch on that email or is that just --
- 11 A No, that was his reply to what I had forwarded up to him and
- 12 to --
- Q Can you just tell us who else was on that email?
- 14 A Under Secretary Hale and Counselor Brechbuhl.
- 15 Q And you?
- 16 A He was replying to me.
- 17 Q So the three of you --
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A Let's see here.
- Q While you're looking through, if I could follow up on
- 22 something else. You said Rudy Giuliani was one of the people
- 23 prominently promoting these allegations in the media.
- 24 Prior to the publication of these articles and Mr. Giuliani's
- comments on them, were you aware of Mr. Giuliani's interest in issues

- 1 related to Ukraine?
- 2 A I would say I had a vague awareness through the press. I
- mean, mind you, I had been back now 5 days, and I think I was aware
- 4 of things he had said broadly regarding Ukraine, but it certainly wasn't
- something that I was tracking particularly. It didn't have a
- 6 relevance.
- 8 A 18th.
- 9 Q Or 18th, rather, did you have any specific knowledge from
- within the Department as to any involvement or interest by
- 11 Mr. Giuliani?
- 12 A No, I got a better idea of it later on with George, my Ukraine
- expert, the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Ukraine and Eastern Europe,
- 14 who later had sort of, with his team, gone through and tried to capture
- what he then described as four different narratives that were being
- 16 pushed in all of this.
- So by April the 1st, by the end of the second week, essentially,
- after 2 weeks of this, George had kind of identified four strands, as
- 19 he called them, four narratives that were emerging.
- This one that had started it, the anticorruption, that there had
- been undue pressure by the U.S., by Ambassador Yovanovitch on -- in
- the efforts against corruption.
- Narrative two was a theme about 2016 collusion between Ukraine
- 24 and the Clinton campaign.
- The third was -- there was -- how he wrote it here was, this "Biden

- 1 (Burisma)" -- Biden as in Hunter Biden, and Burisma he put in
- 2 parentheses, because I don't think I knew what Burisma was at that
- 3 point, corrupt gas company.
- 4 And the fourth narrative that we sort of identified as a general
- theme that was in all of this was regarding the Soros organization,
- 6 because one NGO, called AnTAC, that had received grants through U.S.
- 7 assistance programs had also received a grant from the Open Society
- 8 Institute.
- 9 Q And based on what Mr. Kent explained to you, either in email
- or otherwise, and any other information that you gathered about these
- four different strands, were you aware of any validity to any of these
- four strands of accusations or allegations?
- 13 A I was not.
- 14 Q Was Mr. Kent, to your knowledge?
- A No. And, again, he was positioned to be able to sort of
- 16 provide context because he had served at the embassy in Ukraine and
- 17 was familiar with these narratives and some of these things that are
- 18 happening.
- 19 And I think, just from reading more recent press reports, he
- described that to you in his own deposition before you.
- Q Right. I just was asked -- the question just related to,
- at that time, did you have any indication from him or anyone else that
- there was any validity to these allegations?
- 24 A No, sir.
- Q Okay. I think our time is up, and I will now yield --

- A Well, maybe we can come back to something else regarding the question of a statement.
- Q Yes. We'll pick that up next time.
- 4 MR. GOLDMAN: Great.
- 5 Mr. Castor.
- 6 BY MR. CASTOR:
- Q Ambassador, if you have something on the tip of your tongue regarding a statement, it might be helpful to just continue on that.
- 9 A Okay. Just because I am dealing with these reams of paper.
- And, you know, if these are just emails about Ukraine, one of my 50
- 11 countries, you can imagine the number of binders. And this was in the
- 12 first week. So, yeah, exactly.
- So this, again, is March 25th, where we had tried by the end of
- that first week of all of this to say, you know, can we put out -- and
- 15 Masha was interested in some kind of statement, not just the response
- to queries that we were doing, but a formal statement from the
- 17 Department.
- And, you know, queries continued to come in following, for
- instance, the -- specifically on some of the FOX News programs of Laura
- 20 Ingraham and Sean Hannity, we got a lot of questions about the
- 21 allegations there.
- 22 And we forwarded it around for clearance, to put it out. And I
- was sent from the staff on Monday the 25th, 12:04 p.m., from the Special
- 24 Assistant to the Under Secretary for Political Affairs: "P" -- that
- would be the Under Secretary -- "says no statement."

- 1 And then that actually -- that was not sent to me. That was sent
- to the European Bureau press office, which then forwarded it to me,
- 3 highlighting the response of P.
- 4 O So that was no statement?
- 5 A Correct. He said there will be no statement. "P says no
- 6 statement."
- 7 O Do you have any additional information about why that
- 8 decision was made?
- 9 A I don't. There would be no statement. We would continue
- to use the press guidance that we had, that had been cleared.
- 11 Q Okay. Did you ever come to learn why there was a reluctance
- to offer a statement, an additional statement?
- A No, that was the decision that came down.
- 14 Q And the Ambassador was subsequently recalled early.
- A Actually, I do not believe that that is a factual statement.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A The Ambassador remained -- we are talking now March.
- 18 Q Right.
- A We got through this period, which was about 2 weeks.
- 20 I -- and as I indicated, I think as we looked at why now, where is all
- of this coming from, Ukraine was in a highly political season with
- 22 Presidential elections around the -- around the corner.
- As I said, in that period, the first week of March 18th into the
- second week of March 25th through 30th, my interlocutors were the
- 25 Counselor and Under Secretary Hale in terms of what could be the press

- 1 guidance, what potential statements.
- By the end then of the month, the 31st of March, was the Ukraine
- 3 Presidential election, the first round, and that's when Mr. Zelensky
- 4 had an extremely strong showing and things, I think, kind of died down
- a bit maybe as there was more of a focus on Zelensky and the 3 weeks
- 6 until the runoff.
- We had, of course, in Washington the NATO ministerial. I know
- 8 I was extraordinarily tied up and focused on that. Masha Yovanovitch
- 9 remained as Ambassador and at post, albeit I know that this continued
- to hum below. And as you can imagine, the embassy was extremely busy
- focused on covering the elections, doing the analysis and diplomatic
- reporting that is their bread and butter.
- And I returned then to Europe, my first trip after having come
- back to cover this. I left on the 8th of April and was in -- back in
- 15 Stuttgart, where, of course, I remained still technically assigned.
- I had a forum I had to speak at in Brussels, the Daimler Forum,
- and there were farewells that week for General Scaparrotti, the
- commander, who was leaving, both in Berlin, honored by the Germans.
- And then it was while I was still in Germany that the story picked
- 20 back up again in, I think, in anticipation of the second round of
- 21 elections, which were held on our Easter holiday, April 21st.
- And, in fact, from my calendar, I recall that on April 21st, I
- 23 spoke with Masha Yovanovitch in Kyiv. The focus of that phone call
- 24 was about her interest -- I think I mentioned earlier -- in possibly
- succeeding me as her next assignment, you know, given that her tour

- was coming to its 3-year conclusion.
- And I believe it was in that phone call where she told me that
- she had thought about it and it wasn't the right fit for her. She wasn't
- going to pursue it. And, in fact the commanders had all said, oh, they
- would love to have Masha there again. Her reputation was such that
- 6 European Command commanders were eager to have her take over that
- 7 position. But she had decided against that.
- 8 And then it was on that weekend that the media storm happened
- again, and I got some urgent calls from Counselor Brechbuhl. I spoke
- to him in a phone call on the 24th of April, as well as with the Under
- 11 Secretary and the head of human resources, that things had gotten, in
- their words, suddenly much worse.
- There was a lot of unhappiness -- without anything explicit,
- because we were speaking on open lines -- there was unhappiness from
- the White House that Ambassador Yovanovitch was still there, and the
- belief that she needed to come back, the belief in the State Department
- that she needed to come back to Washington for consultations. And the
- 18 head of human resources, the Director General of the Foreign Service,
- 19 Ambassador Carol Perez, made that call to her.
- 20 And I talked to Masha. I think we had a WhatsApp conversation.
- 21 So we just had this conversation about, you know, was she interested
- in coming to EUCOM? She had said, no, she was grateful, but that was
- 23 it.
- 24 Here from the WhatsApp texting, it's okay to share that with
- 25 General Scaparrotti and Wolters, she told me, and let me know -- I asked

- her to let me know when you feel comfortable with me speaking to Carol,
- the head of human resources, and with the Political Military Bureau
- 3 to let them know we need to continue to focus on a successor at EUCOM.
- And Masha said: Fine, fine to do that.
- Then on the 24th after these calls with Ulrich, and then Masha
- 6 had been told she needed to come into the embassy to take an important
- 7 call from the Director General and she was asking me if she knew what
- 8 was going on. And I did tell her in a call with Ulrich he said things
- had suddenly, quote, "changed for the worse," unquote, but he couldn't
- share anything on an open line.
- 11 Then I had a call from Carol -- that would be Ambassador
- 12 Perez -- who also offered no details, but was about to call you, Masha,
- 13 next.
- And I said to Masha in text message: "So I'm largely in the dark,
- but it doesn't sound good. Let me know if you want to talk."
- And she was at that time hosting a large reception and she was
- going into the embassy to talk to Carol. And I believe Carol told her
- that she really should come back to Washington. Carol didn't have a
- 19 picture of this, but just that she needed to -- she felt Masha should
- 20 come back to Washington.
- So that's when she came back to Washington. That would not be
- considered recalled. She was told to come back to Washington, which
- I believe she did on Friday, the 26th of April.
- I, myself, returned to Washington, arriving Sunday evening, the
- 25 28th of April. And then we had a series of meetings on the 29th, where

- the discussion was about what to do.
- You know, at this point, I should add, the expectation -- I
- 3 believe Masha had set a date of, I think it was around July 5th or
- July 8th, and in terms of the planning that I was doing with Counselor
- 5 Brechbuhl in a separate track, but, obviously, related because it had
- to do with the chief of mission job in Ukraine, we had a couple of plans.
- 7 That was what I was tasked with doing, was how do we cover our
- 8 mission. We were trying to identify a new person to be proposed for
- 9 nomination as Ambassador, and then we were trying to figure out how
- 10 we cover, after Masha would depart.
- 11 The date of early July was out there because July is, typically,
- in the Foreign Service, a transition day for these things. You have
- your Independence Day reception as a sort of farewell, and then you
- leave. I think she was looking at July 5th or 6th, possibly 8th,
- 15 something in that range.
- And, well, why don't I let you, if you have more questions.
- O So you said that the term "recall" wasn't accurate. What
- would be the word to describe her departure from the embassy?
- 19 A So, when she met on the 29th with the Deputy Secretary of
- 20 State, I was asked to sit in with that, and I joined that meeting. I
- 21 read the press reports of her deposition to you where the Deputy
- 22 Secretary is quoted as telling her: "You've done nothing wrong." And
- that is what I heard sitting there as well.
- The problem at hand was that, essentially, the President had lost,
- what we term, had lost confidence in her as Ambassador in Ukraine. And

- the question then was, what comes next? And the Deputy Secretary of
- State, to my recollection, gave her the option to decide what date she
- 3 wanted to return, understanding -- and I think we all
- 4 understood -- that with the media storm and the focus on this, that
- going back to Kyiv and staying through July could be, you know,
- 6 difficult.
- 7 Masha was staying on in Washington for a preplanned event. Ir
- 8 fact, she had already asked for, before she came back at the behest
- of the Director General so quickly on the 26th, she had asked for
- 10 permission to come back to Washington, be away from post, to receive
- an award, to be honored at the National Defense University and inducted
- into their hall of fame, an event we were looking forward to, and, again,
- something which speaks to the esteem within which she is regarded both
- 14 professionally and personally.
- 15 She determined at this meeting she would stay through the -- that
- event, which was on the 9th of May. I was able to get back from travel
- with the Secretary to actually be there for that event. And then I
- think she went back to Kyiv on the 10th of May, and then decided to
- depart fully. She packed out and left on the 20th of May, which,
- 20 coincidentally, was the day of the inauguration of President Zelensky.
- Q And so you said the term "being recalled" wasn't the right
- 22 word. Is there a better word?
- 23 A There is a term of that, her -- again, my greatest concern
- 24 was for Masha as a person and a professional. None of us -- well, I
- certainly, and I know many of other colleagues, were not pleased about

- this. No one wants to see someone go through this and such, you know,
- inaccurate and unpleasant things being said about a colleague, a
- professional, about a United States Ambassador in the press,
- 4 particularly in her own country.
- And -- but I wanted to make sure Masha was going to be okay.
- 6 Obviously, I had two responsibilities. One was to the post, making
- sure our post was properly and legally covered, and one was to Masha
- as a human being, as a colleague, as a United States Ambassador.
- 9 So when she made the decision to make her last day the 20th of
- 10 May, I fully supported that. And so I think the best term would be
- to say that she ended her assignment on the 20th of May, which was
- 12 6 weeks earlier than the date we had been focusing on.
- Q What was your role in helping select Ambassador Taylor to
- 14 serve as the Charge?
- A So that was something I was assigned, worked closely with
- 16 Ulrich Brechbuhl, the Counselor. Also the Under Secretary wanted to
- 17 always be involved.
- Once we realized that the nomination process was not -- there was
- no possibility that that was going to produce an Ambassador in time
- to take over for Ambassador Yovanovitch, we realized we needed some
- 21 coverage.
- 22 And I want to note why. Ambassador Yovanovitch had a deputy, a
- chief of mission, who had moved into that spot from another position
- 24 when George Kent had left the year before to come be Deputy Assistant
- 25 Secretary under Wess Mitchell. That deputy was assigned to move in

- the spring to become the Deputy Chief of Mission at our embassy in
- 2 Stockholm, Sweden.
- At first I said: Well, she's been really good. She knows the
- 4 place. She should stay. You know, we don't need -- it turned out we
- had no Ambassador in Stockholm. He was in the process, but not through
- the confirmation process, and there was no other senior Foreign Service
- officer at post. So we felt we had to let the DCM leave Kyiv to go
- 8 to Stockholm.
- 9 Another senior Foreign Service officer in Kyiv -- did I just
- 10 misspeak? -- she needed to leave Kyiv to go to Stockholm. Another
- officer in Kyiv stepped up, Senior Officer Joseph Pennington, to be
- the Acting Deputy Chief of Mission, and the new Deputy Chief of Mission
- was coming from Embassy Paris, and she, a great officer, had no Ukraine
- 14 experience, no language.
- And my feeling, supported by my higher-ups, was that it was
- absolutely unfair to throw her into this situation which was in focus.
- 17 So we were trying to find someone who could act as Charge d'Affaires.
- We have a roster of people known as WAE, while actually employed, who
- 19 are usually retired senior Foreign Service officers, retired
- ambassadors. There were a number there. And we, as part of this
- 21 process, sort of who could be, we got out the list of former ambassadors
- 22 to Ukraine.
- And the one guy on there who was potentially available who was
- 24 not involved in business or aligned with, you know, one political side
- or another in Ukraine was Ambassador Bill Taylor, who was at that time

- executive vice president at the U.S. Institute of Peace.
- And so we approached him: Would you be interested and available,
- potentially, to do this job? And he said yes. And so we pursued that.
- 4 And it was a complicated administrative, bureaucratic thing to do it,
- 5 but ultimately -- ultimately, he agreed and he was approved.
- They looked at different ways he could be sent, under what -- what
- official title. Finally, they worked that out. And he did agree to
- 8 go and got there in June, early June.
- 9 Q Did you have any discussions with Under Secretary Hale or
- 10 Counselor Brechbuhl about the tricky external environmental factors
- in play that led to the Yovanovitch situation?
- 12 A What do you mean by tricky?
- Q Well, the Rudy Giuliani, the President's unhappiness, the
- statements made by the Prosecutor General. It was -- I think it has
- been described to us, variously, as a bit of a snake pit.
- A I don't recall that term specifically, but it's not a bad
- 17 description, I suppose.
- Yeah. No, I mean that was -- clearly, part of the challenge was,
- 19 you know, a difficult time in Ukraine to be putting someone in, all
- of these allegations about the U.S. Embassy or Ambassador, which were
- 21 making difficult our very important tasks there, things that were, you
- 22 know, I think, critical to the U.S. interest, national security
- interest, foreign policy priorities, remembering that, you know,
- 24 Ukraine is a country that is partially occupied by Russia and in a hot
- 25 war.

- And so that, combined by the political scenario in the States,
 the press, the allegations there, made it a very difficult and delicate
 position, which is why we were viewing, you know, who would be -- who
 would have the background and capabilities, who would be willing to
 send themselves into this, as you describe it, snake pit. And I think
 we were very fortunate that Ambassador Taylor was willing to take that
 on.
- Q Did the Department make any commitments to Ambassador Taylor
 that they would have his back or help him with these external
 environmental factors?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A He wanted to be very clear -- or he was very clear that he wanted to be sure that Ukraine policy was going to continue as it was, that our support for Ukraine and its Western orientation and its desire to reform and our support for them against the Russian malign and military actions was going to continue.
 - And he was worried. He did express concerns. You know, some of the WhatsApps I had with him reflect that -- about that. Because he felt if the policy was not going to remain, then he wasn't the guy. But as he was waiting for the bureaucracy, the bureaucratic processes to catch up to see if this would be possible, he was also waiting for this.
- And he really wanted to see the Secretary. He was in touch with
 Ulrich Brechbuhl. I met him for the first time on the 2nd of May, just
 to make sure that's correct. Too many notes.
- Yeah, the 2nd May, after I'd come back and all of this had happened

- with Masha, I met Bill Taylor late that week, traveled again on the
- 5th of May, I departed with the Secretary. Got back in time for Masha's
- 3 ceremony on the 9th of May. But Bill had made clear he really wanted
- 4 to meet with the Secretary here, since, as Ambassador -- as Charge
- d'Affaires, obviously, he is reporting through -- to the Secretary.
- And we did have that meeting on the 28th of May, and he left that
- 7 meeting, I believe "confident" would be the right word, and
- 8 comfortable, and ready to do that. And then, ultimately, got out
- 9 to -- shortly thereafter, I think, the 1st
- of June, so he couldn't be gone that weekend. But early June he arrived
- 11 at post and he has been a terrific leader.
- Q Was there any understanding among yourself, Under Secretary
- Hale, Counselor Brechbuhl, the Secretary, that the situation needed
- to be monitored closely?
- 15 A Yeah, we -- I mean, I think, obviously, Ukraine is important.
- You know, it is one of the 50 accounts that I have. But I think, I
- mean, I guess -- what do you mean by monitored? The situation in
- 18 Ukraine?
- 19 Q The situation, yeah.
- 20 A I mean, as we monitor a situation anywhere --
- 21 Q The situation that led to the end of Ambassador Yovanovitch's
- 22 tenure there?
- A We needed to make sure -- you know, one of my concerns has
- 24 always been for the mission and its personnel who were, I think it is
- fair to say, shaken by all of this, the Ambassador's abrupt early

- departure. And Bill was -- and from the beginning, from the time he
- landed, he was well-known still to the embassy, to the local staff,
- to Ukrainians, and that helped. And so he certainly brought stability
- 4 to that.
- And, of course, we were launching into a whole new era with the
- 6 new President, Zelensky having won the election, having been
- inaugurated on the 20th of May. And at that point, I think you're all
- aware, certainly it's been in the open media based on testimony here,
- 9 that for the inauguration the White House had delegated Secretary
- 10 Perry, the Secretary of Energy, to lead the delegation that included
- 11 Kurt Volker, Ambassador Volker the Special Representative for Ukraine,
- and Ambassador Gordon Sondland, the Ambassador to the EU.
- And there was another person, I think Mr. Vindman, from the
- National Security Council, who went, and I believe Senator Johnson was
- also there, in a slightly different capacity as a legislative
- 16 representative.
- And they were really then pegged as the leads on Ukraine,
- obviously, with George Kent remaining as the Deputy Assistant Secretary
- 19 with the great, kind of, institutional knowledge about the country,
- about the post, in his capacity covering Eastern Europe and the
- 21 Caucasus.
- And it was after that inauguration when that team came back and
- they met with the President, I believe, on the 23rd of May, and
- Ambassador Volker provided me a readout of that which kind of laid out
- the path forward in terms of implementing our strategy and policy with

- 1 Ukraine.
- Q What was your experience with Ambassador Volker?
- A I had known Kurt Volker almost my entire career. Again, we
- were -- we became ambassadors about the same time, he, to NATO, when
- I went to what is now North Macedonia, and we have been friends and
- 6 colleagues.
- And he had been useful in, as I came back to Washington, dealing
- 8 with, you know, 50 countries and 12,000 staff, I talked to him a couple
- of times about Ukraine and where he saw the direction, you know, how
- 10 he was implementing the strategy, the role he was playing both in terms
- of the negotiations part, to try to help bring an end to the war, as
- well as ideas in terms of things like antitrust, moving forward on
- 13 continuing our push against corruption.
- He is a pro. I mean, I was very confident that, you know, he's
- got it, he's got the lead on this. He was doing a lot of, I think,
- very useful messaging and communication. He was getting under the skin
- of the Russians, which was great. They were complaining regularly
- 18 about his statements.
- And he was, of course, trying to meet with a Russian counterpart,
- and they, the Russians, had not agreed to a meeting since January
- 21 of 2018.
- So I had, you know, from my perspective overseeing and shepherding
- all of these pieces, the mission was falling into a good place with
- 24 Bill at the helm, the new DCM getting up to speed very quickly. So
- on the ground, the embassy and U.S. Mission Ukraine was well taken care

- of, and the engagement and policy management was being handled by, I
- think they have been referred to as the Three Amigos. But Ambassadors
- 3 Sondland and Volker -- and Ambassador Sondland, whom I knew, of course,
- 4 had been very clear, I know he had the direct access to the President.
- And I know that the Secretary had great faith in Ambassador Volker and
- 6 also direct contact with Ambassador Sondland. So that's where things
- 7 were being handled.
- 8 Q What was your understanding of what Ambassador Volker was
- 9 doing with regard to the new incoming administration? Was he trying
- 10 to build relationships?
- 11 A Yeah, he -- I mean, let me turn to a particular page which
- is very helpful on this. Give me a second here.
- Q And just while you're looking for it, he's a person of high
- integrity and great experience with regard to Ukraine, correct?
- 15 A Absolutely, yeah.
- Q And everything that he did during the course of these events
- were in the best interest of the United States to the best of your
- 18 knowledge?
- 19 A Yeah, I guess I'd have -- I don't -- I don't know everything
- 20 he did.
- 21 O To the best of your knowledge.
- 22 A So to the best of my knowledge, I know Kurt to be, you know,
- 23 an outstanding American, a fine diplomat. I would say my view -- he
- really wanted to see Ukraine succeed and to see our policy and strategy
- 25 succeed.

And, you know, I had been brought in, my mandate was continuity. 1 You know, Wess Mitchell had helped put in place a set of policies in 2 line with the national security strategy, a set of individual 3 strategies for the eastern Med and the Black Sea, for the Arctic, for 4 5 the Balkans, and for Ukraine. And, of course, Kurt had been brought on as a Special Representative as part of that. And he had a vision. 6 With all of this churn over Masha, I think he felt badly. He knew 7 8 Masha, of course, from, you know, his long -- his career at the State Department and since then. And he was, you know, very dedicated to 9 this. And after the briefing with the President that they had, I 10 was -- pull this out -- I was, I believe, traveling at that time. 11 12 Once again, as I've mentioned, my mandate is to spend about 50 percent of the time on the road trying to engage with our 50 13 countries, our missions, the leadership, bilateral and multilateral, 14 the conferences, representing the -- sometimes traveling with the 15 16 Secretary, sometimes representing the Secretary at ministerial 17 meetings and other such things. So, in fact, on that period I was back -- we were having a chief 18 19 of missions conference, my first opportunity to bring all of the chiefs of mission from within the European Bureau together, cosponsored by 20 EUCOM in Stuttgart. But I had waiting for me an email, unclassified, 21 that Kurt had forwarded in terms of the readout of the Ukraine 22 delegation White House meeting, and it laid out the sort of key 23 24 takeaways and what we were going to proceed with, focusing on the President signing a congratulatory letter to Zelensky, inviting 25

- Zelensky to the Oval Office. Our goal had been to have that happen
- before the July parliamentary elections to show support.
- The delegation, that is what Ambassador Sondland referred to as
- the Three Amigos, will work with the Ukrainian Government and contacts
- to push for reform and flag the President's concern about corruption,
- 6 poor investment climate, oligarchic control over the economy, and
- 7 ensure that Zelensky comes prepared to demonstrate commitment to reform
- 8 and improving U.S.-Ukraine bilateral relations.
- 9 And at this point, they had -- this was relevant to my other task,
- of course, which was the finding a long-term Ambassador. The decision
- had been taken that there would be a political, a noncareer Ambassador
- 12 would be identified ASAP.
- Secretary Perry, as part of the delegation, would focus his good
- offices to help Ukraine find solutions to their energy and gas needs,
- which was a real issue. I mean, already in May we were anticipating
- if the Russians turn off the gas, they need to be stockpiling now so
- that they could get through a winter, and media messaging discipline,
- 18 which Kurt took the lead on.
- So he had really laid out what they were doing, the way forward.
- They had the support and confidence of the President and the Secretary.
- 21 And that's how we headed forward.
- Q When did the Rudy Giuliani involvement become known to you?
- 23 A In terms of -- in terms of what? I mean --
- Q Mr. Giuliani was -- developed a nontraditional role here,
- and I wonder when that first became -- you first became aware of it,

- A, and, B, did you ever see that nontraditional role as problematic.
- 2 A He was certainly named and in the press himself as being
- 3 engaged in Ukraine. Going back to those earliest days when I first
- 4 arrived in March, I think he was frequently on television and promoting
- some of these -- these allegations and narratives about our Ambassador
- 6 and the Embassy.
- 7 So I think that was probably when I first was aware that he was
- involved. I know our press line, our response from the Department when
- 9 I got there, so what do we say about Giuliani, was that, you know, he
- is not a government employee, we refer you to Mr. Giuliani's office
- 11 for comment on him.
- Q Did you ever come to learn that Volker was having
- 13 communications with Giuliani?
- A Kurt mentioned at some point that he was going to, I think,
- telephone, or maybe meet with Giuliani. I think his goal very much
- 16 was to -- you had this harsh critic of Ukraine, and his goal was to
- help explain our strategy, our process, and the fact that Zelensky
- 18 represented a whole new chapter in Ukraine and new opportunities to
- implement U.S. strategy and policy in Ukraine.
- I wasn't a part of that conversation. I had never met
- 21 Mr. Giuliani or spoken to him.
- Q Did you ever learn from Ambassador Taylor that he had
- 23 concerns about Giuliani's role?
- 24 A I do recall -- I think in a little of our WhatsApping -- is
- that a verb? -- WhatsApp -- because when -- before Bill finally went

- out, you know, we had identified him, as I've already described. We
- were going through just, you know, from a bureaucratic standpoint,
- 3 Herculean efforts with the personnel people and the lawyers in figuring
- 4 out how -- how we could send Bill out as the Charge d'Affaires. That
- 5 ultimately all worked.
- But he was -- he had expressed some concerns about that stuff.
- 7 I will just take a minute here to try to find if I can find a specific
- 8 reference. Just that, you know, this was such a distraction.
- 9 So in an exchange with Bill Taylor on the 26th of March -- I'm
- sorry, May, 5-26-19, this is before we have met with Secretary Pompeo
- and which then reassured Bill. He said to me: "I'm still struggling
- with the decision whether to go. Basically, whether the politics back
- here will let me or anyone succeed." Referring to this very political
- 14 era around Ukraine.
- Generally, I mean, when you said Ukraine in the public or the
- media, this is what people focused on. When you said Ukraine to me,
- it was like, we have got an embassy, we have got this country with
- 18 enormous potential that is being, you know, at war. There's just all
- 19 kinds of implications.
- That was what, I think, Bill was worried about, and he said, again
- 21 I quote: "The Giuliani Biden issue will likely persist for the next
- year. I'm not sure S," the Secretary, "can give me reassurance on this
- 23 issue."
- And then I was at my cousin's wedding. I said: Oh, I'll try to
- offer more response later. And at one point then Bill said: While

- you think about this, let me make another suggestion. You could send
- 2 Kurt. He would be perfect. Knows the issues better than anyone.
- And I just said: Well, Kurt has said no before. He didn't want
- 4 to be Charge or Ambassador. And noted that he had met with the
- 5 President, along with the rest of the delegation, and come away fairly
- optimistic. What I just described to you is about that.
- 7 And Bill said: We should definitely talk to him.
- And I said: Kurt will be joining us for the meeting with the
- 9 Secretary on Tuesday.
- 10 And Bill said: Perfect.
- And then there was another little snag where there was some
- confusion that Bill got the impression that Kurt really did want to
- 13 take the job. We clarified that.
- 14 Kurt said, no, he did not want to be Ambassador, he wanted to stay
- as Special Representative. And Bill made very clear at that time that
- the Secretary needed to hear Kurt's description of the debrief with
- 17 the President on return from the inauguration.

- 1 [1:00 p.m.]
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Based on that he said, I can't go, he was
- 3 hesitant about going. Then we had the meeting with the Secretary, and
- 4 Bill came away confident then that he would do it and at the same time,
- 5 right about the same time on the 30th of May, I reported to Bill -- I
- 6 was on the way to Berlin this time -- that the Legal Advisor's Office
- 7 had found a way for you to go out as the Charge d'Affaires with Christina
- 8 as your Deputy Chief of Mission. And Bill said -- I checked back in
- 9 with him while I was on that trip, and I just said, Checking in, is
- 10 everything in order for your adventure, I called it. Bill Taylor said,
- 11 All on track, thank you.
- And in fact I was on the way to Brussels that night, the 4th of
- June, and I noted to Bill, I expected to meet President Zelensky at
- a dinner that Ambassador Sondland was hosting, and so that was the first
- 15 time I met President Zelensky.
- BY MR. CASTOR:
- 17 Q You were at that -- I believe the dinner was around June 4th?
- 18 A June 4th, correct.
- 19 Q Let me just, as we try to sketch out our day of questions
- here, it might be helpful to just take stock of certain areas that you
- 21 may or may not have firsthand information about.
- 22 A Uh-huh. Sure.
- Q Firsthand information can take two forms. It can take the
- form that you may have been copied on an email which you may have read,
- or you may not have because you extraordinarily have a vast portfolio;

- and then there is firsthand information that you had actual
- conversations and so forth. And so let me just sort of run through
- 3 some things if I may.
- 4 Did you have any firsthand information about the delay in funds
- and the PCC process? It started on July 18th, and ended around
- 6 September 11th.
- 7 A Correct. I became -- to answer your question, yes, I had
- 8 firsthand information on that. My staff notified me. We have a, in
- fact, he is a Congressionally mandated position, our assistance
- 10 coordinator who reports directly to me as well almost like an eighth
- 11 DAS in the Bureau, and he reported that there were holds on a lot of
- assistance. This was in July. And every day, there was sort of an
- update, and there was this hold on the military assistance for Ukraine,
- and there was sort of a puzzlement as to, you know, where is that coming
- 15 from? Is that general?
- There were holds on all kinds of stuff. I know they were really
- eager to get moving on some projects in Armenia, et cetera, so I was
- aware of that. And the decision was how do we handle this?
- 19 It wasn't clear where that was coming from as we pushed this into
- the PCC process, which is the best way to come to a decision, and if
- somebody is blocking this, they need to sort of show their hand.
- Q So maybe we can go through that at some point today.
- 23 How about communications with National Security Council
- officials? Like did you have any phone calls or in-person interactions
- with some of the National Security Council officials on these issues?

- I can give you names or --1 Why don't you try to, and I will tell you. 2 Starting with Ambassador Bolton? 0 3 4 I had no direct contact with Ambassador Bolton. And his deputy, Mr. Kupperman? Q 5 I have met Kupperman, but not in capacity -- not in 6 connection with Ukraine, I don't believe. 7 0 Then Dr. Fiona Hill? 8 Fiona, I dealt with from the time arrived, I have known her 9 going back years. Again, I didn't have a lot direct discussion on 10 Ukraine because that was handled by George and the Ukraine team, and, 11 12 of course, the delegation as we would call it, Sondland and Volker. So maybe, we will go back and try to unpack the communications 13 you had with Dr. Hill. 14 How about Tim Morrison? 15 Yeah, and he succeeded her. Again, I don't know that we have 16 talked a lot specifically about Ukraine. I tended to have George from 17 the State point take the lead on all of that, and I believe Tim was 18 in contact with the team, the delegation, Kurt, Sondland, and perhaps 19 Secretary Perry too. 20 And then there was a Lieutenant Colonel Vindman who had the 21 22 Ukraine portfolio in the National Security Council?
- 25 Q

have conversations with him.

23

24

I don't believe -- I know him, I know we have met, but I didn't

1 A Not to my recollection no.

2 Q

A It really doesn't and that could, I mean it is possible, I

did attend a PCC meeting, not the one on the assistance process, it

was another PCC that was about other issues in Ukraine. And some was

those people may have been there, there was a huge interagency group,

and that was on the 31st of July.

Q Okay.

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I couldn't tell you who all the people were there.

Q Going back to the PCC process, it is our understanding there was, began on July 18th, and there were meetings the 23rd, the 26th, and then, possibly, the 31st as well?

A That was, it was a PCC about Ukraine, but it was not focused on the assistance. The question of assistance came up because I specifically remembered, since she was sitting next to me, Laura Cooper from OSD did raise -- she said, I need to raise this because we were still wondering, you know, when is this, you are watching, first of all, it is vitally important to the Ukrainians; this is a key component of our policy, and second of all, you are watching the fiscal year calendar ticking away as you head toward August, which tends to be a somewhat of a down month.

So it came up, one piece on that, but there was still no resolution, and I know we were hoping that there would be a, there had been the, on the 26th I believe, and you may have had referenced that, this, the deputy small group, which Under Secretary Hale had attended.

- I wouldn't go to that anyway, but I was traveling. And I understand
- he had gone, and there was still no resolution of this, and there was
- anticipated to be a principals small group. But getting Secretary
- 4 Pompeo and the Secretary of Defense together just wasn't happening
- 5 until -- and then the lift was, the hold was, seemed to be gone.
- The understanding was that, without definitive knowledge, but my
- 7 understanding, or our operating understanding was that this was being
- 8 held by Mr. Mulvaney, the White House Acting Chief of Staff.
- 9 Q So of those PCC-related meetings, you participated in one,
- 10 is that --
- 11 A Yes. I was at the one on the 31st of July.
- Q And did you ever have any communications with Acting Chief
- of Staff Mulvaney?
- A No. I have never met him, to my knowledge.
- 15 O Okay. Any communications with the President?
- 16 A No. I have never met the President.
- 17 O And then Ambassador Sondland, what is your experience with
- 18 him?
- 19 A So I got to know Gordon Sondland when I was still at EUCOM,
- because one of my jobs there, of course, was to engage our missions,
- our posts, our ambassadors throughout the AOR, area of responsibility,
- and that included the EU. We were really trying to pursue a lot of
- things, and have our EU mission be more robust in terms of engagement
- on things like military mobility, the European defense initiative, and
- the European defense fund, and some other aspects, and he was very

- 1 welcoming of that. He was eager to do that. So I met him at
- gatherings. I paid a call on him when I went to Brussels, and knew
- 3 him a bit, and then, I think, I mentioned the trip I took to Odessa
- 4 in February of this year. I already knew, I had already been revectored
- to come back to take this job, but I was still technically at EUCOM
- and we were going to do the ship visit. And Gordon joined me because
- we were trying to show U.S./EU solidarity for Ukraine. This was the
- 8 ship visit largely done in response to the Kerch Strait incident when
- 9 the Russians took some small Ukrainian craft in the Kerch Strait going
- into the Azov Sea illegally, I might add, and took hostages essentially,
- 11 25 Ukrainian sailors.
- So a U.S. ship was visiting, and Gordon had arranged to bring
- senior EU officials along. I met him in Brussels, and then we flew
- 14 together to Odessa.
- 15 O Did you ever have any discussions with Ambassador Sondland
- about the aid or the White House visit?
- 17 A The White House visit, yes. I mean he was clearly part, this
- was, his, he had the political lead as he told the Ukrainians as we
- talked about regularly, he had conversations with the President, with
- 20 Ambassador Bolton, with Mr. Mulvaney, as far as he told me. Again,
- I talked to you about the readout I got from the meeting after the
- inauguration on the May 23rd meetings, so we talked about that way
- forward, what he was working on, and the, you know, getting a White
- 24 House visit for Zelensky and he was determined to get that done.
- Q The Ambassador Sondland has, he gave a TV interview, or he

- described that he has a rather large remit from the President?
- 2 A Yes. I have heard that phrase.
- Q Was it your understanding that was, in fact, the case?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay.
- A That was my understanding that he had the remit. I'm not
- 7 exactly sure what that means, but certainly had the remit, the access,
- 8 was very much in the lead.
- 9 O And that he also had the support of the Secretary to get
- involved with some issues that may not traditionally be part of the
- 11 EU portfolio?
- 12 A Yes, to undertake activities that would not, I think, when
- I asked Under Secretary Hale about this, he said it is irregular,
- 14 irregular is the word.
- 15 O Did you have any concerns about that?
- 16 A Well, it was irregular, but I knew Gordon, and I understood
- that he was given this task, and that is what you work with when the
- 18 Secretary and the President have a team of Volker, Sondland, Perry,
- 19 that was where the focus was.
- 20 O I like to make sure our Members don't have questions as we
- 21 approach the end of the hour. It is a very wise staff thing to do.
- MR. MEADOWS: Go ahead.
- 23 BY MR. CASTOR:
- Q We are just coming up on the end of the hour.
- 25 A How quickly time flies.

- 1 Q While you're having fun.
- Did you ever have any discussions with Under Secretary Hale about

 anything more than what you just offered about the irregular --
- A Over time, you know, I would comment occasionally that, well,
- Gordon is doing X or Y, which would not necessarily be, as I said, the
- 6 regular remit of the Ambassador to the EU, but starting in June, I know
- 7 I reported on having been, Gordon had invited me and it worked out
- 8 because I was with the Secretary at the Global Entrepreneurship Summit
- 9 in The Hague, and so, I went down to Brussels, I think that is, I'm
- 10 giving you the sequence right. Anyway, I was there for the June 4th
- dinner he had for his national day celebrations, and then this dinner
- with President Zelensky, as well the prime ministers of Jordan,
- 13 Rumania, and the President of Poland.
- Q Did Ambassador Taylor or DAS Kent ever communicate with you
- about Sondland's role and whether it is irregular or not? Or the issues
- 16 they had?
- 17 A Yes, it was a regular topic of, well, Gordon handles this.
- 18 It was irregular. It was, then it became normal, because that's what
- the mandated arrangement was. He and Ambassador Volker were in regular
- 20 contact I think, coordinating.
- Q At any point, did Ambassador Taylor develop a real issue with
- 22 this?
- 23 A You know, I was looking back at, say, the WhatsApp, And once
- 24 Bill was out at post, I didn't have a lot of contact with him. A few
- times he mailed and said, When are you going to visit? And I was just

- never able to get into the calendar. We were waiting to get through
- the elections, the government elections and other things. But he
- really was dealing directly with, as far as I knew, Kurt and Sondland,
- 4 they would refer to that and, of course, George Kent was my point person
- on that. He was kind of keeping track and having, I think, quite
- 6 regular interaction with Bill Taylor.
- 7 Q Ambassador Taylor, some of the text messages that have been
- 8 posted at various news accounts, and his opening statement, which has
- 9 also been made part of the media accounts --
- 10 A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q -- he clearly talks about his frustrations.
- Did you see any of those news stories?
- 13 A I've seen the news stories since his testimony.
- Q Were you aware, contemporaneously, that he was having issues
- to the point where he had even raised the prospect of resigning?
- 16 A I don't believe I was, I knew there was sometimes
- frustrations, but I was not -- again, I wasn't doing this sort of
- day-to-day interaction with him or with the posts, so it was kind of
- 19 him working with --
- 20 Q So if he was seeking support from Washington --
- A He did not go through me. He was -- I also knew, which was
- another point of, okay, that's being handled, he was, I think, in fairly
- 23 regular contact every week or two with Counselor Brechbuhl.
- Q Did George Kent ever flag this for you as an issue that needed
- to be solved or worked through?

- He would just sort of bring up the latest, depending on what 1 was happening in Ukraine, I mean, things like the White House meeting, 2 that became almost any news on the White House meeting, you know, I 3 was looking at, in terms of the Ukraine account and my focus on it, 4 you know, we had the leadership in place at the mission, we had a policy 5 and a way forward, a team that was with the full support of the President 6 and the Secretary of State working on this, and there were a few things 7 to do. And one was the Zelensky White House meeting was very much in 8 the fore, and, you know, "Is it scheduled? Is there any news?" would 9 come up and still no news. 10
- And that sort of proceeded throughout the summer until, of course, there was the expectation when the President was going to Poland on the 1st of September, that there would be a bilateral meeting in Poland. Of course, the President canceled because of the hurricane.
- Q But for these issues, you were largely in the background?

 A Yeah. My task, all of these 50 countries and the travel and
 the engagement, is to make sure things are being handled. So I have
 seven, potentially eight deputies, and 50 missions, and making sure
 people are plugged in so the seventh floor Hale and Brechbuhl are aware
 of what is going on.
- MR. CASTOR: I think my time is up, Mr. Chairman.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't we take a 5- or 10-minute break and then
 we will resume.
- 24 [Recess.]
- THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, I have a few questions I want to go

- 1 through before I hand it back to our counsel.
- You used a phrase in connection with the -- is "curtailment" the
- better word than "recall" of Ambassador Yovanovitch's tenure in
- 4 Ukraine?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to -- I'm not
- 6 trying to be pedantic here.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: She was told by Secretary Perez to get on the next
- 8 plane.
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, but that was to come back to Washington
- 10 for consultation.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: And during those consultations, she was told:
- 12 You've done nothing wrong --
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: -- but the White House has lost confidence in you?
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: An Ambassador that's told the President's lost
- 17 confidence in them is pretty much being told your service is no longer
- 18 required at your post. Is that fair?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That is a fair characterization that
- technically she was given a choice of exactly what date, I mean, there
- 21 were 6 weeks left until she was already scheduled to depart and finish
- 22 her tour.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But that is only part of the story right,
- Ambassador, because wasn't she asked if she would be willing to, in
- 25 fact, extend her tour before all this happened?

- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do understand that Under Secretary Hale had discussed whether she was willing and able to do that when the change in the process of identifying her successor was derailed because of the reassignment of that person, of myself. And as I noted, we do do that in some cases, the existing Ambassador does stay on.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But here we're talking about going from a situation in which she is asked whether she can stay for a much longer period of time to being told to get on the next plane, come back to Washington and is informed that the President has lost confidence in her and then she ends up leaving that post prior to even her desired date in July.

23

24

25

- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct. Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Now, the term the President has lost confidence 14 is somewhat of a term of art. That can mean a lot of things, is not? 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, I wouldn't, I don't know that I could 16 speculate. It is a term that we use. You see it in government, I 17 guess, or in other situations. Certainly for an Ambassador, yes, that 18 19 is, or it happens also within the embassy, we have had I know many cases where the Ambassador has lost confidence in somebody in his or her team 20 and that person is sent home, finds a new position, curtails the 21 22 assignment.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: But in this case, where the Ambassador is told you've done nothing wrong, these allegations against you are essentially meritless. On what basis can you say the President lost

- confidence in the Ambassador when the Ambassador has done everything
- 2 she was supposed to do?
- It seems like it is not quite the right description for what
- 4 happened here.
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I did not use that term. The term was what
- the Deputy Secretary used in speaking with Masha.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, do you know if that is really not the best
- 8 description of what happened here?
- 9 What was the reason the President no longer wanted her to be U.S.
- 10 Ambassador to Ukraine?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do not know, sir.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: And there was an effort to get a statement at the
- top level of the State Department from the seventh floor expressing
- support for Ambassador Yovanovitch; the answer was no.
- Did you find out why the answer was no?
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: What I got was the Under Secretary had said
- no to putting out a statement, and that we would stick with the cleared
- agreed response that we had used that I described earlier.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, no, I understand that the decision was made
- that no, she would not be given that statement of support from the top
- 21 of the State Department.
- 22 My question is why?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So you were never given an explanation even though
- you were in the chain of command responsible for Ukraine among 49 other

- 1 countries?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know that the -- that that was
- 3 germane, particularly to the decision of how to handle this particular
- 4 case in response to the press and these allegations. I had urged --
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm asking you, though, given your
- 6 responsibility, you were never given an explanation for why the seventh
- floor refused to issue a statement of support for their own embattled
- 8 Ambassador, and Ambassador to which they believed had done nothing
- 9 wrong?
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The guidance that we were given, the response
- to the press was where I was told they felt comfortable going.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think you referenced an email or a text message
- in which the Ambassador were told that she should express public support
- for the President, did I understand that correctly?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I can, give me a moment, Mr. Chairman, I'll
- 16 find for you that particular email.
- Yes, what I quoted, I believe earlier, was an email from Under
- 18 Secretary Hale. I had forwarded on the 23rd of March, as I mentioned,
- 19 I tried to send updates because that is what I was asked to do about
- 20 all of this negative, this -- these narratives. And so that morning,
- or that, it was afternoon according to this, although I said, good
- 22 morning, I'm not sure why it shows 5 o'clock p.m.
- But I say, good morning, looping you in on the latest I received
- this morning -- and this was regarding what diGenova/Ingraham are
- stating and claiming and this was also where there was reference to

- 1 Twitter-based threats against Masha, which had me extremely concerned,
- and that we had passed that information to diplomatic security in the
- RSO. And the response from Under Secretary Hale was, defer to our coms,
- 4 communications people, but I believe Masha should deny on the record
- saying anything disrespectful and reaffirm her loyalty as an Ambassador
- 6 and FSO to POTUS and Constitution.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: So Ulrich Brechbuhl, I'm sorry this was Under
- 8 Secretary Hale --
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Under Secretary Hale.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Under Secretary Hale is recommending to a U.S.
- 11 Ambassador that she make a public expression of support for the U.S.
- 12 President and our Constitution?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I have read to you, sir, the quote from the
- 14 email and --
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Were you aware of any conversation that Ambassador
- Sondland may have had with others about telling the Ambassador to go
- big and issue a statement of support for the President?
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Ambassador Sondland? No. I'm not aware of
- 19 that at this time.
- I'm not aware of that in general.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Have you ever seen a circumstance where a U.S.
- 22 Ambassador was asked to give a personal expression of support for the
- 23 President of the United States or the Constitution?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, we all swear an oath to the
- 25 Constitution to protect and defend --

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: That is not my question. Everybody takes an oath
- 2 when they are sworn in.
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Right.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But my question is have you ever seen a
- circumstance where a U.S. Ambassador, under false attack, is asked to
- defend themselves by making a public expression of support for the
- 7 President or the Constitution?
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I cannot think of another instance of that.
- 9 No, sir. I can't say it hasn't happened, but --
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: You mentioned that Ambassador Sondland had direct
- access to the President and regular communications with Chief of Staff
- Mulvaney, is that right?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: He told me such, and I was aware of his, you
- know, ability. He would be in Washington and go to meetings at the
- White House, and then with the President. Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And I think you said that, essentially, he,
- although it was not part of his EU charter, he was given a commission
- of sorts, a remit of sorts, along with Ambassador Volker and Secretary
- 19 Perry, to be the lead on Ukraine in this pivotal period?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did you ever have a chance to read Ambassador
- 22 Taylor's written testimony?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, sir, I did. I definitely read the whole
- 24 thing once.
- THE CHAIRMAN: He makes a number of very disturbing -- oversights

- a number of disturbing facts involving an effort to coerce Ukraine to
- do two political investigations that would be helpful to the
- 3 President's reelection campaign by withholding a desperately sought
- White House meeting between the two Presidents and military aid.
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I take it you would think that if those facts are
- 7 correct, that coercing an ally to engage in political investigations
- 8 to help a President's reelection campaign is a terribly wrong thing
- 9 to do.
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Can you just repeat? I want to make sure I
- 11 get the exact context of the question.
- MR. PERRY: I question the premise of the question, reelection
- campaign. It is never stated and it is all hypothetical, Mr. Chairman.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm making reference to Ambassador Taylor's
- 15 testimony.
- But let me ask you this way, Ambassador: Would you agree that
- 17 pressuring an ally to conduct political investigations that would be
- useful to a President's reelection campaign by withholding a White
- 19 House meeting or withholding military aid would be wrong?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: May I have I second?
- I was going to answer, I recall this from my day, it really is
- 22 a hypothetical question.
- THE CHAIRMAN: It is actually not hypothetical at all. But can
- you agree, as a decades-long State Department official, that it would
- be wrong to withhold military aid from an ally fighting the Russians

- for political favors in a Presidential reelection campaign?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Again, it would depend on the exact context
- of that and what decisions may go with something like that, so I don't
- feel comfortable giving a definitive answer to that.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Really. So you think under certain
- 6 circumstances, it is okay to withhold --
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That is not at all what I said, sir.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: You're asking me to give a definitive answer
- 10 to a hypothetical question.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I wish it were hypothetical but nonetheless
- 12 Ambassador Reeker, I think it is a fairly simple question.
- The Ukrainians were deeply interested in having a meeting between
- the two Presidents, were they not?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: It was our strategy, our goal. We were very
- interested in having a meeting take place.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And so were the Ukrainians, right?
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: It was very important to Ukraine, was it not?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: To my understanding, it was, yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And it was important to Ukraine, because a meeting
- 22 with the United States President in the Oval Office shows that the new
- 23 President of Ukraine has a relationship with the President of the United
- 24 States, right?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: And that is an important signal to send to
- adversaries like the Russians, who are were occupying Ukrainian land,
- 3 right.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That was one of the reasons it was part of
- our strategy was to demonstrate support for President Zelensky and the
- 6 new chapter of Ukraine moving forward in accordance with the strategy
- 7 that Kurt Volker had outlined had emerged from the meeting that those
- 8 three, the delegation, had had with the President on the 23rd of May.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: And because that was in the best interests of the
- 10 United States, our national security that that meeting happened, you
- would agree, would you not, to withhold that meeting for help of the
- 12 Presidential campaign would be wrong.
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: If that was the case. I don't know that that
- 14 was the case.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, but if that was the case, you would agree
- 16 that would be wrong.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It is the prerogative of the President to
- determine what meetings he schedules or doesn't.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Is it the prerogative of the President to coerce
- 20 an ally to help with a Presidential campaign.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, under the -- I don't think, I don't
- 22 want to -- excuse me.
- 23 MR. GOLDMAN: Let the record reflect that the witness is
- 24 consulting with his attorney.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The witness has consulted his attorney

- because the witness is not a lawyer.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I'm just asking about right and wrong. And
- 3 wouldn't you agree that it would be wrong for the President of the United
- 4 States to withhold either a summit meeting with a foreign leader, or
- withhold military assistance as leverage to get help with his
- 6 Presidential campaign. Wouldn't you agree that that is wrong.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: If that were indeed the case in a
- 8 hypothetical situation, that -- particularly when that was running
- 9 counter to what was our described strategy for implementing policy,
- 10 what we had determined was the way forward, I would find that
- 11 disappointing.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Well. Okay.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Again, sir, you are asking me to comment on
- something that I don't know to be the fact.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I'm not asking you about a hypothetical
- here. You've read the call record of the July 25th call between the
- 17 President of the United States and President Zelensky, haven't you.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I read what was released, I was not on that
- 19 call.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And you read Ambassador Taylor's testimony.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, his released statement that he
- 22 released.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And the most you can say about a President who
- 24 would use his office to coerce an ally to undertake political
- investigations to his advantage is that it would be disappointing?

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm trying to refer to the released portion.
- MR. MEADOWS: Mr. Chairman, with all due respect, what he is
- reviewing is not the full testimony of Ambassador Taylor. I want to
- 4 make that clear. We sat in here for 7 hours. And so, unless he has
- the deposition. I haven't been able to see it. I don't know what he
- is referring to other than a leaked partial testimony of Ambassador
- 7 Taylor.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not directing him to review anything.
- 9 Would you read the question back for me?
- 10 MR. MEADOWS: So, Counselor, is he reviewing the deposition
- 11 there?
- THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not asking for what he is reviewing, I'm just
- waiting for the reporter to read back the question.
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: If you want to know, I'm reviewing the
- opening statement that was released publicly by Ambassador Taylor.
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, two points: One, it wasn't necessarily
- 17 released by Ambassador Taylor. I don't know that we --
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: No, I don't mean to imply that it was released by
- 19 Ambassador Taylor. I don't believe it was released by Ambassador
- 20 Taylor.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think I pulled it off the internet.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And do you factually dispute anything you saw in
- the copy of Ambassador Taylor's written testimony.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'd have to go over it in far greater detail.
- 25 Much of it was stuff I was not aware of, he described his impressions

- 1 and other things I --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, Ambassador Taylor does describe, I think,
- in a text message and in his written testimony, are we really going
- 4 to hold up military aid for purposes of a political campaign or words
- 5 to that effect? You are aware of that.
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: And that Ambassador Taylor threatened to resign
- 8 over that.
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I was not aware that he had, I read that he
- 10 considered that, I was not aware that this was happening at the time.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: So this conduct that the Ambassador thought
- serious enough to potentially resign over, am I to understand that you
- think that conduct would be okay or only disappointing.
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, sir, I never said that.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, then tell me, do you think that conduct that
- 16 Ambassador Taylor described would be wrong.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He would be justified fully in taking that
- decision to resign if that was the way he felt, that is a decision for
- 19 him. Again, the conduct is, I don't know what the conduct was. You
- 20 are describing for me what he questioned and wondered if that was what
- 21 was taking place, and it may have been. I was not aware of that at
- the time. Subsequently, we have seen lots of reporting to that effect.
- 23 So I'm not comfortable as a career foreign service officer, you know,
- to comment on something that I'm not familiar with, specifically, what
- the details or circumstances of any particular situation might be.

- 1 As a general rule, I want to see our policies followed and
- implemented, and certainly promoting the White House meeting,
- 3 supporting President Zelensky, providing the military assistance that
- 4 had been appropriated and approved by the Congress of the United States
- was critical to our efforts to help Ukraine, and we had a tremendous
- opportunity, we still have, to support a whole new direction there.
- 7 And that's -- as I got updates, and as I mentioned already, was asking
- 8 regularly is there any news on the White House meeting, we were
- 9 monitoring for that. We were monitoring formation of the government.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I hate to cut you off, but you're a long distance
- 11 from my question. I can you are very reluctant to express an opinion
- on this subject.
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Because my opinions are not what I understood
- 14 this to be for.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, you were in charge of the policy of
- 16 Ukraine among other countries.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I was not, sir, in charge of the policy in
- 18 Ukraine.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you had the responsibility in the chain of
- command for what was going on vis-à-vis Ukraine as one of your, as part
- of your portfolio, did you not?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The Ukraine policy implementation was being
- 23 handled, as I have described by Ambassador Sondland, Ambassador
- 24 Volker in conjunction with --
- THE CHAIRMAN: It was part of your area of responsibility, was

- 1 it not.
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The Ukraine is one of the countries in the
- 3 Europe and Eurasia bureau.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I'm asking your opinion about what was happening
- to subvert U.S. policy vis-à-vis the Ukraine is important. But I
- 6 understand your reluctance to express an opinion about the conduct of
- 7 the President. But it is important, given your responsibility in this
- 8 area, to know what you believe is right and what you believe is wrong.
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do not know what the conduct of the
- 10 President was. I have not met or spoken to the President or been part
- of the discussion involved in the phone calls or the specific meetings.
- 12 What I was monitoring and tracking was, you know, accomplishing the
- things that had been set out, according to Ambassador Volker, embraced
- by the Secretary and the President to my understanding, in terms of
- 15 moving forward.
- To say that I was dismayed, frustrated that the White House
- meeting had not yet taken place is a fair, is a good statement. There
- 18 was definitely concern, as I have already mentioned, that the
- assistance, particularly the military assistance, was held up. We
- didn't know exactly where or why, so we were pursuing this PCC process
- to try to force a decision and a movement forward on that, and that
- that was, indeed, through that period in July going into August was
- of concern to I think all of us working on Ukraine trying to figure
- out why, where the original idea had been to have a White House meeting
- before the parliamentary elections in Ukraine. That came and passed.

- 1 It was very successful.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Again, I hate to cut you off, Ambassador, but you
- are far afield from my question. So let's turn to the documents that
- 4 you have indicated in your binder several yellow tagged pages. Could
- you go to the first yellow tagged page you have in your binder.
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you tell us what that document is.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It is an email, from let's see, David Hale,
- 9 the Under Secretary, responding to my forwarding to my forwarding to
- 10 him, we had had an earlier engagement in terms of the broad question
- in March, for a couple weeks.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: What's the date of the email.
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: 26th of March.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Can you read us what that email contains.
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Ambassador Yovanovitch had told us -- sent
- an email noting to us that Ukrainian television had conducted public
- opinion polling regarding the level of trust to Lutsenko, he had been
- 18 the Prosecutor who had raised all of these accusations, some of which
- 19 he later recanted, about Ambassador Yovanovitch versus statements
- issued by the U.S. side, the statements, the embassy and the Department
- 21 had put out in response to questions. And 83.4 percent of respondents
- trust Ambassador Yovanovitch, 5.5 percent trust Lutsenko, and
- 23 11.1 percent do not care. And Masha had sent that to us noting the
- subject line, a bright spot in my day, which, indeed, underscored the
- fact that the Ukrainian people were, you know, understood the sort of

- 1 nasty politics afoot in Ukraine.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And what is the gist of the email that is in
- 3 response to that.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I forwarded further to Under Secretary Hale,
- 5 you know, we had been discussing over this period why, and why now,
- 6 was this happening in March.
- 7 Why did all this barrage of stuff begin?
- And George Kent, the deputy who manages Ukraine, provided some
- 9 insights from some Ukrainian journalists that he had meet in an event
- in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and they were commenting on the dynamic
- 11 known as the Giuliani-Lutsenko dynamic and --
- THE CHAIRMAN: And what was that? Would you read that paragraph?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Sure. So I'm quoting here, from, now just
- 14 to be very clear this is --
- MR. MEADOWS: So is this an exhibit we have, Mr. Chairman? I
- 16 guess what I'm saying is, is this a fishing expedition? Are we just
- going to ask him to read 400 pages of 3 inch --
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: We may have to, Mr. Meadows, because these are
- documents that have not been provided the committee.
- MR. MEADOWS: But they are government documents, Mr. Chairman,
- and from what I understand they were brought in to help him refresh
- 22 his memory, not as an investigative tool.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Representative Meadows, I'm asking the witness to
- 24 read from the documents and --
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, I guess --

- MS. DAUM: Mr. Chairman, I'm perfectly happy to let him read this 1 one document. May I note that those yellow flags were placed by counsel 2 as part of our discussions prior to this meeting. Asking him to review 3 individual yellow flagged document and read them in the record, as 4 Mr. Meadows has stated, was not the intention of bringing these 5 documents. They are to help assist the committee in providing in 6 helping Mr. Reeker understand the events in which he was participating. 7 They are to help him refresh his recollection. We were more than happy 8 to cooperate and provide assistance but, again, asking him to refer 9 to each individual document is going to be -- is not the intention of 10 this. 11 If you don't want him to refer to his binder, and if you don't 12 want him to be able to provide you the detailed information --13 THE CHAIRMAN: Counsel, you disclosed the substance of the 14 yellow-tagged pages, I did not. That was your choice to disclose that 15 16 attorney-client communication. But the witness is referring to documents to refresh his memory. 17 We are entitled to know what those documents are that refresh his 18 19 memory.
- MS. DAUM: You are.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And no proper objection is laid.
- 22 So the witness will answer the question.
- MS. DAUM: I'm not sure what the question was.
- THE CHAIRMAN: If the question was, what does the email say
- 25 pertaining to the Giuliani discussion?

AMBASSADOR REEKER: So to provide the appropriate context, this 1 is an excerpt of something that was forwarded to me by George Kent, 2 our Deputy Assistant Secretary for Ukraine, who, as he described it, 3 provided color commentary from Cambridge, where he was that long 4 weekend in March, met with Ukrainian journalists, and they both -- he 5 talked about two journalists who offered -- shared their views, quote, 6 "offline" separately. 7 And I will quote what he wrote. He said they had talked to players 8 extensively in Kyiv the past 5 days and knew that the Kyiv dynamic was 9 Both thought that Guiliani had probably initiated Giuliani-Lutsenko. 10 the relationship. Parenthetical note, (I remain unsure, and I think 11 it was Lutsenko) close parenthesis. But even if Lutsenko had reached 12 out, they felt that Giuliani shaped the dynamics of the, quote, 13 "reveal." Of most note, Giuliani allegedly told Lutsenko that he was 14 acting fully on the President's behalf and the President wanted Masha 15 gone. 16 This alleged message feeds the determination of Lutsenko to 17 proceed with the attacks, feeling they can validate their usefulness 18 by delivering. 19 I don't feel comfortable using the names of two journalists. Is 20 it all right just to refer to two people who told him this? 21 THE CHAIRMAN: That is fine. 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: These two people also felt that regardless 23 24 of how much Poroshenko knew or authorized the operation, the team was, quote, "all in" and would not stop, in reference to the attacks on Masha. 25

- 1 Each was appalled at what Lutsenko had done and did not see any winner
- in this gambit except Moscow.
- THE CHAIRMAN: If we could go to next yellow tabbed document.
- 4 You can tell us, is that an email and who it is to and from.
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is just a list of, let me figure out,
- this is forwarding George Kent's updates on the Wednesday, the 27th
- to Counsel Brechbuhl and Under Secretary Hale, for the Wednesday
- 8 updates on The Hill article, and it is just a lot of press pieces.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Is that the one you referenced earlier that had
- 10 the four subject matters.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The four narratives, I think, was the word
- 12 I think I used, or George had another term for it for --
- THE CHAIRMAN: So, I'm sorry, what date is that document.
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This one is the 27th of March, so it is in
- the second week as all that story was coming out. Yeah. I had come
- on the, started on the 18th, and this is the following week. And so
- it is -- this is not that specific one, I think it was from these, and
- I would have to find, again, the one where George sort of had, he and
- 19 his team had looked at all of this with the embassy, because one of
- the questions posed to me by the Counselor, and the Under Secretary
- was exactly sort of what is prompting this and why now? I think the
- why now was very tied to the Ukrainian political season, and the
- 23 presidential election. And so, he was forwarding these up. And I
- 24 didn't send every single item because there were lots of them. I
- couldn't possibly read all of them, but I sent ones that seemed to

- 1 capture sort of where the story was.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go to the next yellow tab then.
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: And that is just simply me saying I inquired
- 4 as to --
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Can you tell us is it an email to and from and the
- 6 date.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It is an email from me responding to an email
- 8 from David Hale.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: On what date.
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The 28th, the next day.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I can see that is a short email. What does it
- 12 provide.
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is just the Under Secretary saying I
- have tried to get guidance from the Counselor, and suggesting to me
- 15 if --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to just read it for us. It would be
- 17 simpler than paraphrasing.
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I have tried several times to get guidance
- 19 from Ulrich, to no avail. I suggest Phil call to see if we can okay,
- one, her -- Masha's -- use of social media in self-defense and, B,
- 21 release of a Department statement.
- And as we talked earlier, ultimately, the answer from David Hale
- 23 was no statement.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go on to the next yellow tab.
- 25 MR. MALINOWSKI. Excuse me, seeking guidance, what was the

- seeking guidance all about?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I couldn't tell you that definitively. All
- I have is just this email, just, "I tried several times to get guidance
- 4 from Ulrich." I think at that time we were still in this issue of,
- 5 how do we push back on this, and what can Masha do? And so his reference
- to using social media in self-defense to make that case, we discussed
- 7 earlier, he had suggested she might want to put out some kind of
- statement, and then the question of releasing a Department statement.
- 9 But I can't -- I think that is in the context of what he was seeking
- guidance on but I can't say that definitively, since it is from the
- 11 Under Secretary. And here is the one that describes the, sort of, the
- comprehensive. So this is by now 2 weeks, so this is the April 1st.
- THE CHAIRMAN: You are referring to another email now. Can you
- tell us who that is to and from and the date.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is from George to myself and others
- within the Bureau where he has put together this, what I described
- earlier, this four main narratives that were coming out of this barrage,
- the four narratives that he could see that all of this, these stories
- 19 belonged to essentially one of these four major narratives.
- THE CHAIRMAN: My colleagues may want to go through it more in
- 21 detail, but let's go on to the next yellow tab.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think it is the last yellow tab.
- Mr. MEADOWS: Mr. Chairman, again, what questions are we asking
- other than trying to get him to read emails so that you can ask different
- 25 questions? I don't -- it really will have a chilling effect on every

- single witness if what you are going to tell them to do is don't bring
- in documents to refresh your memory, because I may get you to read them
- 3 all. I can't imagine that in a --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Representative Meadows, do you know what has a
- 5 chilling effect? The White House sending letters to witnesses like
- 6 this saying don't show up. So if you want to --
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: I don't know of a single witness that has not shown
- 8 up.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: -- encourage the witnesses --
- MR. MEADOWS: Has a single witness not shown up at this point?
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- MR. MEADOWS: They could be litigated --
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- MR. MEADOWS: Which one.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we can fill you in when we are not taking
- 16 up the time of the witness.
- MR. MEADOWS: I'm not aware of one.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: We just heard from one yesterday who is not going
- 19 to show up.
- 20 MR. MEADOWS: I'm saying to date every single witness has shown
- 21 up --
- THE CHAIRMAN: That is not even remotely accurate, Mr. Meadows.
- 23 But let's discuss this outside the presence of the witness. The
- witness has testified, Mr. Meadows, that he used these documents to
- refresh his recollection. We have every right to find out what he has

- 1 used to refresh his recollection.
- MR. MEADOWS: But recollection on what question, Mr. Chairman?
- THE CHAIRMAN: On his testimony today. So, Mr. Meadows, I'm
- sorry, but there is no legitimate objection to lodge here, nor has there
- 5 been one lodged. I can appreciate that members may not want the
- contents of these documents to become known to the committee, but there
- 7 is no other reason to prevent this witness --
- 8 MR. MEADOWS: I don't object. I don't object to anything that
- 9 actually furthers the transparency. What I do object to is you have
- got a counselor and a witness who have obviously gone through and tried
- to highlight things so that in the event that you or the minority ask
- a question where they can quickly refer to it, to suggest that they
- should go through and --
- MS. DAUM: I do object to the characterization of the reason why
- those yellow flags are there.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you have given the characterization of why
- the yellow flags are there, and I accept your representation --
- MS. DAUM: The reason why has not been --
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: But, in any event, there is no proper objection
- lodged here. The witness will go back to the document we were referring
- to, and please describe the date --
- MR. MEADOWS: So, Mr. Chairman, let me ask one other thing, then.
- You are not trying to induce the witness here to breach attorney-client
- 24 privilege by asking them to read that out, is that correct.
- 25 Because that is what it appears --

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: My colleague, it is the lawyer's prerogative to
- disclose to the committee, her attorney-client privileges. She has
- done so in part. That was her decision, not mine. So if we can return
- 4 to the document.
- MR. MEADOWS: I don't recall it. Maybe she could read that.
- 6 Could we have the clerk read that back, because I'm not aware of that.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Meadows, I'm not going to allow any further
- 8 delay.
- 9 MS. DAUM: Might I just say you are assuming that the reason why
- 10 those flags were there is covered by the privilege.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not assuming anything, Counselor.
- 12 I'm just asking the witness to answer the question.
- There will be no further interruptions, please.
- MS. DAUM: It is an assumption.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I'm not assuming anything except what you told us,
- so Ambassador Reeker, you may tell us what that document is, the to
- 17 and from and the date on it, please.

- 1 [2:32 p.m.]
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is an email that I pulled out. I
- 3 remember specifically pulling this out because that pertains to the
- 4 question you asked and I answered earlier about the role of Ambassador
- 5 Sondland.
- There was stuff not at all connected to Ukraine, but I also asked
- the Under Secretary, reminding you that I've been on the ground in this
- 8 job for 2 weeks, to understand better why Gordon is involved and the
- 9 Under Secretary responded --
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, can you tell us the date?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: April 2nd.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: April 2nd. And it's from you to --
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, it's a string so it --
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: A string between you and whom?
- MR REEKER: And David Hale, the Under Secretary. My -- the head
- 16 of policy.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: And if you could read us the string from the -- in
- 18 chronological order, in terms of the time.
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It has nothing do with this case, the other
- things, until separately I asked to understand better why Gordon is
- 21 so involved.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Can you tell us what you asked about that and what
- the reply was?
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I just told you. I asked him: Separately,
- 25 I'd like to understand why Gordon is so involved.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's another thing. And he responded:
- 3 Yes, Sondland angle is irregular. And I believe I already testified
- 4 to that as the characterization of the role when I had asked, coming
- 5 new into this, why the Ambassador to the European Union was that
- involved. And that was the answer from the Under Secretary.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: And was that the sum to total on that document of
- 8 the discussion of Ambassador Sondland or Ukraine?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. The rest was separate stuff about
- 10 George Kent and had do with Georgia, different thing.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If we could move on to the next yellow
- 12 tagged page.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay. This was -- again, this had to do with
- 14 keeping -- the date here is April 22nd. So I was at this time in -- back
- in Germany. Yeah. Yeah, I was in Stuttgart. The problem with email,
- it doesn't tell you where you are.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, you don't need to look up your location. If
- 18 you could just --
- MR REEKER: Well, I want to be complete if I'm going to read you
- 20 all my emails.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I didn't ask you the location.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I was in Stuttgart. So there had been a
- 23 question about making sure Under Secretary Hale was getting all of
- 24 the -- you know, he wanted to make sure he was getting information.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So this is an email from you or is this another

- 1 chain?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is a chain that begins: I'm not even
- a part of it. I had called back to the office to say, you know, Under
- 4 Secretary Hale wants to be more tightly lashed up in the Ukraine
- decision information cycle. So this is back to the team that's
- 6 handling Ukraine, George Kent and his team there, which, as I put it,
- have been whirring -- whirring, w-h-i-r-r-i-n-g -- at warp speed the
- 8 last several weeks.
- 9 That's from George to the Under Secretary's staff. And this was
- sort of making sure how we were -- we broadly, how the Ukraine team
- was feeding information to the Under Secretary. And the response from
- the Chief of Staff was: That sounds right. The current flow is fine.
- 13 If you flag things for the staffer and me when needed, we will be in
- 14 good shape. Phil can reach out directly to P -- the Under
- 15 Secretary -- or me -- the Chief of Staff -- whenever needed to ensure
- he is looped in, especially with regard to anything for the Secretary,
- 17 because the Under Secretary handles that.
- And then George has forwarded to me saying: Per the below and
- our evening telcon -- telephone conversation -- I engaged the Chief
- of Staff on how much he wanted and we've been shoveling information
- to the staffers, the staffers' way.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And the date on that document again?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: April 22nd.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And is that the gist of the communication?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That is, yes, very much. And it includes a

- string of information, condensed versions of the press stories, a
- 2 number of bylines, some tweets, various things that he just was
- 3 demonstrating.
- I was -- and I can remember being in -- that's why it was relevant
- 5 where was I -- I was back in Stuttgart. And I wanted to make sure that
- the team, which had been feeding me stuff, was feeding it to the Under
- 7 Secretary, who was really the -- you know, is the head of policy -- and
- 8 filter stuff to the Secretary as appropriate.
- 9 MR. LYNCH: Could we know if Ambassador Sondland is on that chain?
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He is not. This was really the
- internal -- you know, the stuff was still coming, we're now about a
- month from this initial deluge, and how process-wise, we're just making
- sure everybody was in the loop, which, of course, is what leads to these
- 14 complex email chains.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go to the next document.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: And this is George forwarding to me on the
- 17 26th.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: The 26th of --
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: April, I'm sorry, 26th of April this year.
- Just let me get reference of where I was, what I was doing.
- Yeah, so this was -- I was still in Stuttgart. I had described
- for the record as part of the deposition earlier it was the 24th of
- 23 April where things took a turn. I can't remember the quote that I read
- 24 you, but I had lot of calls about the situation, unhappiness --
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Tell us.

- AMBASSADOR REEKER: -- about the situation. And so George was 1 then forwarding to me on Friday the 26th new stuff. This is forwarding 2 from Embassy Kyiv's press collection. So they had forwarded it 3 throughout the embassy. 4 Subject: President Trump discusses Ukraine on the Hannity 5 program and a new Ukraine-related column in The Hill, the publication. 6 And George just pointed out for me -- again, I'm over in Germany trying 7 to make sure we're generally there -- it says: No mention of the 8 Ambassador, i.e., Ambassador Yovanovitch, focus instead on the alleged 9 Clinton 2016 collusion and the Boden -- I think that's supposed to be 10 Biden, but it's a typo -- Burisma strands of the story line. As I 11 12 described the four strands that George had identified, what I identified as strands two and three, with the attack on the Ambassador 13 and the anticorruption programs as strand one, and the attack on the 14 anticorruption NGO as a Soros organization as strand four. So that's 15 why I flagged this. It was reiterating --16 THE CHAIRMAN: And who's in that chain of communication? 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: George Kent sent it to myself, the Acting 18 Principal Deputy, was head of the press office. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, who's the Acting? 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: His name is Michael Murphy. While I was gone 21 he was the Acting -- another one of the seven DAS's, and he was Acting 22 while I was away. And the head of our press office, who 23
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go on I think to maybe the last.

has since moved on to a new assignment.

24

- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think you've expired the yellow tabs. 1 this is from the director, so he would be essentially the deputy to 2 George Kent. 3
- THE CHAIRMAN: What's the date? 4
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Friday, March -- May, excuse me, May 5
- the 10th. So context here. Ah, yes. I was -- I had gotten back. 6
- You'll recall I mentioned May the 9th I had returned with the Secretary. 7
- We traveled to Rovaniemi, Finland, for the Arctic Council meeting, to 8
- Berlin -- Berlin had been postponed. We'd had to go to Baghdad 9
- unexpectedly because of the Iranian issues there. And then we'd gone 10
- to London and I'd arrive at Andrews the morning of the 9th, gone to 11
- the --12

14

- THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, we don't really need your whole travel 13 schedule, just interested in the document. Can you tell us --
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, it helps give me context, sir, into why 15
- they were sending me things and why I pulled them out. So this was 16
- forwarding on a Newsweek story, "How Rudy Giuliani's unfounded claims 17
- of an anti-Trump conspiracy in Ukraine may have ousted an Ambassador." 18
- THE CHAIRMAN: And --19
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: And it just noted this Newsweek piece 20
- highlights the Giuliani-Lutsenko connection that is at the root of all 21
- this, as you'll recall, one of the sort of strands that George had 22
- described. There is also he refers to a New York Times article today 23
- that says, "Giuliani plans to go to Kyiv to meet with Zelensky and urge 24
- him to continue investigating the alleged Ukrainian collusion with the 25

- 1 Clinton campaign and the case again Hunter Biden." So it's just
- informational on what was in the press.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And did you get a reply when you sent that out from
- 4 anyone?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I did not send that out. This is an incoming
- 6 email to me.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, it is. Okay.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Sorry if I did not make clear. This is an
- 9 email from the office director, who is essentially the deputy to the
- 10 head of Ukraine, the DAS, George Kent.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Did you receive a copy of the cable that Ambassador
- 12 Taylor sent to the Secretary?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I did not receive it because it was sent
- "NODIS," but I have seen it since.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: And what's the nature of that cable? And when you
- say you've seen it since, when does that mean you've seen it?
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This past week.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Is that something you reviewed to prepare for your
- 19 testimony?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, I think that's a fair -- fair to say.
- I went back to find it. I had not seen it in the original that I recall.
- I think the date of it was -- let's see here -- August 29th. And this
- was -- again, it's a classified cable, so I won't speak to it under
- 24 anything that is classified.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did you know about the cable at the time?

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: On August 29th, I don't believe I did, no.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So was the first time you actually learned about
- 3 the cable either when you read about Ambassador Taylor's testimony or
- 4 when you went to review it last week?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I believe it was the first time and that's
- 6 why I went to review it. If I had seen it or been aware of it, I did
- 7 not recall that. And it was when I read reference to it that I looked
- 8 it up to find it, because --
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: And how did you obtain it if you only saw it last
- 10 week?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I asked my staff to get it from the watch.
- You know there's lots of emails, lots of cables online.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Were there other documents you also sought to
- 14 review in preparation for your testimony today?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Really just as much of the email as I could
- 16 go through.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: So apart from the cable and the binder, were there
- other -- in the binder of documents you have with you today -- were
- there other documents you sought to review that you do not have with
- 20 you today?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Calendars mostly, which I have brought to try
- to sort of put together the timeline into -- which is, I was hoping,
- would be helpful to you to understand my engagement and involvement.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let me ask one more question and I'm going to hand
- back -- or are we out of time? We're out of time. Okay. Then I will

- 1 yield to the minority.
- 2 MR. MEADOWS: If you need to eat.
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm fine with that. No, really, truly,
- 4 Scout's honor. I'm been trying to figure out how to diet. No,
- 5 absolutely, sir. Thank you for the consideration.
- 6 MR. MEADOWS: The deposition diet.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is not an
- 8 appetite-inducing experience.
- 9 BY MR. CASTOR:
- 10 Q Always if you need to consult with your lawyer, step out,
- 11 you know, please feel free to let us know. Because these depositions
- aren't super comfortable. So to the extent we can make them more
- 13 comfortable, we try to do it.
- A I finished my coffee, so by 45 minutes I'll probably need
- 15 to step out.
- 16 Q Okay.
- When you took your post as the Acting Assistant Secretary, what
- was your understanding of the U.S. policy towards Ukraine was at a high
- 19 level?
- 20 A We were dedicated to supporting Ukraine, a democratic
- 21 Ukraine, Western-oriented, supporting its reforms, which we had,
- supporting it against the Russian not only malign influence, but
- 23 military attack, which was ongoing. That included of course a very
- robust sanctions policy. And our diplomacy more broadly across Europe
- included working with other countries to continue the broad support

- for those sanctions, European Union sanctions, from the public
- diplomacy standpoint, explaining that, trying to help others see,
- against the face of a lot of Russian disinformation, what was really
- 4 going on in Ukraine.
- And of course then the transition, you know, supporting free, fair
- 6 elections, which were remarkably free and fair, and fairly resounding
- 7 in terms of electing Zelensky. So that was all the broad thing. And
- as I've stated before, my marching orders, as it were, were continuity
- of supporting all of the policy aspects in regard to the whole portfolio
- in all 50 countries and NATO and EU engagement and other things.
- 11 O And that was on track and proceeding according to the U.S.'s
- plan when you took your post?
- A Yeah. I mean, when I arrived, the big focus was on the
- elections. As I mentioned briefly, I'd had the short trip down to
- Odessa in my EUCOM capacity, accompanied by Ambassador Sondland. Of
- 16 course Ambassador Yovanovitch was there, Ambassador Volker was there,
- and these EU officials in a show of support by having this ship visit
- in the Odessa port.
- So I think that was on track. We knew that these elections were
- crucial and we wanted to get through them and be able to move forward
- 21 depending on the outcome.
- And then, of course, what I did understand once I got on the ground
- was the role that Ambassador Volker played, I hadn't had as much of
- a grasp of that, but that he had a very leading role, and that Ambassador
- 25 Sondland would play a big role.

- And, of course, that all became clearer and more defined after
- they had sort of been named as the delegation to the Zelensky
- inauguration and then met to brief the President afterwards and took
- 4 the lead, with the full support of the Secretary and the President,
- in implementing the sort of way ahead that I described earlier.
- 6 O And part of implementing the U.S. policy with Ukraine was
- financial assistance and military assistance with the use of lethal
- 8 defensive weapons?
- 9 A That is correct, yes.
- 10 Q And that was a slight change in policy from the previous
- 11 administration?
- 12 A I wasn't tracking particularly closely at that time the
- previous administration's Ukraine policy, but I do know that the
- 14 lethal -- the provision of -- now I'm going to -- maybe I do need to
- eat -- went blank on the Javelins. The defense systems was a new aspect
- 16 under this.
- And I do know, I keep this note card all the time actually with
- me, that State and USAID assistance for Ukraine, which is earmarked
- by the Congress and so those allocations are different than our regular
- 20 IEECA funding, or the assistance for Eastern Europe and Central Asia,
- was for fiscal year 2019 approaching \$450 million, \$445.7 million.
- 22 O And the provision of lethal defensive weapons was a
- 23 substantial upgrade in the overall policy?
- A Yeah. Again, I don't -- I wouldn't want to get into
- characterizing too much because I just don't -- I wasn't familiar with

- what was. I inherited -- this is what I inherited and where we were
- 2 and that's really what I was focused on.
- Q Do you know what the thinking was behind providing lethal
- 4 defensive weapons to Ukraine?
- A I think those were debates and discussions that were had
- 6 certainly before my time.
- 7 O Okay. Was it to prevent further Russian incursion?
- 8 A It was certainly to help Ukraine defend itself from exactly
- 9 that.
- 10 O And has that aid done what it was intended to do?
- 11 A I'm not sure. I think the simple, broad answer is yes, that
- it's helped. The Russians are still there, there is still a war going
- on. There are various political and diplomatic processes at work,
- 14 Ambassador Volker was very much a part of one, which have not moved
- anywhere, and I think that's largely because of Moscow's role.
- Q When Ambassador Taylor went out to Ukraine, arriving in June,
- was the U.S. policy on track to meet its objectives?
- A I mean, again, we had outlined, as I outlined for you, several
- 19 goals more immediate, an immediate strategy. That included support
- for Zelensky, who was then new, and that included having the White House
- 21 meeting, which was not on track. The hope had been to have that before
- the parliamentary elections in Ukraine. That did not occur.
- Q Taylor had raised the prospect of resigning. Did you -- did
- I hear you say you that you only learned about that secondhand in the
- course of his statement or the news accounts?

- 1 A Correct. You know, I think I described some reluctance he
- 2 had had about taking the position, and he wanted to meet with the
- 3 Secretary and to be reassured that Ukraine policy was not changing.
- 4 And I believe he found that reassurance, because after the May 28th
- 5 meeting with the Secretary he was ready to go, and then subsequently
- 6 then arrived and took charge at Embassy Kyiv.
- 7 Q And since his arrival, U.S. policy has not changed, has it?
- 8 A No, sir.
- 9 O And it remains on track?
- A Again, there were some, going back to what I described as
- the goals that Kurt Volker briefed me on following the meeting May 23rd,
- there were some -- some -- I can refer back to it. It does not have
- 13 a yellow tag.
- So, again, these were takeaways on the way forward, indeed the
- 15 President has signed a congratulatory letter to Zelensky. He did
- 16 invite --
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Could the witness let us know what the witness is
- 18 referring to, what document?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: This is what I referred to earlier, sir.
- This is the readout I got from Ambassador Volker after the Thursday,
- 21 May 23rd, meeting of the Ukrainian, what we called the delegation that
- 22 had been appointed by the President to attend the inauguration of
- Zelensky, that is Volker, Sondland, Secretary Perry. And they had gone
- to the White House, met with the President. And I think I described
- 25 earlier that this was sort of the way ahead.

- And we have, in fact, as I said, the goal had been to invite
 Zelensky and have an Oval Office meeting before the July parliamentary
 elections. That didn't happen. The idea had been to -- that there
 would now be seeking a noncareer ambassadorial candidate.
- That process is still underway. So that is not quite there yet is my understanding. I think that's been handled by Counselor

 Brechbuhl and others.
- And I do understand that on the energy side, with help from experts and others, Ukraine has fully stocked up to meet its winter gas needs in order to get through the winter should the Russians try to turn off the gas flow.
- And, of course, I think it's worth saying that our goal is engaging
 this new government and Zelensky on the reforms, continuing the press
 for countering corruption and moving forward, much of that accomplished
 through our various aid programs.

16 BY MR. CASTOR:

- Q Ambassador Taylor, how long is his posting expected to last?
- A I'm hesitating because I do not recall if there was a finite date to it. One answer, which would be correct, would be upon the appointment of an Ambassador, since he is not Ambassador, he's Charge.
- I would have to go back to check. There may be a sort of 1-year parameter, possibly extendable, but I would have to double-check the administrative detail and I don't recall.
- Q Do you know if there's any efforts ongoing at present to pick a permanent Ambassador?

- A Yes. I'm sorry if I wasn't clear. That is an ongoing
- process. And I believe, having talked to the Counselor, Brechbuhl,
- 3 who I think has sort have been spearheading that, they are narrowing
- down on names. I know he and I had one meeting where he floated a number
- of names that had emerged in their discussion, some of whom I was -- some
- of the names I was familiar with.
- 7 Q Okay. So if a name emerges in the next several months, we
- 8 shouldn't be surprised?
- 9 A Correct. I think that's fair to say, yes.
- 10 Q You mentioned this morning a meeting that you had after March
- 11 21st, you had a meeting with the Deputy Chief of Mission of the
- 12 Ukrainians?
- 13 A On March 21st, correct.
- 14 Q 21st, right. And then when Ambassador Chalyy returned to
- 15 Washington, you met with him?
- 16 A On March 26th, correct.
- 17 O Were you aware that during the campaign he had been outspoken
- 18 against candidate Trump?
- 19 A No, sir, I was not aware of that.
- Q Okay. He wrote an op-ed during the 2016 election period,
- in August, critical of candidate Trump, and that's something you're
- 22 not familiar with?
- 23 A No, sir.
- Q Okay. Is that unusual for a sitting U.S. Ambassador --
- A He's not the U.S. Ambassador.

- Q I'm sorry. A sitting Ukrainian Ambassador to the U.S. I apologize.
- 4 Q Can you think of a time when the sitting Ambassador to the
- 5 U.S. has taken on a candidate in the U.S. election for President?
- 6 A Oh, I can think of instances certainly in Europe, I was
- 7 covering during the 2016 election, where certain political figures,
- 8 prime ministers from different countries, endorsed
- 9 essentially -- endorsed one candidate or another in our elections. But
- for ambassadors, one doesn't come to mind, I certainly can't say.
- 11 Q Did you ever have an awareness of some of the President's
- skepticisms about Ukraine and their corruption environment?
- 13 A Yes, I had heard that quite regularly, that the President
- 14 was not a fan of Ukraine.
- Q And what had you heard and who had you heard it from, to the
- best of your recollection?
- 17 A I had heard -- as this started, when I came and it was
- arriving March 18th and was sort of hit with this deluge of the negative
- 19 press about Ambassador Yovanovitch, essentially the four narratives
- that George Kent described, which pretty much capture most of what was
- out there, within that discussion.
- 22 And I think it was clear from some of his own statements or tweets,
- certainly his attorney's commentary, that he was not -- I think the
- 24 general view that had been given to me from Ambassador Volker and
- Ambassador Sondland that I sensed from certainly the media coverage,

- that the President was not a big fan of Ukraine. And from the meeting
- that the delegation had, they said, you know, he's not a big fan of
- 3 Ukraine.
- 4 Q Did Ambassador Volker ever communicate with you about his
- 5 concerns that Mr. Giuliani may have been amplifying a negative
- 6 narrative to the President?
- 7 A Yes.
- Q And Ambassador Volker's engagement subsequently with Mr.
- 9 Giuliani was in part to try to assuage those concerns?
- 10 A I think that is certainly what I took away from that, that
- 11 he was going to -- because I do recall him telling me, I can't say
- specifically when, that, well, he was going to reach out to or was going
- to speak to Giuliani. And I think Ambassador Volker felt that there
- was this very good story to tell about President Zelensky and a new
- chapter in Ukraine. And that was his goal, was to hopefully take away
- some of that, what we sensed was a very negative stream coming from
- 17 Mr. Giuliani to the President.
- 18 Q What was your outlook on President Zelensky as he was elected
- on his campaign of anticorruption?
- 20 A As I read more about him, it seemed impressive. The support
- that he gained in the electorate was interesting and I think a strong
- 22 statement that he didn't win by a hair or a nose or whatever cliche
- you want to use. He had a fairly strong and resounding mandate from
- the first round and then underscored at the second round.
- Then there was a lot of question, well, we'll see what happens

- in the parliamentary elections because they may not reflect the same support. But in fact they did.
- I met him, albeit very briefly, it was his first trip outside of

 Ukraine since his inauguration. You're familiar with the dinner that

 Ambassador Sondland hosted in Brussels, and I was there. So I met him

 very briefly and his wife. And he seemed -- struck me as smart and

 pragmatic, young, a different, very different kind of leader, a new
- Q And he's genuinely interested in the Ukrainian people and not himself, was that your impression?

8

generation, I think, is a fair characterization of leader in Ukraine.

- A I do believe so, yes. He made very clear at that dinner in what he was saying to us, saying to all of those gathered, including other leaders who I mentioned earlier, that his priority as he had campaigned was to try to bring an end to the war in the east, which, as you know, has taken more than 13,000 Ukrainian lives and continues to disrupt lives and economic potential there. And I think he's genuine about that.
- Q So he wasn't running for President to get rich and to steal money from the government and to do all those corrupt things that maybe some other leaders have been accused of?
- 21 A That was not my impression, but I can't -- I've met him twice, 22 so I don't -- that was not my impression.
- Q But the information that you've heard from the field, and from DAS Kent and Ambassador Taylor, Ambassador Sondland, Ambassador Volker, you're encouraged by President Zelensky?

- A Yes. And that was the broad consensus that the experts were briefing me, is this is -- we've got this new President with a full mandate and we're going to our best to work with him and support our goal, you know, Ukraine, and offer U.S. friendship. Obviously we had done this with previous governments, too, more or less -- more and less success, and that, of course, remains by definition work in progress.
- Q So to the extent Ambassador Volker had to engage more with Mr. Giuliani than a lot of traditional State Department officials would have liked, ultimately are you comfortable Ambassador Volker was trying to do the right thing?
- Yeah. I don't know that I can characterize what people would 11 12 have liked or not in regards to that. It certainly was -- I had never had any contact with Mr. Giuliani. I do believe that what Kurt was 13 doing, I mean broadly, was a very good-faith effort to move us forward 14 on this policy. And I think he was reaching out there to try to, as 15 I described already, tell the good story that there is to tell with 16 the hope that that would get Mr. Giuliani to a different place in terms 17 of what he was saying about Ukraine. 18
- Q And Ambassador Volker had developed strong relationships
 with some of the key people close to Zelensky. Is that something you're
 aware of?
- A Broadly. I mean, Kurt could rattle off names of key
 officials that he engaged with and I couldn't probably. I just, you
 know, 50 countries and I --
- 25 Q Sure.

- 1 A -- you know.
- Q When he resigned at the end of September, was that a loss
- 3 to the Department?
- 4 A Yes, I believe it was.
- Q Was there any effort to try to convince him to stay?
- A I have had no contact with him other than to wish him well
- on his wedding day, which I was unable to attend due to travel. And
- 8 I can't speak for anybody else.
- 9 Q We were almost able to wish him well on his wedding day as
- 10 he was here.
- 11 A We were -- my wife and I were invited to his wedding.
- 12 Unfortunately, I was on official travel again, so I couldn't go.
- 13 Q I think when we spoke in the first round you identified some
- 14 communications you had with Ambassador Sondland. Were there any other
- 15 communications that you had with Ambassador Sondland during the time
- period of July 18th and September 11th when the aid was in the process
- of being held up, that you can remember?
- 18 A Yeah. I'm sure I did because that's a pretty big period of
- 19 time and Gordon was in or out. Tell me the dates that you are
- 20 referencing again?
- 21 Q July 18th is when the aid was initially subject to the hold.
- 22 A The hold, yeah.
- Q And it was released in September 11th through 12th. News
- came on September 11th and the State Department --
- A Yeah. Because the parliamentary elections in Ukraine were

- the 21st, and, of course, the President and Zelensky had a phone call
- on the 25th, as you all know now. And I do recall knowing that there
- was a phone call. I was not on that phone call or part of it.
- Q Did you get a readout of that phone call from anybody?
- A I know Kurt sent me a WhatsApp message and just said: Great
- 6 POTUS-Ze -- we referred to him as Ze, Z-e -- POTUS-Ze call.
- 7 Q Anyone else?
- 8 A So, again, back to your specific question about Gordon
- 9 Sondland, you know, I saw him here and there at things he would be in
- 10 Washington once in a while or we would be in occasional touch, because
- there were also lots of other issues with the European Union. The
- presidency was changing, of course, in the summer to Finland, from
- 13 Romania to Finland. We did some things there.
- Yeah, Gordon's pretty energetic and active. So I can't specify
- when and exactly where I may have bumped into him, but we were in touch
- 16 occasionally.
- O During that time period, what did you see as the likely
- outcome? Did you think the aid was ultimately going to be permanently
- held up and not delivered or did you think there was a way out?
- 20 A I was focused to the extent that it would come up to me, I
- 21 mean, every day we'd kind of -- there were two sides. There was one,
- there was the assistance coordinator saying everything is held and
- today's instruction is you may release 2 percent or there were various
- 24 aspects. On the Ukraine specifically, it was, "Any news?" And we were
- pursuing the PCC process, as I said, to hopefully push forward a

- 1 decision on that.
- 2 And I don't -- I do have here a note that I had a call with
- Ambassador Sondland on the 30th of July, but I don't remember anything
- 4 specific about that. I think it may have also had to do with -- that
- 5 was around the time I had gone on the -- I went on the 31st to the PCC
- 6 about other aspects of Ukraine.
- 7 Q If the aid was not going to be delivered there would have
- 8 to be some sort of official process, whether that's rescission or
- 9 reprogramming. Do you know if either of those efforts ever got
- 10 underway?
- 11 A I do not. I just remember the conversation with Laura Cooper
- who was focused and brought it up at the PCC I attended on the 31st,
- even though the focus of that PCC was not the assistance. But she said
- on the assistance, we need -- we at DOD have to intend to keep moving
- forward on this. Because, you know, they had a separate legislative
- 16 mandate to do that.
- 17 So we continued to be hopeful, I know, in terms of the PCC process.
- 18 I already mentioned the expectation was hopefully there would be a
- 19 small -- a principal small group that could help force the decision.
- The general idea that I recall was that if it's Mr. Mulvoney -- excuse
- 21 me, Mulvaney -- blocking we need to get to that point and then have
- a real decision taken rather than this unknowing.
- Q Okay. And for the principals that were involved in that
- decision, was it the hope that this would get resolved and the aid would
- 25 be released?

- A I don't know that for a fact. It was certainly our policy,
- 2 so that was my --
- Q Okay.
- A -- my understanding. But I can't speak -- I did not discuss
- 5 it with the Secretary.
- 6 Q Okay. Were you genuinely concerned that the aid would be
- 7 not -- not delivered?
- A I was focusing on trying to get there. So I think I
- 9 reserved -- you know, it's like, well, we've got time, we've got to,
- you know, we'll keep hoping. There was that and it was a question of
- the White House meeting where things we were hoping for news on.
- Q How unusual is it for these types of holdups to occur? Was
- this extremely unusual or is this something that the system was built
- 14 to withstand and work through?
- A I'm not sure I could characterize it particularly well. I
- mean, again, I've been back and focusing on these broad issues of -- you
- know, assistance is one element in the European AOR. I've been back
- for a couple of months. So I don't think I could --
- 19 MR. MEADOWS: Can I ask it a different way then? Obviously
- you're involved with a lot of other aid components. Are there other
- countries where aid has been held up that you're aware of?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes.
- MR. MEADOWS: Under your portfolio?
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. And we were --
- MR. MEADOWS: More than one?

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think, as I described, there was a period
- where everything was held up and then we would get instructions that,
- as I recall, you know, they are were allowed to --
- 4 MR. MEADOWS: Dribble it out?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's a good description I think. Again,
- 6 it's not a process I'm an expert on.
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: Right. So you're saying that under your portfolio
- 8 all foreign aid was held up. Is that what you're saying?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: For a brief period there were -- I thought
- I had made a note of that -- a few days where -- where the assistance
- 11 was -- I want to try to be correct and specific. Hold on 1 sec.
- 12 There was an OMB pause on all funds that came into effect on August
- 3rd and was lifted on August 9th. At that point OMB -- and, again,
- 14 I'm reading from what my staff passed to me from the assistance
- 15 coordinator's office in recalling, because I asked them about
- this -- at that point OMB imposed restrictions on how much we could
- obligate at any time. So from the 3rd to the 9th. And then they
- 18 lifted, but that was when they, as you described it, sir, dribbled out.
- I recall specifically that Armenia was one. There was a \$9.2
- 20 million budget there and I, on a trip to Tbilisi, Georgia, I met with
- our Ambassador to Armenia, who had traveled to Tbilisi, and one of her
- concerns was, again, we are getting down toward the end of the fiscal
- 23 year, we really want -- you know, again, we talk about Ukraine, but
- there were so many other things happening across the AOR. One was
- 25 Armenia, where there was a new government, that we were trying to see

- if that government would be more responsive.
- MR. MEADOWS: So what you're saying is the aid was being held up
- in Armenia because of a new government that had come into --
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, it was being held up in this broad OMB
- 5 restriction. It had nothing to do with the government. Our
- 6 Ambassador was expressing to me concerns --
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: Because it was a new government.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: -- because we really want to get these new
- 9 programs going. And if can't get the money, then our strategy and our
- 10 policy goals are not there.
- MR. MEADOWS: So are you aware of any U.S.-Ukrainian policy
- directive from the State Department's point of view that's not being
- implemented right now?
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm trying to think broadly. Well,
- technically, I guess that President Zelensky had not come to the White
- 16 House. But that's not to say --
- MR. MEADOWS: Yeah, but that's not a broad policy.
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, I agree with you.
- MR. MEADOWS: I'm just saying that from a broad policy
- standpoint, because you put out initiatives, I was on Foreign Affairs
- for a number of years, you put out initiatives, that this is our
- 22 Ukraine-U.S. or our U.S.-Ukraine policy.
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- MR. MEADOWS: And I guess what I'm saying is, is there any
- U.S.-Ukraine policy initiative that's decided at your level and above

- 1 that's not being deployed right now?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: At this point, I'm not aware of any, but I
- 3 would have to go back and ask if there were certain initiatives that
- 4 are for one reason or another --
- MR. MEADOWS: But Mr. Kent has not raised anything with you, to
- 6 your knowledge?
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Nothing comes to mind, sir, but I
- 8 wouldn't -- you know, I'd have to go back and double-check or ask him
- 9 if there's something there.
- 10 MR. MEADOWS: Thank you.
- 11 Steve.
- 12 BY MR. CASTOR:
- Q Since the funds were released on September 11th or 12th, have
- the other aspects of the U.S.-Ukrainian policies been moving forward
- 15 as you would like?
- A I think our engagement once those funds were released, and
- that was an important step, under Ambassador Taylor's leadership the
- 18 mission is busy and active. I would say that the current focus, the
- current issue surrounding Ukraine has made it a little more difficult.
- 20 But I think our team is working away on all of the different strands,
- 21 energy diversification, energy security in terms of the stockpiling,
- 22 military reforms.
- One of things I know Ambassador Volker was hopeful to start
- working on was an antitrust thing, how do we deal with the oligarchy
- system in the bigger term, and of course now Ambassador Volker is no

- 1 longer on the account.
- A So we've looked at that, and for now, in the last -- is it
- 4 3 weeks at this point? I can't remember the date when he left.
- Q His last day I think was the 27th of September.
- A Was while we were in Italy, yeah. So 3 weeks, 4 maybe.
- 7 George Kent remains the primary person in discussions with Ulrich
- 8 Brechbuhl. We discussed whether we would -- whether the Secretary or
- 9 the President would want to appoint another Special Representative on
- certain -- at this point I don't think there is a decision per se. We
- 11 cover it through the regular channels now that we have, the embassy,
- obviously, with the Charge, the DAS, and lower levels, office directors
- and desks as appropriate, myself, if there's meetings that one would
- 14 need to go to for some reason.
- The Europeans have asked this too: Hey, who is our point person
- on Ukraine? I have pointed primarily to George Kent, but at the
- 17 political director level, then David Hale would be the appropriate guy.
- 18 Q Were you tracking some of the events related to the statement
- that Ambassador Volker was working on, working with the Ukrainians,
- 20 as a vehicle to demonstrate their --
- 21 A I recall Gordon talking about I think he used the term
- "script," but I wasn't particularly tracking it.
- 23 Q Okay.
- A They were -- you know, again, it was Gordon, and Kurt, Perry,
- the team in charge that were moving forward on this. And I would

- occasionally ask: Do we have a date yet for the meeting or how is this
- going? But I wasn't tracking the day-to-day approach on that.
- 3 Q There were a handful or a couple of different vehicles
- discussed possibly for the Ukrainians to demonstrate that they were
- sufficiently committed to anticorruption efforts. One was
- 6 potentially a statement, the other that has been talked about is a TV
- 7 interview where the President would --
- 8 A I've seen reference to those. I was not tracking that --
- 9 Q Okay. So you don't have --
- 10 A -- or involved at my level, no.
- 11 Q So nobody engaged you --
- 12 A No, again --
- Q -- as that was in --
- 14 A I think Gordon may have referenced that we're working with
- IS Zelensky, Volodymyr, as he called him. But I just don't know
- 16 granularity on that.
- Q When he mentioned that to you, did it give you any concerns
- or did you think it was just ordinary effort to achieve --
- 19 A You know, these were the guys that were tasked with this work.
- 20 This is what I inherited. This is what we had, the structure moving
- forward, with the support of the Secretary and the President, to work
- on this stuff. It was irregular. We've already established that.
- And, you know, as all these things come together, you know, I often
- wondered -- I would ask regularly, when's the meeting? Just the
- 25 update.

- I think my view is they've got this. I mean, Kurt Volker is an
- absolute professional who I know, I was very confident was very
- dedicated to seeing Ukraine policy through. He took this role very
- 4 seriously. You know, he was an unpaid special government employee
- doing this. He was extremely admired by other European diplomats both
- in Washington, but in capitals, for his response and his briefings.
- 7 And so I was very comfortable with that. And Gordon, I knew, was, you
- 8 know, was acting on behalf of the President and the Secretary.
- 9 Q Okay. We have a little bit of time left before our round
- 10 ends. I want to make sure that our Members have an opportunity to
- answer ask you some questions if they have any.
- Mr. Perry.
- 13 MR. PERRY: Thank you.
- 14 Thanks, Ambassador, for your service and for your patience here
- 15 today.
- In the last round there was some conversation about Ukraine
- desiring a meeting with the President of the United States, that it
- would bode well and that they desired it. Not only did we desire it,
- but the President of Ukraine would desire it for his own reasons,
- whatever they may be. Would you agree on that?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes.
- MR. PERRY: Would you also agree that -- I think you showed up
- is it March, March 17th or something --
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: 18th was my first day.
- 25 MR. PERRY: 18th, right, by the evening. And the Presidential

UNCLASSIFIED

- 1 election was in May?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct. May 21st I think was the first
- 3 round.
- 4 MR. PERRY: Right, May 21st. But would it also be in the Ukraine
- 5 President's best interest to have that meeting prior to the 21st, which
- 6 is their parliamentary election?
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No. I'm sorry, Congressman, there's
- 8 some -- some of that's not correct. So the first round of the Ukrainian
- 9 Presidential election was March 31st.
- 10 MR. PERRY: Right.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The second round of the Presidential
- 12 election was 3 weeks later on our Easter, it was the 20 -- here it is,
- 13 21st of April. And the Ukrainian government elections were then --
- 14 MR. PERRY: July 21st.
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: July 21st, thank you. Yes, correct,
- 16 parliamentary.
- 17 MR. PERRY: So getting a meeting prior to July 21st would be
- 18 advantageous to the new President of Ukraine?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That had been the goal when I talked about
- 20 the readout I got going forward after the May --
- 21 MR. PERRY: That would be one of his considerations for wanting
- a meeting, but it also could be a consideration for the United States
- to maybe hold off beyond the 21st to not influence the Ukrainian
- 24 parliamentary election.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's a reasonable --

- 1 MR. PERRY: Could be, right, could be?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. I mean, I think that the idea,
- 3 certainly what Kurt was working toward, was to try to get this meeting
- 4 early before that election, but he came through the election quite
- strongly. It was a validation of his Presidential victory.
- 6 MR. PERRY: Now, Ambassador Taylor, he showed up in country
- 7 around June 17th. Would he talk to you about any of his concerns
- 8 regarding Ukraine?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I had very little contact with Ambassador
- 10 Taylor. He talked to Ulrich Brechbuhl, I know, on a fairly regular
- basis and his main interlocutor in Washington would have been George
- 12 Kent.
- MR. PERRY: George Kent, right.
- Did you -- I mean, you had heard -- had you heard prior to seeing
- his statement that he wanted to know that the policy would stay the
- same or he would not take the job?
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. I mean, that was a concern and
- something he talked about from the beginning of the first time I met
- 19 him when he had indicated his willingness to consider it, which was
- 20 May 2nd. And I knew him a little bit from years past when he was at
- State. So that had continued to be a concern and he was -- his concerns
- 22 were satisfied --

```
1
     [3:30 p.m.]
2
                 BY MR. PERRY:
3
           Q
               Right.
               -- by the meeting with the Secretary on the 20th -- I want
4
     to make sure I get it -- the 28th of May.
5
               And prior to the publication of the phone call between the
6
     President of the United States and President Zelensky, did Ambassador
7
     Taylor ever call you to express his concerns that there wasn't a
8
     meeting, or that funding was being --
9
               No, sir. I'm not the channel for Ambassador Taylor.
           Α
10
               So he never talked to you about that?
11
           Q
               Not to my recollection.
12
           Α
               And he never did resign, did he?
13
           Q
               No, no. In fact, he is back in Kyiv now.
14
           Α
               Did you ever believe or tell anyone that you believed that
15
           0
     the assistance, the security assistance, wouldn't be forthcoming?
16
               I don't believe I ever said that. I was, you know, focused
17
     on what can we do to try to break this impasse.
18
               Okay. Thank you.
19
           THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't we take a half-an-hour lunch break and
20
     resume at 4:00 o'clock.
21
           AMBASSADOR REEKER: Sounds good to me.
22
```

THE CHAIRMAN: We should try to be prompt. So that -- we

don't -- because we still have a long afternoon ahead of us.

23

24

25

[Recess.]

- 1 [4:03 p.m.]
- THE CHAIRMAN: Let's go back on the record.
- Minority counsel asked you about a brief suspension of aid by OMB,
- 4 which I think you said was between August 3rd and August 9th. Is that
- 5 right?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, that's -- excuse me. I'm sorry,
- 7 Mr. Chairman. Yes, that's what my recollection is, based on what my
- assistance coordinator had said, and then it was completely suspended.
- 9 And then after August 9th, it was being -- I believe, as the Congressman
- 10 said -- dribbled out bit by bit.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Now, that was with respect to a broad range of
- foreign assistance?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's correct.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: But the suspension of the Ukraine military
- assistance, that actually took place in July, did it not?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: The date that that began, or that that came
- to light was the 18th of July, I believe. That was the sub-PCC, which
- has been referred to. I was traveling. I wouldn't go to a sub-PCC
- normally anyway, but I believe that's what others have referenced. And
- it was right around that time I was advised that there is a -- I think
- the term "hold" was used. Nobody was quite sure where it was coming
- from. The speculation, certainly, was that this was coming from
- 23 Mr. Mulvaney.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And the freeze in Ukraine aid wasn't lifted until
- 25 some point in mid-to-late September.

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: September 11th, I believe, sir.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So this is quite separate and distinct from the
- 3 brief interlude for a more general hold between August 3rd and
- 4 August 9th?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. The Ukraine aid was a particular
- 6 thing, and then, of course, the Ukraine aid is a unique thing, along
- 7 with the Georgia aid, because it is, to my understanding, it is
- 8 appropriated quite separately.
- 9 It is legislated, and I know that's why my DOD colleague was
- anxious to keep this moving. He said, absent some explanation, we have
- an obligation under law to move forward with this.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: So she or others raised at that meeting a concern
- of the legality of withholding that aid?
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Not being an expert on the term "legality,"
- but I think that's a fair -- a fair description. I know -- again, this
- is DOD, so I don't want to speak for them, but that was -- my impression
- was that they needed to move forward because they were required to do
- 18 that by law.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Goldman.
- 20 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ambassador Reeker, we're going to
- 22 try to move through.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I have one more question, if I could.
- You made reference earlier in response to the minority questions
- 25 about Ambassador Sondland referring to a script. Can you tell us what

- 1 you meant by that?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I recall that he was working with Zelensky
- to -- to work, you know, as he prepared for phone calls and engagement
- with the President toward this meeting, he had sort of a script. That's
- 5 how he described it.
- I don't know the specifics of what he meant by that, but he
- 7 described it as a script for Volodymyr to help him as we move forward
- 8 in this.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: So this was a script that Sondland had for Zelensky
- to use in the phone call with the President?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Again, I couldn't say that that was
- specifically to that, or more broadly, as a script for Zelensky. Here
- is our script moving forward. You know, Gordon was very involved
- working directly with Zelensky to try to move forward on all of the
- things that we had -- they had discussed after the meeting of the 23rd
- of May.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Or do you know if this was a script after the call
- to -- for him to use publicly in order to get a White House meeting?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know, sir.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And how did it come to your attention? Did
- 21 Ambassador Sondland use that term in a conversation with you?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, and recall, and I couldn't tell you if
- it was in a phone call or something. He is like, I'm working with -- and
- I'm paraphrasing here. I cannot quote specifically, but I recall:
- I'm working with Volodymyr. We have got a script moving forward. I

- just remember the term "script."
- THE CHAIRMAN: And do you recall what timeframe you had that call,
- 3 and would reference to your calendar assist you in finding the date
- 4 of the call?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It wouldn't, I'm afraid, because I talked to
- 6 Gordon here, there.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any mention of this in your documents that
- 8 would refresh your recollection?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Not -- no, no, sir, not that I recall.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Goldman.
- 11 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 12 O Thank you. So just to follow up on this, you have no frame
- of reference in terms of the timing of this conversation with Ambassador
- 14 Sondland?
- 15 A I mean, I had conversations with Ambassador Sondland over
- time about a lot of things. He was the U.S. Ambassador to the EU, so
- we had lots of things to talk about. Here and there, he was in
- 18 Washington a couple of times. I saw him a couple of times in Europe.
- O Right. But you don't know, in terms of when over the last
- 20 6 months, this conversation about a script may have occurred?
- 21 A It was certainly since -- since the elections, since
- 22 President Zelensky was in office, and post the 23rd of May, in light
- of the sort of strategy, the way forward that Kurt Volker had read up.
- Q Do you know what the context was? If he said: I've got a
- script to work with President Zelensky, or he called him Volodymyr,

- 1 you said. Do you know what the context of that script was for?
- A Exactly as I tried to describe to the chairman. I just
- 3 remember the use of the word "script" as in Gordon, Ambassador Sondland,
- 4 was working. He had always said he would take -- he was sort of the
- 5 political lead for our engagement with the Ukrainians at the highest
- 6 level, including President Zelensky.
- Q I don't want to go back through all of the yellow tabs, but
- 8 I do want to refer back to a few things that you read from them. And
- 9 the first one that you referred to was a March 26th email that related
- some information from Ukrainian journalists in which, at some point,
- 11 you read that there was a reference to the fact that Giuliani, I believe,
- had told Ukrainians that he represent the President.
- Do you recall that?
- A Yes, I recall being told that by my team. I believe, by Mr.
- 15 Kent, George Kent, based on the conversations he was having with some
- 16 journalists.
- Well, how would one describe it? Journalists in the know,
- relating to him at an event he was attending in Cambridge, talking about
- 19 where all of this was coming from. We were still in those weeks -- and
- to a degree, we still are -- what was generating, what generated this
- deluge of stories, false stories, accusations, threats against Masha
- Yovanovitch, an outstanding, you know, professional diplomat, and just
- a terrific human being. And as I alluded to several times, George and
- 24 his team had been pulling together all of this, had identified kind
- of all of these stories seemed to -- seemed to distill into four -- four

- narratives. They pursue one or the other, or a combination of them.
- Q I understand. But I just wanted to focus on the fact that
- the Ukrainians understood, or at least the information that DAS Kent
- gave to you from interactions that he had with Ukrainian sources, is
- that the Ukrainian officials were told that Rudy Giuliani represents
- 6 the President of the United States?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Now, and as DAS Kent was able to flesh out these four strands
- 9 that you described, I believe you said that two of them included an
- investigation related to the Bidens and the Burisma company, and an
- investigation related to the 2016 election in some fashion. Is that
- 12 accurate?
- 13 A That's right. And I'm happy to refer.
- 14 O No, I don't want you to because otherwise we will be here
- all night. So, we are trying to move forward as quickly as we can.
- 16 I just want to recap a little bit.
- And the four strands, as DAS Kent laid them out, and you read,
- were from an April 1st email. And then, I think, shortly thereafter,
- 19 you had a conversation, or an email communication with Under Secretary
- 20 Hale where he acknowledged to you that Ambassador Sondland's
- involvement with Ukrainian policy was, quote, "irregular," unquote.
- 22 Is that right?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Now, in May, you referred to a Newsweek article about -- that
- 25 had in its title "Rudy Giuliani's Unfounded Claims." And you, am I

- correct that you testified as you read a May 10th email that Giuliani
- 2 planned to go to Kyiv to press Zelensky to pursue these investigations.
- 3 Is that the sum and substance without being --
- A That is, I believe, what the press report recounted.
- 5 Q Right.
- A I did not know anything about Mr. Giuliani's plans myself.
- Q But you were aware, at least as of receiving that email, that
- 8 that was reported publicly, correct?
- 9 A That it was reported in -- I think you referenced that it
- 10 was Newsweek in that one.
- 11 Q Were you aware of a New York Times article that also addressed
- Rudy Giuliani's potential trip on or about May 9th?
- 13 A It does sound broadly familiar. I mean, I was aware of the
- press that was reporting that, I think -- again, I don't get much
- opportunity to watch television, to be honest, but I do believe there
- were -- Giuliani himself was saying I'm going to -- or at least widely
- 17 quoted as saying he was going to Ukraine.
- 18 Q But based on your emails that you have now recited to us,
- you routinely receive press clippings related to issues of relevance
- to your portfolio, correct?
- A At that particular time, because my -- my superiors, my chain
- of command were asking: Do you have any feel for where this is coming
- 23 from, and why now?
- And so, again, being a week, 2 weeks on the ground, I was turning
- to George and his team to -- can we figure all of this out?

- I couldn't possibly read even all of the emails and tweets and stuff that they forwarded up, but it was to give me an idea of, again, these four, what they had distilled into four seeming narratives.
- Q And part of the reason that you were asking Mr. Kent to compile this information is that you were getting questions from your superiors about it, too, right?
- A Right, and as I did, and then as I think I mentioned clearly,
 there was a question while I was in -- traveling. I think I was in
 Germany at the time -- to make sure that Under Secretary Hale was
 receiving the right amount, you know, that he was getting what he needed
 too, to keep him broadly abreast of what was being talked about in the
 press on this.
- Q Right. And there was an email to you that said that Under
 Secretary Hale wanted to be more, quote, "tightly lashed up," unquote,
 with Ukraine matters, right?
- 16 A I believe that's what the email said without looking at it.
- Q So there was a desire for more information about what's going on in Ukraine from your superiors?
- A What the press was reporting. We get reporting from our embassy on an -- Embassy Kyiv is a mill that does excellent analysis and reporting.
- What we were trying to track in those early weeks was: What is all of this coming out, and where is it coming from, and what are the themes so that we could at least be aware and know.
- Q Of course. That makes perfect sense. Let me show you what

- is marked as deposition exhibit 1.
- 2 [Majority Exhibit No. 1
- 3 was marked for identification.]
- 4 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q It is an article from The New York Times dated May 9th. Just
- 6 take a quick look at this if you could.
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q Are you familiar with this article?
- 9 A It sounds familiar. I know that I don't know Kenneth Vogel
- 10 himself, but I know his byline.
- 11 Q Right. I'm not asking if you know Kenneth Vogel himself.
- 12 I'm wondering if this is familiar to you because you saw it around the
- 13 time that it was published.
- A I couldn't say on May 9th. I arrived back on a, you know,
- 15 red-eye flight from Baghdad and London, and went almost directly to
- Masha Yovanovitch's honoring ceremony at the National Defense
- 17 University.
- Q Right. So this is similar to that Newsweek article that you
- 19 had in your email. I don't know whether you would have received this
- 20 article in your email as well, but --
- 21 A Probably not, because I think it probably would have been
- a normal press clips and we get lots of these compilations.
- O So what was the difference in the Newsweek article versus
- 24 this New York Times article?
- 25 A You would have to ask the people that sent it to me.

- Q All right, because notably, and I will read the second and third paragraphs.
- It says: "Mr. Giuliani says he plans to travel to Kyiv, the
- 4 Ukrainian capital in the coming days, and wants to meet with the
- Nation's President-elect to urge him to pursue inquiries that allies
- of the White House contend could yield new information about two matters
- of intense interest to Mr. Trump.
- 8 "One is the origin of the special counsel's investigation into
- 9 Russia's interference in the 2016 election. The other is the
- involvement of former Vice President Joseph R. Biden, Jr.'s, son in
- a gas company owned by an Ukraine oligarch."
- Do you know which gas company that is referring to?
- 13 A That is Burisma, right?
- Q So this is consistent with what you were hearing at the time,
- 15 Correct?
- A Can I write on this, or is this yours?
- 17 Q I will give you another copy that you can write on. This
- is consistent with what you were hearing at the time, correct?
- 19 A Yeah, I mean, I think this was very much the narrative that
- was out there at that time. This was after the late April
- 21 post-election. It means Zelensky was now fully elected. He was the
- 22 20th of May would have been inaugurated, and this is very much similar
- 23 stories that were circulating.
- Q Now, earlier today you also -- you read, actually, a WhatsApp
- message between you and Ambassador Bill Taylor on May 26th, where

- 1 Ambassador Taylor referenced something, the Giuliani-Biden issue. Do
- 2 you recall that?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q So when you received that text message from Ambassador
- 5 Taylor, you understood what he was referring to. Right?
- 6 A Yeah. I mean, I think the general idea is, this is one of
- 7 those strands, one of those narratives that was very much there. I
- 8 think Ambassador Volker described it as a distraction from our focus
- 9 on Ukraine and policy.
- 10 Q And Ambassador Volker would have described it to you as a
- distraction to the policy around this same time, in May?
- A When Bill was -- Bill Taylor, that is -- was expressing his
- concerns and reservations about, you know, I can't take this job if
- there's going to be a change of policy and approach to Ukraine. That's
- why he wanted to meet with the Secretary. Ulrich Brechbuhl had
- 16 confirmed that would happen and they were looking for a date.
- Q Right. I understand that. I'm just asking, when you said
- that Ambassador Volker also reiterated something along this line -- if
- 19 I can pin a time on that.
- 20 A When I said to him, Ambassador Volker, you know, I think Bill
- 21 has got some cold feet, and I may have even shared with him some of
- the WhatsApps or messages. Here is what Bill is concerned about. He
- was saying, yes, that's -- that's distraction. We need to focus on
- 24 moving our policy forward. I mean, I think that's the, you know,
- 25 Giuliani, his distraction.

- Q Right. But like you, Ambassador Volker indicated he
- 2 understood that this was -- that Rudy Giuliani was fomenting this
- interest in these investigations at that time, right?
- 4 A Correct. I think that's what Mr. Giuliani was saying
- 5 himself quite publicly.
- Q Correct. So that's on May 26th, and then you go into the
- 7 May 28th meeting with Secretary Pompeo. And who was at that meeting
- 8 that you recall?
- 9 A To the best of my recollection, it was the Secretary, of
- course, Bill Taylor; Kurt Volker joined us; Ulrich Brechbuhl was there;
- myself; and I think George was there, but I don't know that. And I
- haven't wanted to ask him in this last week because I didn't think it
- 13 was appropriate.
- Q And during that meeting, was there discussion about the
- 15 May 23rd White House meeting?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And what do you recall being discussed with the Secretary
- 18 about the May 23rd meeting?
- 19 A Kurt Volker gave a readout of the meeting because
- Gordon -- well, Gordon had been in the May 23rd meeting, and they -- you
- know, the general tone was the President, was the inaugural team, or
- the Three Amigos -- his term, not mine -- had come back, gone to this
- briefing, and the President was not in a good mood.
- 24 He was just unhappy about Ukraine, did not like Ukraine, and
- expressing a lot of skepticism, and then Kurt outlined, you know, we

- just kept pressing ahead and saying, but Mr. President, there are these
- opportunities. We have got Zelensky. This is a new Ukraine. We need
- 3 to keep working on this. And that produced the readout that Kurt had
- given to me on the 23rd, and was considered the way forward.
- Q Right. And it was a pretty unanimous view from those who
- 6 went to the inauguration that Zelensky was a true reformer and would
- 5 be good for Ukraine, correct?
- 8 A I think that is fair to say, yes.
- 9 Q Did Ambassador Volker, or -- well, let me ask you this: At
- that meeting with Secretary Pompeo on the 28th, did the name Rudy
- 11 Giuliani come up?
- 12 A I cannot say for sure. I really can't.
- 13 O In any of your conversations with Ambassador Volker about
- the May 23rd meeting, did the name Rudy Giuliani come up?
- 15 A I know that there was an understanding, certainly, from Kurt
- and others that were there that Rudy Giuliani is feeding the President
- 17 a lot of very negative views about Ukraine. And --
- 18 O Did you understand that that was, at least, part of the basis
- for the President's displeasure with Ukraine?
- 20 A Yes. That's fair to say. That was my -- that was what the
- 21 takeaway was.
- 22 Q Did -- in any of the conversations related to, or that
- followed that May 23rd meeting, did Ambassador Volker, Ambassador
- 24 Sondland, did anyone give you the impression that they -- that the
- 25 President wanted them to coordinate or consult with Mr. Giuliani about

- 1 Ukraine?
- A Not specifically. I mean, I've seen that reported since,
- so I know that that idea is out there. But I did understand that Kurt,
- for instance, had mentioned he was talking -- talking to Rudy, and the
- goal was to try to help. I think Kurt felt -- I don't want to speak
- for him too much, but, certainly, the understanding from the -- it was
- 7 the tail end of a conversation, I recall. And he said, you know, I
- 8 can -- I can help him understand that this is a new Ukraine, and I think
- 9 he just felt he could talk to him and change his view, which would then,
- 10 hopefully, change the President's view.
- 11 Q Right. So just to recap. Entering that May 28th meeting,
- you were aware that Mr. Giuliani wanted Ukraine to investigate Burisma
- in connection with the Bidens and the 2016 election in some fashion?
- 14 A He said that very publicly, yeah.
- 15 Q But you were aware of that. That's what I just want to be
- 16 clear about.
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q And you had had a conversation with Ambassador Volker to that
- 19 effect as well, prior to this meeting?
- 20 A Not specifically on Biden Burisma, this and that, but
- 21 Rudy's -- Kurt, I think, called it several times a distraction.
- Q So, but he was aware that Rudy Giuliani was posing a
- 23 distraction in Ukraine?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q And he was aware, as you just testified, that the President,

- that he needed to convince Giuliani about Ukraine in order to convince
- 2 the President?
- A I think he thought that at least would be helpful.
- Q Right. So it would be, I mean, it's pretty basic deductive
- reasoning, that in order to convince someone of something, you have
- 6 to know what they think, right?
- 7 A Well, I'm not -- I'm not a cognitive scientist. I mean, I
- think you can go into not knowing what somebody thinks and go about
- g convincing them before they -- before you know what their view is.
- 10 Q Well, let me ask you: Was it your understanding as of this
- May 28th meeting, that Ambassador Volker understood what Rudy Giuliani
- was advocating in relation to Ukraine?
- A As of May 28th, I do not know that for sure. I cannot read
- 14 Kurt Volker's mind, nor did we have in-depth conversations on that.
- I do know that we all were aware from press reports, from everything
- 16 else, his own television statements that Rudy Giuliani --
- 17 O There's no question it was a secret. There's no question
- it was a secret. I'm trying to understand everyone's basis of
- 19 knowledge going into this meeting. And Bill Taylor, you said you had
- a conversation, or at least WhatsApp?
- 21 A Bill Taylor had said, you know, I'm concerned that this
- 22 Giuliani-Biden, as he called it again -- I won't go back to the
- email -- is going to cause a change in the policy, and if that's going
- to be the case, I'm not -- I'm not your guy.
- Q And you understood that the Biden was a reference to this

- 1 Burisma investigation. Right?
- 2 A I think broadly, yeah. That it was all Hunter Biden,
- 3 Burisma, the company.
- Q So if Ambassador Taylor's, one of his main concerns was this
- Giuliani-Biden issue that may influence Ukraine policy, and as you
- testified earlier, he wanted reassurances from Secretary Pompeo that
- the policy wouldn't change, you still have no recollection as to whether
- 8 or not Mr. Giuliani was discussed at that May 28th meeting with the
- 9 Secretary?
- 10 A I actually don't specifically.
- 11 Q Well, what did the Secretary say to Ambassador Taylor at that
- 12 meeting?
- 13 A The Secretary reiterated what we were trying to do with
- 14 Ukraine and the opportunities we had with Zelensky, and that that was
- the basis on which we were going to move forward.
- I think he welcomed the opportunity to talk to Bill Taylor as well.
- I don't believe they had met before. And I think by the end of the
- meeting, he came away feeling, yep, Bill was the guy he wanted to go
- out in this role as the Charge, and Bill was, in his own words,
- 20 paraphrasing, reassured and felt he could go out and do this job in
- 21 good faith.
- 22 Q And just to be put a pin on it, what was he reassured about?
- A You would have to ask him, but he told me, "I'm good to go."
- Q That's all he said to you? And you don't remember how --
- A He came out of that saying, good to go, you know. My problem

- set was to take care of the mission. Was Bill going to be the guy?
- We were still working on the bureaucratics of it, but shortly
- thereafter, even that worked out. That he -- they found a mechanism
- 4 through a law that they hadn't looked at before that allowed him to
- 5 be --
- Q I understand. And you described that already. And I don't
- 7 mean to be the rude. We just are going to be here all night if we don't
- 8 start moving through.
- 9 A Believe me, my weekends are rare, so I will also be happy.
- 10 Q So then following that meeting, was it your understanding
- 11 that these -- was it your understanding that these Three Amigos, as
- 12 you've described them -- I know not your words --
- 13 A Yeah, as Ambassador Sondland has described them.
- 14 O -- would lead the Ukraine policy for the State Department?
- 15 How was this going to be --
- 16 A For the State Department and the White House. They were the
- 17 three leads.
- 18 Q And did you have an understanding that the President had
- 19 directed that?
- 20 A Yes, Gordon was very clear in that, that the President has
- asked me to do this; we will lead this up here. That was very clear
- in the readout I got after the May 23rd meeting.
- Q And you had also said several times today that Secretary
- 24 Pompeo had also affirmed that arrangement too. Is that right?
- 25 A Yeah. And I know Gordon was in touch with him. I know

- Secretary Pompeo thought very highly of Ambassador Volker. I mean
- 2 he -- Ambassador Volker reported to the Secretary.
- Q And so the President directed this relationship, Secretary
- 4 Pompeo approved of it, and this was the, sort of, I think what Under
- 5 Secretary Hale called the irregular arrangement?
- A No. His reference to "irregular" was Ambassador Sondland's
- 7 engagement in things that were outside the regular purview of the
- 8 Ambassador to the European Union.
- 9 O So in June and July, and I'm going to ask a general question,
- and then if we can try to drill down -- in June and July, do you recall
- having any conversations with anyone or receiving any emails that might
- have given you some information related to this idea that the President
- or Rudy Giuliani wanted Ukraine to initiate these investigations to
- the Biden and Burisma and the 2016 election, and that that was a message
- that was conveyed to the Ukrainians by anyone on behalf of the United
- 16 States?
- 17 A I'm sorry to do this, but can you say that again?
- Q Are you aware of whether any U.S. official relayed to any
- 19 Ukrainian official that the United States, writ large, and perhaps the
- 20 President specifically --
- 21 A Yeah.
- Q -- wanted those two investigations to be the initiated by
- 23 the Ukrainians?
- A By an official, an American official, no, I'm not aware of
- 25 that.

- Q So you are not aware of whether or not Ambassador Volker had any conversations with any Ukrainian officials about them initiating
- 3 these investigations?

investigations?

12

17

- 4 A No. Kurt and I did not discuss that.
- Q And you're not aware of whether Ambassador Sondland had any conversations with any Ukrainian officials?
- A No. I mean, I know he was having conversations with

 Ukrainian officials, but exactly what he was saying, I don't know.
- Q All right. Well, let me ask this question: At what point, in the year 2019, did you come to understand that anyone, any American official, had been advocating to Ukrainian officials to initiate these
- A I did not come to that understanding. I heard that. I saw that in the press, the suggestions of it. That was, certainly, you know, things we were asking, but there was no clear indication of that. That was not the policy that I was aware of, the strategy that we were
- Q Were you aware -- but you did indicate that you were aware that Ambassador Volker was speaking to Rudy Giuliani, correct?

moving forward on. This was a Rudy Giuliani thing.

- 20 A Correct, that he was -- what he indicated to me was, he was
 21 going to talk to Rudy and try to, sort of, clear up some of his
 22 misconceptions -- Rudy's misconceptions -- about Ukraine.
- Q And did he ever report back to you on what happened in those conversations?
- A I think I remember him saying once or twice, I spoke to Rudy,

- but, you know, again, I was not in this -- in this loop.
- Q No, I'm just asking. I understand. That's very clear.
- The question is just: What readouts, or what reports are you getting
- 4 back from Ambassador Volker about any of his conversations with Rudy
- 5 Giuliani?
- A Really, none. We did not, you know, we didn't have an
- opportunity to discuss it in any great detail unless, I mean, I
- 8 can -- the WhatsApp, I have all of the WhatsApps with Kurt, and I think
- 9 he may have mentioned he met with -- that's George -- I don't think
- 10 he ever -- let's see, that's April, that's May.
- He is asking about Bill Taylor going out. Yeah. So he's talking
- to -- he wants to -- knowing that I was going to -- his approach was,
- you know, Poroshenko will soon be gone. Zelensky is going into his
- 14 place. This is on the 15th of May -- he will be inaugurated soon.
- Q Can you move forward to sort of the end of June, early July.
- Do you have any conversations with him around then?
- 17 A Let's see. No, late June was totally about Georgia. I had
- nothing to do with that. One of the things we were in regular touch
- 19 with, is I kind of tried to touch base on where things were. It was
- 20 Kurt's role in this -- negotiated with the Russians, because we had
- talked for a long time, including at the May 28th meeting, that, you
- 22 know, we were waiting for the Russians to respond about another meeting,
- 23 Kurt and his counterpart, and they had been pushing off.
- And up until the time he resigned, they never agreed to meet again.
- They told me when I was in Moscow a few weeks ago, Oh, yeah, we'll do

- it, we're getting ready. But they never had. So that was a question.
- Q Well, let me try it this way: Were you aware that Ambassador
- 3 Volker and DAS Kent went to Toronto in early July for a Ukrainian --
- A Yeah, there was a big Ukrainian aid conference in July.
- Originally, I think the Deputy Secretary was possibly going. There
- 6 had been a possibility of -- that I might go, and I was traveling on
- 7 something else, and I know Kurt went, and --
- 8 O Did you get a readout or a report back from Ambassador Volker
- 9 about what transpired there and any meetings he may have had with
- 10 Ukrainian officials?
- 11 A I don't recall anything from that, no.
- 12 O He didn't tell you about a private conversation he had with
- 13 President Zelensky?
- 14 A Not that I'm recalling.
- O Were you familiar with a July 10th meeting at the White House
- where Ambassador Sondland attended, along with Secretary Perry,
- 17 Ambassador Bolton, and a couple of Ukrainian officials?
- A That does sound familiar. I'm sorry to do this, but let's
- try to put myself -- yeah, I was speaking at a conference on freedom
- of the press, a ministerial meeting in London. I don't think there
- 21 was any -- again, those were the guys that were charged in doing
- 22 Ukraine, and I don't -- it sounds familiar, but I don't have any
- 23 recollection of that.
- Q You don't have any specific recollection of getting a report
- 25 back?

- 1 A No.
- Q And the only readout you got from the July 25th call was from
- 3 Ambassador Volker saying that it was a great call?
- A Yeah. I never saw -- we don't get transcripts of the
- 5 President's call. The President's --
- Q I know that. I'm just asking you, that was the only readout
- 7 you ever got?
- 8 A Yeah, I don't recall having anybody ever mentioning it too
- 9 much.
- 10 Q DAS Kent didn't tell you anything about it?
- 11 A He might have. I just -- I don't have any specific
- 12 recollection of that.
- The 25th of July, again, you know, was -- if there was something,
- I was meeting with a new Greek Government in Athens, and that was, I
- think, when I came back already, that was when we were in that PCC
- 16 process trying to push forward, find out --
- 17 O So you went to the PCC meeting on July 31st?
- 18 A July 31st.
- 19 Q But not the one on July 23rd?
- 20 A No, because I was in Greece.
- 21 Q So prior to the July 31st meeting, you didn't get a download
- as to what happened on the presidential phone call with the President
- 23 of Ukraine?
- A I don't recall specifics of it, no. I knew there was a phone
- 25 call. I had that in my notes. And that was -- that was the 25th of

- July, but the details of it, I don't have.
- Q Were you aware of whether or not, or did you hear at any point
- that Mr. Giuliani may have met with any senior Ukrainian officials in
- 4 early August?
- A I don't -- I don't remember specific things. He had been
- talking publicly about going to Ukraine, but then he didn't, if I
- 7 recall. So I -- I don't have any particular recollection of --
- 8 Q And were you -- did you have any conversations with
- 9 Ambassador Volker or Ambassador Sondland about a potential press
- 10 statement that the Ukrainians would issue in early to mid-August
- related to any of these investigations?
- 12 A No, I mentioned earlier at some point in probably this
- timeframe you're referencing broadly, Gordon talking about a script.
- 14 I remember the word "script" for moving forward on this, the script
- 15 with Zelensky.
- And it sounds familiar now. I couldn't tell you if I'm conflating
- something I have now read in transcripts or press, but that concept
- sounds familiar. I don't recall somebody saying -- I mean, there's
- no reason they would come to tell me that. But that does -- I have
- to say that does sound familiar.
- 21 O And this is now the second time that when we've asked you
- about that early to mid-August timeframe, you've referred back to that
- 23 conversation with Ambassador Sondland about a script. Is it your
- belief that the conversation you had with him about a script was in
- 25 that timeframe?

- A Again, I have to say, I just can't remember. I shared with
- you to the best of my ability, that I remember Gordon talking about:
- 3 I'm working with Zelensky with Volodymyr and, you know, we have got
- 4 a script to move forward.
- 5 O And then you were aware -- or when did you learn that the
- 6 hold on the Ukrainian security and military assistance became public.
- 7 Do you recall?
- 8 A Okay. No, I don't, I mean, in the -- we were hearing about
- 9 it, you know, as it came out. And, again, we were trying to work this --
- 10 Q Well, let me ask you about that. You obviously were working
- the PCC process. Did you ever get an explanation for why the Ukraine
- 12 aid was being held?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Did you ask?
- A We -- I was asked, and I was told through my people that we
- think it's a Mulvaney -- that this has come from Mulvaney. There was
- 17 different thoughts. Nobody could -- the hope was that through the PCC
- process, he would, sort of, determine, find that out, and force an
- actual decision, rather than somebody says OMB is holding this, and
- some people say it's Mulvaney has ordered that.
- There are references that I have now since read in press reporting
- or in transcripts to, you know, at the earlier -- earlier iterations
- of the PCC process, the sub-PCC, or something, someone from OMB saying
- that this is being held, but definitively, I did not know.
- Q Were you aware at any time in August when this issue was

- presented directly to the President again? Did you hear?
- 2 A No. No, sir.
- 3 O After, you know, we discussed those texts earlier that
- 4 Ambassador Taylor wrote that some of which have been made public.
- Around that time, in early September, did you have any conversations
- 6 with anyone in the State Department about the reason why the aid was
- 5 being held up?
- A I think we were in, sort of, staff meetings when we would
- 9 catch up on these things. And I know George was hearing different
- 10 things that were speculations --
- 11 Q What was he hearing?
- 12 A That these various stories that, you know, was this tied to
- something? That was a question. Was this tied to something to do with
- investigations? Was this tied to Rudy? But nobody knew for sure, and
- then, of course, by September 11th, the hold was -- if you call it a
- 16 hold -- was lifted.
- 17 Q Did you ever have any conversation?
- A This is helpful. I'm trying not go through all of my emails.
- 19 Q Do you want to clarify something?
- 20 A Yeah, let me just look at something here, because this may
- 21 be helpful. Oh, right. This does help. So please let me go back to
- July 29th, and this is, I just mentioned that George was saying there
- was this -- this idea out there that D.C. had pushed Kyiv on
- 24 investigating the Bidens.
- Q I'm sorry. What are you looking at right there?

- 1 A I'm looking at an email where George was updating me on
- something he -- that another person we know out in the think tank world
- had heard that last Thursday, so that would have been the July call,
- 4 that D.C. pushed Kyiv on investigating the Bidens. And George said:
- I said it wasn't in the call, but we're working on particulars of a
- 6 visit, dates TBD.
- 7 Q Sorry. So who is this email between?
- A This is from George Kent to myself, and copied Bill Taylor.
- 9 Q And this was a forward?
- 10 A No, this was just George saying, you know, someone else had
- 11 heard this. This was, again, part of this broad speculation of things
- that was out there. It didn't clarify anything for us.
- Q So someone at a think tank had heard from the Ukrainian side
- that that was discussed?
- 15 A I can't say that for sure.
- O Well, maybe -- why don't you just read the email?
- 17 A "He'd heard that in the call last Thursday, D.C. pushed Kyiv
- on investigating the Bidens. I said it wasn't in the call, but looking
- forward that we were working on particulars of a visit, dates TBD."
- Q Okay. And you've now read the call, right? The transcript,
- 21 the record?
- 22 A When we were in New York, yeah.
- Q And is that -- the rumor that the think tank person heard,
- 24 accurate?
- 25 A I'd have to go back to the specifics of that -- that --

- 1 MR. MALINOWSKI: Can I ask who the think tank person was?
- MS. DAUM: If we could good ask for some measure of
- 3 confidentiality about that, if it is necessary to be publicly released.
- THE CHAIRMAN: We need to know who it is. So you are going to
- 5 have to answer the question, I'm afraid.
- I would ask all Members, again, I would reiterate to all members
- 7 and staff -- although I think members are the issue, not the
- 8 staff -- that the testimony here should not leave this room. But, you
- 9 know, if there are other witnesses that have sources of information
- that are relevant, we do need to know need to know who they are.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, understanding that George Kent has
- already spoken to you, so it was -- the subject line of his email was
- [redacted], who is a former Ambassador to Ukraine. I'm trying to
- 14 remember when, and now I'm trying to remember what --
- 15 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- 16 O So it's not just a think tank person. It's a former
- 17 Ambassador --
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 0 -- who's now with a think tank?
- 20 A Right.
- Q Okay. Did you finish the email? I'm sorry?
- 22 A Yeah, and that's in keeping with, you know, the stories that
- 23 were circulating. What we had was no -- no clarity or definition.
- 24 What -- and sort of my Ukraine box, we are still working on getting
- 25 that date. Is there any, you know --

UNCLASSIFIED

- Q No, I understand that's what you were doing. But just to
- be clear because our time is up, that was a July 29th email?
- 3 A July 29th.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And I'm sorry, I think when you did read a portion
- of it, you just started with the word "he." Is that how the email
- 6 starts?
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, because the subject line says:
- 8 "[Redacted]: Is it true that" and then the text of the email starts
- 9 "He'd heard that in the call last Thursday."
- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The time is with the minority.
- MR. CASTOR: Mark exhibit 2.
- 12 [Minority Exhibit No. 2
- was marked for identification.]
- MR. CASTOR: Do you need a Politico article?
- MR. GOLDMAN: I will take it.
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: So I'm afraid I did a little scrawl on your
- 17 exhibit 1.
- 18 BY MR. CASTOR:
- 19 Q I just marked exhibit 2. That is also an article by Ken
- 20 Vogel.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 O It is a Politico story by Ken Vogel before he went to The
- 23 New York Times.
- 24 A Okay.
- Q In January of 2017.

- 1 A Okay. And it goes through various efforts of people affiliated with 2 Ukraine to, you know, sabotage candidate Trump. Are you familiar with 3 this article? 4 I am not, no, sir. 5 The paragraph -- I will just read it, one of the first 6 paragraphs. 7 The second paragraph is: "Ukrainian Government officials tried 8 to help Hillary Clinton and undermine Trump by publicly questioning 9 his fitness for office. They also disseminated documents implicating 10 a top Trump aid in corruption and suggested they were investigating 11 the matter, only to back away after the election. And they helped 12 Clinton's allies research damaging information on Trump and his 13 advisors, a Politico investigation found." 14 And this is, you know, an 18-page story going through various --15 I didn't read it, living in Italy at the time. 16 And so are you familiar with the generalized allegation that 17 the President or his supporters worried that Ukrainians were working 18 against him? 19 I am now, today, or in the last few months, yeah. 20 And some of the concerns -- and this goes through several 0 21 categories of reporting -- one involves a Ukrainian-American named 22 , a consultant for the Democratic National Committee, 23 received a bunch of money, had some outreach with the embassy. 24
 - Is that an allegation you are familiar with?

25

- A No, it is not. Well, I should say, again, I can't help but having read -- I mean, I read the press in the last few weeks and over
- time, and it was not something I was tracking particularly on Ukraine.
- 4 Q Okay.
- A I had no connectivity to Ukraine until, you know, except for,
- 6 sort of, focused on the Russia angle and the war until later. So I
- 7 don't want to be disingenuous and suggest I've never heard that. I've
- 8 heard it is all part of the stream of stuff out there. My focus was
- on, you know, running, you know, staffing, running our mission, and
- implementing U.S. policy.
- 11 Q The first eight or nine pages talks about and some
- of the efforts that she undertook, and some of the efforts that the
- embassy, the Ukrainian embassy to the United States, took in helping
- 14 her.
- And then the story pivots into the involvement of a Ukrainian
- investigative journalist and subsequent parliamentarian, and I don't
- believe he is currently in the parliamentarian, Serhiy Leshchenko, and
- this relates to the involvement of the Manafort ledgers?
- 19 A The name is familiar, but I don't know.
- Q And as part of Leshchenko's journalism, you know, the aspects
- of the ledgers came out. Were you aware of the Ukrainian tie to
- the -- to the Manafort work, the publication of Manafort's work in
- 23 Ukraine by Ukrainians?
- 24 A You know, I probably read The New York Times or The Wall
- 25 Street Journal or reports at that time. It wasn't something I was

- focused on beyond being --
- Q Okay.
- 3 A -- a broadly interested citizen.
- 4 O Fair enough. The story also talks about the op ed we
- discussed earlier, Ambassador Chaly prepared an op ed in The Hill, which
- 6 we have copies of that, but unless you would like me to mark it for
- 7 the record, we'll just stipulate to that.
- On page 15 of this report, of this story, there's -- I'm sorry,
- on page 14, a Ukrainian minister of internal affairs, Arsen Avakov is
- 10 mentioned. Are you familiar with Avakov?
- 11 A That name does not ring a bell, no.
- 12 O He was and is a Minister of Internal Affairs in the Ukraine.
- Anyway, he had, according to this Vogel reporting, had some negative
- 14 statements about the candidate Trump on Twitter and --
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 O -- called him a clown. Some Facebook posts called him, or
- 17 referenced him as a misfit. Were you aware that Avakov, or anyone in
- the Ukrainian Government was engaged in an effort to making statements
- 19 like that about the candidate Trump?
- 20 A I was not until more recently when this became an issue. I
- think as I stated, George -- and mostly George, but his team were kind
- of my -- to the extent I needed to be aware of context in Ukraine and
- what was going on, that this was its own strand of, you know, part of
- 24 what had fed into all of this. But I was not, at the time, current
- with it, or following it with any closeness.

Q If the President had concerns about Ukrainians trying to work for Secretary Clinton and defeat him, would it be fair for him to want somebody to look into that if he thought it improperly led to the start of the Mueller probe?

- 1 [5:03 p.m.]
- 2 BY MR. CASTOR:
- Q And if he had a good faith belief that these issues were out
- 4 there, could that be -- have been a motivating factor for some of the
- issues discussed in exhibit 1, the other Vogel article?
- A Again, I can't prejudge the President's view. He's the
- 7 President. He makes his own decisions.
- 8 Q Was that your understanding of what was concerning the
- 9 President about Ukraine?
- 10 A I understood from -- certainly from press reports and from
- 11 George's background -- backgrounding and explaining the context, that
- 12 President Trump does not like Ukraine.
- And that was very evident in the meeting when the delegation,
- 14 after the inauguration, went -- you know, I don't -- Ukraine is a bad
- place, I don't like Ukraine -- and that Kurt and Gordon and I believe
- Senator Johnson and Secretary Perry were continuing to try to tell him:
- But this is a new Ukraine, this is Zelensky, and here what is we want
- 18 to do to move forward.
- 19 Q And his negative energy on Ukraine, as far as you know, it
- 20 didn't relate to political reasons, did it?
- 21 A I couldn't speculate. It was just relayed to me that he
- 22 didn't like Ukraine.
- Q And his skeptical views of Ukraine, that wasn't relayed to
- you in the context of him having political -- of thinking that if
- 25 he -- you know, if these issues got -- somebody got to the bottom of,

- it would have a political upside for him, that wasn't relayed to you,
- 2 was it?
- A I mean, there was media reporting to that. I mean, that's,
- 4 I think, what Mr. Giuliani was saying quite publicly.
- 5 Q But his deep-rooted skeptical view of Ukraine was just a
- 6 personally held belief of the President as far as you understood?
- 7 A I don't know that.
- Q Okay. During the breaks, or I guess the last break, I
- 9 had -- leave the SCIF, get reconnected with the communications.
- 10 A You couldn't let go.
- 11 Q Well. And I guess some of our Members brought to
- Mr. Jordan's attention -- who can't be here today, and he apologizes.
- He has tried to be at all of these, and he appreciates your testimony
- 14 here today. I'll note that.
- 15 A Former Ohioan. Give my regard.
- Q He takes this investigation, all the depositions very
- seriously, and he has attended just about every one. So he is sorry
- that he couldn't be here today, appreciates your service.
- Anyway, I guess the news reports had come out this morning before
- you appeared and signaled what you might testify to. And so he
- 21 was -- there has been some just questions about whether that was being
- pushed out from you, or your camp, or whether that was coming from either
- our side or their side. I could say it wasn't coming from our side.
- 24 I had no idea what you were --
- A As you can imagine, I know a lot of journalists from my days

- as a spokesman, and they've all been extraordinarily frustrated that
- 2 I will not talk to them.
- Q Okay.
- A They were -- I took calls regularly because they were -- or
- responded to emails, "Can we have your opening statement?" And as you
- 6 know, I did not prepare one.
- 7 O Okay. So to the extent there were stories written about what
- you were going to say, it didn't come from you or your camp?
- 9 A I think there's lots of people that chatter about this stuff
- and I'll be -- I saw a couple of things. There was a Daily Beast piece
- that came out last night that I -- now I can't even recall what it said,
- but I remember thinking, well, that's not -- I mean, it just had, like,
- inaccuracies about me. But that's the nature of this business.
- 14 O Okay. But you didn't forecast your testimony to anybody?
- 15 A No, sir.
- 16 Q So if anybody did --
- 17 A The only thing I've ever done was -- and, frankly, State
- 18 Department journalists have a much better grasp of understanding what
- the role is of an Acting Assistant Secretary for Europe. There were
- 20 some press stories that were characterizing me as in charge of Ukraine
- 21 policy, which has not been the case.
- O Okay. When did you first hear about the -- you spoke to us
- earlier this morning about George Kent's four narratives that he wrote
- up, and I believe you related that one of them had Burisma in it.
- 25 A Uh-huh.

- Q And it was in, I think you said, in paren, corrupt gas
- 2 company?
- A Yeah. He was -- for me, the neophyte who arrived a week
- 4 before, he was laying that out, what is Burisma. At that, I think I
- was aware of that it was a gas company. I'm not terribly familiar with
- 6 it.
- Q Are you familiar, it's run by a former ecology minister,
- 8 Zlochevsky?
- 9 A I can't say I actually know that name, no.
- 10 Q Okay. And would it surprise you that they've been under
- investigation at various points for money laundering, tax evasion?
- 12 A You're talking about Ukraine, so it doesn't surprise me at
- 13 all.
- Q Okay. In 2014, the company embarked on an initiative to
- bolster their image, I guess, and place people on their board to help
- them govern. Are you familiar with that effort?
- 17 A Well, I know that Hunter Biden went on their board. I'm not
- familiar with the effort per se, I'm just familiar with the stories
- 19 that he went on the board. And I had some general conversations early
- on when I was trying to grasp what is all this about, George was able
- to give me some basic parameters.
- Q Okay. And did anybody ever relate to you, does Hunter Biden
- 23 have a particular expertise in corporate governance?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Okay.

1 A I've never met Hunter Biden.

- Q Do we know, does anybody at the State Department know if he was put on the board for any other reason other than the fact that he's related to the former Vice President?
- A I couldn't say. I don't know the board and I don't know him.
- Q Okay. In any of your conversations with Ambassador Volker,
 did he ever relate to you that if there are corrupt Ukrainians or
 Ukrainians doing bad things that, you know, if that's the subject, and
 they're at Burisma or some other type of oligarch-affiliated
 enterprise, that Zelensky ought to reopen those investigations?

A I do not recall that specifically. I know Kurt and I, long before I got this kind of out-of-the-blue transplant back to Washington and this job, when I was approached about and in the process of potentially being the candidate for nomination to Ukraine, and that Kurt had been named, I talked to him about his broad interest in what he believed was the critical piece in the Ukraine puzzle was somehow dealing with the oligarchs.

And his path toward that, I found very interesting, was looking at antitrust legislation and trying to borrow from what the U.S. had done, and that there is a certain difficulty in that if you go to the Department of Justice right now, antitrust is not what it was back in the trustbuster days, the early days of U.S. antitrust law.

So he needed sort of more kind of almost historical research to look at that and what ways forward, and to me it was just very interesting and anticipating potentially that I would end up at some

- point as Ambassador. We kind of looked forward to that. And I think
- I said to him, you know, I am actually sort of disappointed that I won't
- 3 be able to learn all this and work with you on that.
- But I know that was still part of his priority as he went forward,
- and I do remember sending him one email after Zelensky won the election
- 6 just saying: Hey, Ukraine is a democracy, they had good elections and
- 7 elected this guy. And that's still where we are, is how can we carry
- 8 this forward.
- 9 O In terms of any of the Ukrainians investigating corruption
- or reopening cases, whether it's Burisma or any other case, that would
- 11 relate to Ukrainians, not investigating Americans. Is that your
- understanding?
- A I don't think I probably thought about it.
- O Okay. I mean, did anyone relate to you that somebody wanted
- the Ukrainian prosecutors to investigate Americans?
- 16 A I don't recall except there was all this stuff about Hunter
- 17 Biden. So I don't know if that was --
- 18 Q And do you know whether that like was an investigation of
- 19 Hunter Biden or an investigation of Ukrainians and how they --
- 20 A I really don't, I don't. I never read the stories of all
- 21 this to that.
- Q But it could have been either way?
- 23 A I just don't know.
- 24 Q Okay.
- MR. MEADOWS: You can go ahead.

1 BY MR. CASTOR: Earlier this morning or this afternoon when we were going 2 through I guess the yellow tabs in your binder, I was just wondering 3 how that came about. Was that like an organic effort where the majority 4 just saw the yellow tabs and started asking you about it? 5 So, yeah, I mean, because I find things like this amusing. 6 So when I passed these emails to my counsel, who's a very nice 7 like assistant paralegal, since I work 20 hours a day and don't have 8 time to do these things myself and couldn't ask my staff to do it, I 9 had printed a couple of things on yellow paper because I had it by my 10 printer. 11 And in a few cases actually there was too much yellow paper in, 12 so other things got printed. It was ones that I wanted that were key 13 14 ones, like the one I had taken out here that is the readout from the 23rd meeting at the White House. 15 And her very nice assistant took, when she made the copies, took 16 all the ones that were on yellow paper and stuck a yellow sticky on 17 it so that I would know. 18 Okay. 19 0 20 Α And that's all it was, so --Okay. 21 0 And some of it was just because the paper was in the printer. 22 Sorry. 23 You have to understand, from the vantage point of the 24

minority when you see these things transpiring all of a sudden you're

25

- 1 reading selected yellow-tabbed emails, our side wonders how that comes
- 2 to be.
- 3 A It wasn't -- well, now you know. I should have taken them
- 4 off. I don't think I even noticed they were there.
- 5 MR. MEADOWS: Let me jump in real quick.
- So the email you read just a little bit ago, you mentioned that
- 7 a think tank individual --
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Whom I know a little bit, former --
- 9 MR. MEADOWS: -- sent an email on the 29th of July. Did you not
- 10 find that curious why he would be asking that kind of question on the
- 11 29th of July about a phone call?
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, I knew there was a phone call on the
- 13 25th.
- MR. MEADOWS: Yeah, but how would he know?
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, this is Washington, I mean.
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, but he worked for Burisma and for the Atlantic
- 17 Council. Did you know that?
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm not sure I did.
- MR. MEADOWS: Yeah. I mean, so I find it just very curious that
- 20 here's a guy that has connections with Burisma, not one but multiple
- contacts with Burisma, would know about a phone call between the
- 22 President of the United States and the President of Ukraine. You
- 23 didn't find that curious?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: To be honest, Congressman, I probably
- 25 didn't. I looked at it, and I saw what George had said, that no --

- 1 MR. MEADOWS: Let me ask it in a different way then.
- 2 How often do think tank people call you about private phone calls
- or your subordinates about private phone calls between two leaders of
- 4 sovereign states?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That kind of stuff goes on all the time
- 6 because there's constantly speculation and rumors and leaks and
- 7 whispers. I mean, I couldn't put a date to it, but this town is full
- 8 of former officials.
- 9 MR. MEADOWS: So you say you know him. How do you know him?
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He was a former Foreign Service officer,
- 11 [redacted].
- MR. MEADOWS: Is that the only context that you know him in?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, I mean, I think I may have seen him at
- the Atlantic Council. I'm not sure if he is involved in other things.
- You know, I think I had lunch with him some years ago, talking
- about when I was going to Milan and we were supporting the U.S. pavilion
- at the U.S. -- at the World's Fair, the Expo 2015, and he was possibly
- interested in that. I have a vague recollection.
- MR. MEADOWS: So the response from your team was, no, that was
- 20 not part of it, and to your knowledge there was no further contact?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. I don't know if anybody's -- how
- often people hear from [redacted], or I may have bumped into him here
- or there, but I'm not particularly close to him. I don't know him that
- 24 well.
- MR. MEADOWS: Okay. And do you think that -- would you

- characterize Secretary Pompeo's leadership towards Ukraine as a good
- thing? I mean, how would you characterize it?
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think he's been supportive of our policy
- and our goals. That's what he underscored to Ambassador Taylor in the
- 5 meeting on the 28th. We haven't talked about it in any great detail.
- I joined one of his meetings where Kurt -- who, as I've mentioned,
- 7 reported to him, and they had meetings where I wasn't able to attend.
- And he's remained certainly interested. It's, you know, it's up there
- 9 in importance. You've got this hot war going on, 13,000 people already
- 10 killed, so --
- MR. MEADOWS: So would you say that Secretary Pompeo has been
- supportive of your efforts and your job overall?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Of my broad efforts in the European Bureau?
- 14 Yeah.
- 15 MR. MEADOWS: So any headlines that would say that you're being
- negative toward Secretary Pompeo would be misplaced then?
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That I'm being negative toward Secretary
- 18 Pompeo?
- 19 MR. MEADOWS: Yeah.
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know if there is such a headline,
- 21 but --
- MR. MEADOWS: But if there were would they be misplaced?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, I would think so. I haven't had -- it
- looks like you're passing around the headline.
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, I mean, it says, "Official to testify that

- 1 Pompeo blocks show of support for ousted Ambassador." And then the
- article goes on to give more of a negative connotation about your view
- 3 of Secretary Pompeo.
- Would you say that that is an accurate reflection of your personal
- 5 views?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No.
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: Okay. I yield back.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, my -- I think it's only fair to add
- 9 there, my view is that Ambassador Yovanovitch was subjected to just
- 10 really outrageous press coverage and innuendo and threats coming from
- 11 high levels, retweeting irresponsible journalism, which affected her
- personally, her safety, affected our mission, reflected on the United
- 13 States, and it was pretty outrageous.
- And I pushed, as you know, within the Department more robust
- language as we were -- we proposed putting out a statement, and that
- was not approved in that way. And so we used what we did have.
- And I was -- you know, part of my mandate in the first week on
- the job was to take care of the mission, but most importantly, to take
- care of our, my people. And she was one of them and also a friend and
- 20 a colleague of many years. So I was concerned about her safety, her
- 21 future.
- We had talked with her, of course, about the possibility of taking
- the assignment at EUCOM, which had come open because of my move here.
- 24 And then, you know, I was with her in the meeting with the Deputy
- 25 Secretary when the decision was made in terms of the timing.

- Of course she got her award and induction into the Hall of Fame
- at the NDU, went back, you know, was reassured by human resources then,
- which took over to find her the appropriate, if she wasn't going to
- do EUCOM, what assignment might she be interested and what were the
- 5 possibilities, and reassured about that.
- But I still, you know, think it is unfortunate that such a fine
- 7 professional Foreign Service officer, American, and, most importantly,
- 8 human being, had to go through that.
- 9 BY MR. CASTOR:
- 10 Q The email relating to [redacted] that Kent wrote, what date
- 11 was that?
- 12 A July 29th, he says with confidence. Is that right?
- O So if I have this correct, Kent wrote: D.C. pushing Kyiv
- to investigate Bidens. Is that right?
- 15 A Well, let's refer to it again.
- Q Look, on July 29th, that's relatively remarkable
- intelligence from a call transcript that hadn't come out for 2 more
- 18 months.
- 19 A Well, the only thing I would say about that is that this story
- line had been out there going back certainly to March and the Rudy
- 21 Giuliani stuff that was on live TV saying that, I mean, that was what
- 22 he was doing, so --
- O But was it in reference to the call?
- A That is the notion of the email. I can read it to you again.
- The subject line: [Redacted], colon, is it true that, question

- mark -- this is George sending that to me and Bill to be aware he had
- 2 heard that in the call -- last Thursday D.C. pushed Kyiv on
- investigating the Bidens. I said -- that would be George -- I wasn't
- 4 in the call, but looking forward, that we were working on particulars
- of a visit, dates TBD.
- Q Okay. But that's not a generalized Rudy Giuliani is pushing
- 7 these things, that is something happened on this call?
- A And yeah, I mean, clearly he was -- he was -- I can't speak
- 9 for [redacted] or where leaks like that come from, but --
- 10 Q But somebody evidently that had firsthand account of what
- occurred on the call is talking to [redacted], right?
- A I can't say that with any definitiveness because I wasn't
- on the call. I don't know how [redacted] gets his information or his
- speculations or his trial balloons or where any of that would come.
- 15 So I couldn't say.
- But, you know, leaks in this town are of that kind, and we've heard
- of a number of people that were on the call. I don't -- I was not on
- it and didn't see a full readout of it until much later.
- 19 O Did you ever have any discussions with Tim Morrison about
- 20 the call?
- 21 A I don't recall if Tim and I ever got into details of the call.
- I remember clearly and I've shared with you Kurt Volker saying, "Great
- call." Sorry, that's Kurt Volker, always so upbeat. That's the
- 24 problem with electronic communication.
- I just -- I couldn't say if I had any. You know, I talk to Tim

- once in a while but not -- anything like that wouldn't be on an open
- line. And so it's possible that we had something, but I don't recall,
- 3 although I think I know -- I feel like I know, but it's probably from
- 4 more recent press reporting that he was on the call.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A I don't know that I knew that.
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: So you've got a pretty detailed calendar. So you
- 8 don't have a record of a call with Tim Morrison shortly after the call?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Let's just check. I'll be quick.
- The call was on July 25th, correct? And so I was in Greece that
- 11 day. I was traveling from Thessaloniki up to the Republic of North
- Macedonia. I returned the night of Saturday the 27th. I will look
- 13 quickly.
- 14 Tim Morrison? Tim Morrison?
- I went to the PCC, of course, on the 31st of July, and Tim Morrison
- 16 was at that. In fact he was -- I think he chaired it or his deputy
- was chairing at the beginning and then Tim came in, that I recall. And
- 18 I did talk to -- I have a note that I had a call with Ambassador Sondland
- 19 on the 30th.
- 20 And it was at the end of that week that Tim Morrison came over.
- 21 He was quite new at that stage. He had just taken over from Fiona Hill.
- 22 And we, my team, the seven DAS's, or particularly my Acting Principal
- Deputy, Michael Murphy, we had invited Tim Morrison over.
- And so on the 1st of August, that Thursday, he came and did a series
- of meetings and briefings with some of our offices just to sort of get

- up to speed on European issues because he had moved over from the arms
- control directorate, I think, at NSC. And so that was the first time
- I met him in person and we had a quick sort of takeout lunch in my office,
- 4 he and Michael and I.
- And I don't -- we probably touched on Ukraine, but I don't have
- 6 specific recollections of that. Because I do remember asking him over
- 7 time, you know, any news on the date for the White House meeting?
- And then I did see Kurt Volker that day, so August 1st.
- 9 BY MR. CASTOR:
- 10 Q Who was all at the July 31st PCC meeting?
- 11 A It was huge. I mean, there were probably 50 people in that
- 12 room, or 40. It was interagency. We were late, and my -- one of my
- team, somehow his clearance didn't get passed so they wouldn't let him
- into the White House compound.
- And so, finally, he said, "All right, you guys go ahead," because
- we were already late, George and I, and he handed me the locked bag
- with the materials we needed for the PCC, but he didn't hand me the
- 18 key.
- And so we went to the meeting and literally walked in and it was
- a full, full room, I mean very interagency. I know DOD was sitting
- 21 right next to me, NSC folks, and there was Treasury and Justice and --
- 22 Q DNI?
- 23 A Homeland Security, probably DNI, and associated agencies and
- 24 elements of that ilk. So it was a big meeting and focusing on
- some -- again, the focus was not on the assistance, there was that

- separate process, and at that point they were waiting to see if they
- could pull together the principal small group. But this was more
- focused on some other aspects, military -- or, sorry, commercial
- 4 aspects in Ukraine.
- Q Did you have any discussions with anybody offline, heading
- in or out of that meeting, about that issue?
- A Well, we were late, so I didn't. And then we did, at least
- 8 coming in and then with Laura Cooper from DOD, who I guess you've heard
- from, she had brought up again, she said: I know this isn't about
- assistance, but I just want to say is there any -- anybody have any
- updates on the assistance because we need to start moving on this
- 12 because we have a mandate.
- Again, it was DOD's issue. That stuff passes through State, but
- it was really vested with them, and we were all still wondering where
- 15 that was going to be.
- Q And you didn't have any communications with Morrison?
- A At that meeting I don't believe there was anything specific,
- 18 no.
- Q And in this time period did he have any communications with
- you about his concerns about the call?
- 21 A I don't recall him raising anything. I don't recall knowing
- that he was on the call until later.
- I mean, again, I look at the number of calls and country -- you
- know, we're talking 50 countries and the things that were going on in
- that period. But as of right off the bat it was not something I was

- 1 aware of.
- 2 My focus on this was, okay, the Ukraine problem set is White House
- meeting and now assistance. We were trying to work this assistance
- thing and figure out where the holdup is, if it's Mulvaney. We were
- trying to have that become apparent and get a principals decision on
- 6 it. The scheduling, as I've already said, was difficult. And then
- 7 the White House meeting was still TBD.
- 8 O You were originally scheduled to appear here last week and
- 9 that was delayed until today?
- 10 A Yeah, I had agreed to come on Wednesday. And then I got a
- 11 message saying -- it was through my counsel -- that they had asked if
- 12 we could delay.
- 13 O And they asked you to delay it to today?
- A There were some options. I'm scheduled to leave Tuesday to
- go to Prague for the Velvet Revolution anniversary and to speak at a
- 16 CEPA conference. So I had hoped I didn't have to cancel that, which
- is why when they offered Saturday I --
- Q Okay. But Monday would have been a perfectly fine option?
- 19 A It would have been difficult to then leave and go because
- of my having canceled everything last week to prepare and then be here.
- 21 My Monday is now very full, but --
- Q Okay. Fair enough. You were scheduled to give a speech at
- the Atlantic Council, as I understand it?
- A I was scheduled to be on a panel about, if I recall correctly,
- it was about on the eastern Mediterranean. And having just coming back

- from the Secretary's trip to Greece and all of the things we have done
- with the new Greek Government -- which is really quite something. The
- 3 Secretary signed a new annex to the mutual defense cooperation
- 4 agreement with Greece just taking us in a whole new direction. It's
- 5 really quite interesting. Eastern Med is a very crucial part of our
- 6 AOR, eastern Med and Black Sea.
- 7 So we determined -- you know, I get hundreds of these invitations
- 8 literally for this panel, that conference, speeches, remarks, Q&A,
- 9 press interviews, which I don't do many of, to talk about our policies.
- So that was assessed to be a good opportunity. It was ripe, again
- just coming back from the Greece trip. But when I thought I was going
- to be testifying on or doing this deposition on Wednesday I pulled out
- of that, which I think was scheduled for Tuesday, as I recall.
- MR. MEADOWS: I want to clear up, Ambassador, I don't know that
- when I mentioned the think tank individual, without going back to his
- name, certainly a connection with Burisma, I didn't mean to imply that
- 17 he worked for Burisma, in spite of wearing a jacket and a hat that said
- 18 Burisma. The only known connection that I have is that he works for
- 19 the Atlantic Council. And so I just want to make sure that I'm clear
- 20 for the record.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay. I don't either, to be honest. My
- recollection is his focus is on energy issues, which would make sense,
- 23 Burisma, the Caspian stuff as well, I would think.
- MR. MEADOWS: I just want to make sure I was clear.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay.

- 1 MR. CASTOR: Any concern that the Atlantic Council gets some
- funding from Burisma?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't think I knew that. No. I
- 4 mean -- no. I mean, they get funding from lots and lots of sources.
- I don't know if that's -- I don't know how much, I don't know that they
- do. You're telling me that they do, but I don't know.
- 7 You know, now that you mention it, I went to an Atlantic Council
- 8 event in New York during the UNGA week, the end of September, at the
- 9 end of September, right around the time all this was starting. And
- that's right, I think among the 20 sponsors, you've been to these
- things, they had their names, I did notice that. So I take that back,
- I should know, I did know that -- or at least I inferred because they
- were listed among the sponsors that they gave some money to the Atlantic
- 14 Council.
- MR. CASTOR: Okay.
- 16 I'm good, Mr. Meadows.
- 17 I yield back.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, do you want to take a break or do you
- 19 want to keep moving through?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Let's just keep going. If the water kicks
- in I'll let you know.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, at any time, no matter who's asking the
- questions, feel free to say I could use a small break.
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay.
- THE CHAIRMAN: You know, you've had a lot of questions about

- 1 Burisma, but it was quite clear very early on from Rudy Giuliani's
- public comments, not to mention anything he might have said to
- 3 Ambassador Volker or the Three Amigos in private, it was quite clear
- from Giuliani's public comments that his interest in Burisma was the
- 5 Bidens, right?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: You have to say yes --
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were just
- 9 setting up. That's a question.
- 10 Yeah, certainly from what I was reading he referred to that a
- 11 number of times.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: He referred to the Bidens?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The Bidens and Burisma, yeah.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: So it wasn't a generic interest in all companies
- in Ukraine or even all energy companies, he was interested in the
- 16 company that Hunter Biden worked on, served on the board.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Based on the press reports and Giuliani's
- 18 statements that I saw, that was certainly true. I don't know that that
- 19 was his exclusive interest but --
- THE CHAIRMAN: But that's clearly what he was talking about
- 21 publicly. And he made no mystery of his interest. Am I right.
- MR. REEKER. And as I've stated, testified, that George laid that
- out as one of these narratives. That was that Giuliani, Biden,
- Burisma, I think that's how he described it, telling me, you know, gas
- 25 company, Biden, Hunter, that was Giuliani's thing, yeah.

- THE CHAIRMAN: And when you came back and took over this portfolio and all this was happening with Ambassador Yovanovitch, your shop made sure that you were aware of sort of what was going on in the press and what the issues were so you could be brought up to date.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: We were trying to figure out where this was coming from. Obviously, I was interested myself, that it started with, hey, we're getting these inquiries about this. And then we found the story in The Hill. In fact, I think they said we've gotten questions from The Hill who were writing a story that, and that starts generating the process, and then it was this deluge.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- And so both for our interest in the Bureau to try to get a handle on it, but also to feed upwards certainly to my chain of command of Under Secretary Hill and Counselor Brechbuhl, the sort of what and why now, they were trying to feed that. That's why I had so many, so many emails of press clips from both Ukrainian and various American --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Right. And those, that background, brought to your attention Giuliani's interest in the Bidens and Burisma.
 - AMBASSADOR REEKER: To the degree that George mentioned there were four strands, he was doing it. This was certainly not an enormous focus of mine because I had, you know, 50 countries to deal with and brand new to a job and then trying to deal specifically with Masha.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And to those four strands, one was Bidens and
 Burisma. The other -- another was 2016, the alleged Ukraine
 involvement in 2016, right?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh. Right. Yes, sir. Sorry.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: And then, you know, you've read the call record,
- that two issues the President brings up with President Zelensky are
- 3 the Bidens and 2016, slash, CrowdStrike, right?
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: CrowdStrike? I'm sorry, that's not --
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: 2016, the President mentions the word
- 6 CrowdStrike. That's -- CrowdStrike is part of the conspiracy theory
- about 2016, that the server's in Ukraine or the hack originated from
- 8 Ukraine --
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay. I didn't remember the specific
- 10 reference, but, yeah, yes, sir.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: But you are aware the call, the President actually
- does refer to Burisma, he refers to the Bidens, You're aware, you've
- 13 read the call record.
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I have looked at it some time ago when it
- 15 first came out. I don't have it with me. But yes. Yeah.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Now, you've said some very positive things about
- 17 Ambassador Volker. He is a thorough diplomat, would you say, he does
- 18 his homework.
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. My experience.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Develops a deep understanding of the subject
- 21 matter.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And so if he had been given responsibility of one
- of the Three Amigos for Ukraine policy, he would dig into Ukraine. And
- 25 he already had responsibility in Ukraine, correct.

UNCLASSIFIED

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He had been the Special Representative
- for -- I don't remember when he was appointed, long before my time,
- but he had been doing this for some time, yeah.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: And so in particular if he was charged with or took
- on responsibility of interacting with Giuliani he would have
- familiarized himself with the issues Giuliani had been raising publicly
- 7 and the -- and their relationship to U.S.-Ukraine relations.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't want to go too far because we didn't
- 9 have those conversations, so I don't know how much he delved into it.
- 10 He did mention to me that was going to try to talk to Rudy and help
- 11 him understand, you know, what we were trying to do now with Zelensky.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: In the same way you were being thorough, though,
- making sure you understood the background, you would have expected that
- 14 he'd be doing the same, right.
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. I mean, I think Kurt is thorough. I
- just can't testify to any specifics on that because we didn't talk about
- 17 it.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Now, you were asked -- you had responsibility for
- 19 about 50 countries.
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Is that right?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: And at this time Ambassador Volker had
- 24 responsibility for one.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, he's the unpaid special government

- 1 employee --
- THE CHAIRMAN: I don't mean it as a rap, I'm just saying so he
- 3 had a particular focus on Ukraine.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. Correct.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: I want to ask you about the article, and I don't
- 6 have it with me, that came out today apparently about your expected
- 7 testimony.
- 8 You voiced support for a statement coming out from the highest
- 9 levels of the State Department supporting Ambassador Yovanovitch, did
- 10 you not?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I did on a couple of occasions. In that
- 12 process we tried to push for a stronger statement.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And Ambassador Kent was very strongly in favor of
- a statement coming from the top of support for Yovanovitch. Is that
- 15 right.
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. Yes.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: And Ambassador McKinley felt even more strongly
- 18 about it. Indeed, that ended up becoming -- the failure to produce
- that statement ended up becoming part of the reason why he would resign.
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Later.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Later.
- 22 MR. REEKER. Yeah, in September. I believe Ambassador McKinley
- 23 became focused on this when -- at the time that the transcript, or what
- 24 word do we use to describe, the telephone call --
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: The call record.

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: The call record was released and had these
- 2 really unpleasant statements about Masha.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: And so there was a fairly uniform view that it
- would be necessary, appropriate, important, helpful to have a statement
- from the top of the State Department expressing support for this superb
- 6 diplomat, Ambassador Yovanovitch.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And yet the word you got back was no, correct?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Now --
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No additional statement, from the statement
- 12 we had put out.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, yeah. Of course the request was not for
- what you had already put out in terms of press guidance, it was a
- statement on its own merits, standing on its own two feet, of support
- 16 for the Ambassador, right.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. We described in the draft, I think,
- of what they sent up were the ideas, and that came back as a no from
- 19 the Under Secretary.
- THE CHAIRMAN: That came back as a no from the Under Secretary.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: From the Under Secretary's office.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And that was Under Secretary Hale.
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Was Hale supportive of issuing a statement.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know. I just got back the answer.

- No, he had, as I had mentioned in earlier testimony, he had
- 2 suggested that Masha release her statement. In fact, I think you asked
- 3 me about that, sir.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: But he never expressed to you opposition to
- 5 issuing a statement, did he.
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't -- I mean, what I got back from his
- office was the no. The process, it did not -- P says no statement.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Are you aware of whether the Under Secretary
- 9 actually submitted his own request or supported the request for the
- 10 statement.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do not know what he did.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: The decision to turn down the statement, that
- wouldn't be made by Ulrich Brechbuhl on his own, would it.
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It may have been. I don't know.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Does the -- what's the title of his position?
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Ulrich.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Counselor.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Would the Counselor make a decision at odds with
- 20 the uniform view of top State Department officials without consulting
- 21 the Secretary of State.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I just don't know. You'd have to ask him.
- 23 I really couldn't tell you. He's my superior and I --
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have any reason to believe that the decision
- not to issue the statement ultimately came from anyone other than the

- 1 Secretary.
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I can't speculate on that. All I know is
- that the answer came back from the Under Secretary that there would
- 4 be no statement.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I presume that if the Secretary wanted a statement
- one would have been sent out. Is that fair to say.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: If the Secretary wants something, he can take
- 8 that initiative, yes.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Malinowski.
- 10 MR. MALINOWSKI: Thank you.
- 11 Well, just picking up on that, the Under Secretary for Political
- 12 Affairs is the third ranking official in the State Department, correct?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- MR. MALINOWSKI. So the second ranking would be D, the Deputy
- 15 Secretary, and then the Secretary of State. All three the outrank the
- 16 Counselor.
- 17 What is the Counselor's role in the State Department
- 18 traditionally? I'm not talking about a particular individual, but
- 19 traditionally what is that job?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: In some administrations there has been no
- 21 Counselor. Other times the Counselor has had a very prominent role.
- I believe Counselor Brechbuhl is -- I mean, he is one of the people
- that I deal with certainly on all personnel messages -- personnel
- 24 matters -- at the level that we're talking about, how to staff
- embassies, who to put forward as potential nominees.

- MR. MALINOWSKI: Right. But he doesn't outrank the Under
- 2 Secretary for Political Affairs in any formal sense.
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know that to be a fact. I don't.
- 4 MR. MALINOWSKI: Well, you know the -- you've been in the Foreign
- 5 Service for --
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's up to the Secretary, sir. I don't --
- 7 MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. But his authority, but the Counselor's
- 8 authority derives from the Secretary, in other words.
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct.
- 10 MR. MALINOWSKI: In any administration.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He is not a confirmed position.
- MR. MALINOWSKI. Exactly. So if an Under Secretary of State were
- to get word from the Counselor that there should be no statement and
- it is the consensus view of everybody working on this issue in the
- Department that there should be a statement, it would seem to me that
- the Counselor's view or his instructions would only be definitive if
- 17 everyone assumed that he was representing the Secretary of State or
- 18 perhaps -- is there an alternative, the White House, Mr. Mulvaney's
- 19 office?
- 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Again, I don't know that that was
- determined -- I don't know anything more than what I have told you to
- the best of my ability.
- On my first week in this office, when we proposed a statement that
- 24 would include certain elements that we proposed, after waiting for
- 25 feedback we got back an answer from the Under Secretary, my boss, saying

- 1 his office said no statement.
- MR. MALINOWSKI. Well, but he'd consulted with Brechbuhl, and the
- 3 answer was no statement.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know that.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: That's what you testified before, I believe.
- 6 MR. REEKER. No, I said he wrote that I had been trying to consult
- 7 with Brechbuhl, but it was a separate chain from the no statement thing.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. And are you aware of any communications
- 9 between the State Department and the White House on this question, the
- 10 Chief of Staff's office or any -- or the NSC --
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I am not.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: -- with regard to whether a statement should be
- 13 issued?
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, sir. I know we got a question
- from -- toward the end of that week, around the 30th, there was a
- question from the NSC, I think from Fiona Hill herself perhaps, saying:
- 17 Is there a statement about Masha? Is there a statement of support?
- 18 And I did not personally -- I wasn't personally involved in the
- 19 exchange, but I think they --
- MR. MALINOWSKI: So that suggests that the NSC would have been
- 21 supportive of a statement.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I just can't draw that conclusion. All I
- 23 know was --
- MR. MALINOWSKI. Did you clear a draft statement with the NSC when
- it was going up the chain?

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm not involved in that kind of -- sorry.
- 2 I used to be, but not anymore.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Let me -- so shifting subjects a little bit, I
- 4 want told a little bit of Presidential phone calls 101 with you.
- When the President of the United States speaks on the phone to
- a foreign leader, that foreign leader is on the call, right?
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Is this a trick question?
- 8 MR. MALINOWSKI: It's a simple question. It's not a trick
- 9 question.
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, that would be my assumption, or we have
- to assume it's the foreign leader on the other end of the line.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: That's true, sometimes it's a Russian comedian.
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It's a radio station in Kyiv, yeah.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: There we go.
- And don't we also assume that that foreign leader has staff, maybe
- their foreign minister, maybe their chief of staff, a secretary, they
- have a team that may be listening in on the phone call too? We may
- 18 not know it, but we have to assume that --
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think that's usually a fair --
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Just as we have.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. No, I think that's usually a fair
- 22 assumption. I mean, I would -- I often talk to in the Balkans prime
- 23 ministers on my cell phone, but for the President, a formal call, I
- think that that would be the assumption, yes.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: And it would be safe to assume that in that

- foreign government's bureaucracy they produce a summary or a
- transcript, that they have their own way of reporting to relevant people
- in their administration what was said on that phone call, just as we
- 4 do in ours?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's a fair assumption.
- 6 MR. MALINOWSKI: And this is one reason why we tend to be careful
- 7 what we say on these calls, and they're not generally classified at
- 8 the highest levels because we have no way of controlling what -- how
- 9 the details of that call are disseminated on the other side.
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Or who else is listening in.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Exactly. That was my next question. And in
- 12 Ukraine one would have to presume there is another great power that
- might conceivably learn what happens on a conversation like that.
- And isn't this one reason why most administrations --
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you want to have him respond to that.
- 16 MR. MALINOWSKI: I'm sorry.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, I assume that any call I make on an
- unsecure phone is being listened to by somebody, including in this town.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Right. And in Ukraine, Russia has very
- 20 aggressive intelligence-gathering operations, we assume. We don't
- 21 know necessarily know in every case.
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That is a presumption that one would make,
- 23 yes.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. And this is also one reason why most
- administrations the contents of a Presidential phone call with a

- foreign leader are shared with our Ambassador to that country, with
- the Assistant Secretary, with people who commonly deal with officials
- from that country. Is that correct?
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That has been my experience in the past.
- 5 That has not been my experience in this current administration.
- 6 MR. MALINOWSKI: Correct. But it is sort of logical, because you
- may be dealing with your counterpart in the Ukrainian Government, our
- 8 Ambassador may be dealing with people, and it sort of puts you all in
- 9 a difficult position when the folks you are talking to know what our
- 10 President said but you don't.
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, I think that's a fair characterization
- 12 of diplomacy.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: All that is fairly safe to assume. Is it also
- reasonable -- and, of course, we don't know and you don't know -- but
- is it reasonable to assume that if the President of Ukraine heard
- something in a phone conversation with the U.S. President that
- concerned him about perhaps U.S. policy veering in a different
- direction from where it had been, that this news would spread within
- the Ukrainian bureaucracy and that somebody might reach out to a
- friendly American contact, perhaps a former U.S. Ambassador, to say:
- 21 What's going on here? It's not inconceivable, right?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It is not inconceivable.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Right. And it could happen very quickly.
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: These days everything happens very quickly.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Exactly. So Mr. Herbst might very -- and,

- again, we don't know and I'm not asking you to suggest you know -- but
- 2 it's conceivable that he might have learned about this from his many
- 3 Ukrainian -- one of his many Ukrainian contacts?
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That is perfectly conceivable, yes.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. And then shifting again, foreign
- 6 assistance getting cut happens --
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Frozen.
- 8 MR. MALINOWSKI: Frozen, suspended, as has been mentioned
- 9 before, it happens all the time. We suspend, hold, cut, redirect
- 10 assistance to foreign countries for multiple reasons, sometimes for
- 11 purposes of conditionality, sometimes because, you know, our
- 12 priorities change.
- And you testified that you had no idea and that the team working
- on Ukraine had no idea why the aid was held. You knew it had been held,
- but you didn't know why. You tried to find out, you didn't know.
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Right, the exact, at least what was filtering
- up to me from the assistance coordinator, from George and the Ukraine
- desk -- you know, I was in and out. As I've said, I travel about
- 19 50 percent of the time. But I was back and we were getting
- 20 updates -- was, there's still no movement on that. There was
- 21 definitely a feeling that this was -- this was Mr. Mulvaney who --
- 22 MR. MALINOWSKI: Right, it was coming from there.
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: -- who affected this, that's right.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: But you didn't know why.
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: But we did not know for sure.

UNCLASSIFIED

- MR. MALINOWSKI: Can you think of any, in your long Foreign
- 2 Service career, can you think of any instances you were involved with
- when aid to an important country, a country you were working on, was
- 4 cut or suspended or held or whatever, and nobody working on that country
- 5 knew why? Is that regular?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think it's fair to say that's not regular.
- 7 MR. MALINOWSKI: Right.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'd have to go back. I mean, it's possible
- 9 that there were cases. I'm just trying to think of my experience,
- particularly in the Balkans. Occasionally Congress will put a freeze
- 11 on something.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: But you know why when we put a freeze.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Not always, but, you know, usually.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: There used to be secret holds, I guess.
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Right. Right.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: And then finally, most of the -- many of the
- 17 people we've been talking about reported to or through you. Ambassador
- 18 Sondland is one of your ambassadors, correct?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well --
- MR. MALINOWSKI: I mean formally speaking.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, in the European Bureau of 50
- countries, 49 missions, so 49 chiefs of mission, I don't want to give
- you the exact percentage but it's extremely high, are noncareer or what
- 24 we refer to as political appointees.
- Nominally, chiefs of mission, you know, they report -- they are

- the personal representatives of the President and the Secretary of
- State, so they report to the Secretary. Many of these guys, the
- noncareer people, literally do that, you know, in terms of they pick
- 4 up the phone and they talk to them.
- We have a great team. I knew a number of them from my EUCOM
- 6 experience, which I think may have one been of the reasons they brought
- 7 me in. So they're great. Bringing in noncareer people brings a lot
- 8 of strengths, a lot of interests. We've got, you know, financiers,
- 9 we've got sports teams owners, and we've got former generals and
- 10 admirals. We've got just a very broad range of people.
- To say that they report to me at the political level is not the
- same as the career officers who -- for whom I write their evaluation.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Right. But the formal reporting chain is not
- dependent on whether someone is a political appointee or a career
- 15 person.
- 16 AMBASSADOR REEKER: In the case of some --
- 17 MR. MALINOWSKI. I'm not talking about informal relationships,
- 18 how things really work --
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I realize, Congressman, you're alluding to
- 20 particularly Ambassador Sondland. I never have felt that Ambassador
- 21 Sondland reports to me.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. But Ambassador Taylor, Ambassador
- 23 Yovanovitch would have been in the chain.
- 24 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. Ambassador Taylor, of course, is not
- a chief of mission, he's a charge d'affaires at this point. But yes.

- MR. MALINOWSKI: I mean, I wasn't so much getting at the Sondland
- versus Yovanovitch distinction.
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay.
- 4 MR. MALINOWSKI: And I know you still have "Acting" in front of
- your name, but you are playing the role of the Assistant Secretary for
- 6 European Affairs.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Correct, which is why I was so focused on the
- 8 mission, and particularly the Ambassador in Masha's case.
- 9 MR. MALINOWSKI: And part of this -- I mean, you are the chief
- advisor to the Secretary of State on policy towards all these countries,
- 11 are you not?
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, that would be the Under Secretary for
- 13 Political Affairs, as well as the special representatives for a variety
- of countries. So for Ukraine I would say the chief advisor was Kurt
- 15 Volker.
- 16 MR. MALINOWSKI: Well, this is more of a comment than a question,
- 17 Ambassador, but I have known every person to occupy this job since Dick
- 18 Holbrooke.
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: So have I.
- 20 MR. MALINOWSKI: And I have never heard anybody in this job say
- 21 what you have said to us today, that I am not in charge of a country
- in my area of operation.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'd like you to clarify. I don't know where
- 24 I've said -- I am not in charge of what?
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Of Ukraine policy. It's an important country

- 1 in the EUR.
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: A decision, as I've explained to you, was
- taken and made clear to me that Ukraine policy was being implemented
- and led by Ambassador Volker, Ambassador Sondland, and to an extent
- the Secretary of Energy, with the complete support of the President
- 6 and the Secretary.
- 7 MR. MALINOWSKI: Well, that's absolutely clear. I
- 8 just -- again, this is more of a comment than a question. It's a
- 9 stunning and very important fact.
- 10 Who's in charge of Ukraine policy now? The Three Amigos --
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Ultimately the Secretary.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Well, I understand, but this arrangement is no
- 13 more. Is that --
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, correct. As I testified earlier, you
- may have been out of the room and forgive me if you weren't, we have
- discussed that. I've looked for guidance on how do we handle this.
- Now, obviously, George Kent continues to play a very -- the key
- 18 role, as any of the seven DAS's do, where without Kurt we have to look
- 19 at who will take on certain roles.
- 20 Kurt was involved in some of the meetings with international,
- 21 European counterparts, and for now we've said it's between the Under
- 22 Secretary, myself as Acting Assistant Secretary, and the Deputy
- 23 Assistant Secretary and the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary as
- needed, and there is our Deputy Assistant Secretary for Russia,
- depending on the specific need, topic, level of a meeting, or an

- 1 engagement.
- That's what we'll do pending whether there is a new Special
- 3 Representative for Ukraine brought on board or whether we'll just
- 4 manage the portfolio with existing personnel.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: So you're in a kind of holding pattern, you don't
- 6 really know right now.
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: We're just moving forward, you know.
- 8 Obviously, the Ambassador, or the Charge d'Affaires in the case of
- 9 Ambassador Taylor who has returned to Kyiv, is also crucial on the
- 10 ground.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Does he have your full confidence?
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Ambassador Taylor? Yes.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Does he have the Secretary's full confidence.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: You'd have to ask the Secretary.
- MR. MALINOWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Goldman.
- 17 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q Of your 50 countries that you oversee, is this the only one
- where there was this irregular arrangement for implementing U.S.
- 20 policy?
- 21 A I just want to make sure that's correct. I mean, Ambassador
- 22 Sondland gets involved in a number of other places, but to a less extent.
- There was not the unique group that was taking charge there. I'm just
- 24 trying to do a full thing.
- The Secretary did recently, at my recommendation, after some

- discussion appoint a Special Representative for the western Balkans,
- who is also the DAS, Matthew Palmer, someone I've worked with on Balkans
- issues for years, if not decades. And so he has a particular role,
- 4 still reporting to me, but it's not at all the same. I would say that
- 5 Ukraine is the only one, yeah.
- Q And are there any other countries within your portfolio where
- 7 the President himself has directed who should handle policy for that
- 8 country?
- 9 A I couldn't say specifically in terms of, you know, exactly
- what the President may have said in terms of selecting ambassadors and
- others who report to him. But in terms of the broader policies they
- know, I mean, I take that direction from the Under Secretary to the
- 13 Secretary.
- 14 Q That's the normal channel?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 O The fact that the President directed Ambassador Sondland,
- 17 Ambassador Volker, and Secretary Perry to be involved in Ukraine is
- an irregular channel, an irregular path in U.S. diplomacy, correct?
- 19 A I wouldn't describe it as regular. The President himself
- 20 recently announced, in addition to our Special Representative for
- western Balkans, he announced that the Ambassador to Germany would also
- be the Special Presidential Envoy for Kosovo-Serbia Peace
- Negotiations. There's an example, I suppose.

- 1 [6:03 p.m.]
- 2 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q I mean, but that's an official title. Ambassador Volker was
- 4 in his role when Ambassador Yovanovitch was there and this arrangement
- 5 did not exist.
- 6 A How do you mean?
- 7 Q He was in his role.
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q And you didn't say that the President directed Ambassador
- 10 Yovanovitch and Ambassador Volker to handle Ukraine policy, right?
- 11 A Volker was -- as far as I recall, was brought in this role
- under the Tillerson -- when Secretary Tillerson was still -- still
- 13 there.
- 14 O I understand that. But my point is that these special envoys
- are different than the arrangement we're talking about here today. And
- that's the question for you. Are the special envoys that you are trying
- 17 to equate with the situation --
- A I'm not -- you asked me broad questions, I'm just trying to
- answer them. You asked am I aware are of any situation, so I'm just
- trying to do my best to give you the broad array among these 50
- countries. But no, this was clearly, as I've now said numerous times
- in this deposition, this was irregular; that was the word that was used.
- 23 It struck me as irregular long before I got here that the Ambassador
- to the EU was involved in this. If that was the choice of President
- and the Secretary, then obviously, that was their choice, and Gordon

- 1 comes with a set of skills.
- 2 Q You've also discussed a lot today, DAS Kent, who you would,
- I think, agree, wouldn't you, that he's certainly a Ukraine expert?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O And how many countries does he oversee?
- A He oversees six, three in the Office of Eastern European
- Affairs, which is Ukraine, Belarus, and Moldova, and then what is known
- 8 as CARC, the Caucasus and Regional Conflict. So Georgia, Armenia,
- 9 Azerbaijan and the Minsk process, which is under OSCE which deals with
- 10 the Nagorno-Karabakh issue.
- 11 Q And is it accurate to say that he has a particular expertise
- among those countries that he covers in Ukraine, given his experience
- 13 there?
- 14 A Yes. He speaks the language. He was the Deputy Chief of
- 15 Mission until -- well, my understanding is, I couldn't tell you exactly
- when he came back, but we was brought back by Assistant Secretary Wess
- 17 Mitchell.
- 18 Q I know. I was just asking, does he have particular expertise
- in Ukraine?
- 20 A Yes, absolutely. Which is why I rely on him and feel so fully
- confident, you know. When you're a manager and an executive, you build
- a team that you can rely on that handles these things.
- Q Right.
- A So George has been a critical part of that.
- Q And in particular, given that you cover 50 countries, you

- rely on him, especially heavily for Ukraine matters, right, because
- of his expertise?
- A Right. And his team, he's got a great team of office
- 4 directors, deputies, desk officers.
- Q And so what you've described here today is essentially that
- 6 the detailed work of State Department related to Ukraine, primarily
- 7 ran through George Kent. And whatever else was going on, in terms of
- 8 the Presidential directive and Rudy Giuliani was run through Ambassador
- 9 Sondland and Volker?
- 10 A Yeah, I think that's a fair characterization. Kurt Volker's
- original title was Special Representative for Ukraine negotiations,
- which is why he engaged with his Russian counterpart up until the last
- meeting they had, which was in January of 2018, to try to move forward.
- And why he was the point person with our European colleagues and allies
- in Berlin and Paris through the so-called Normandy process and the Minsk
- agreements that were supposed to be implemented but never have been
- 17 by Russia.
- And that role of his expanded. He took on much more engagement
- in terms of messaging in the press. He's very effective with it. He
- became a point person in contact on the broader policy. And then, of
- course, with the election, as I've described already, and the team that
- was assembled, the delegation to attend the Zelensky inauguration, then
- emerged this -- this triumvirate, I guess. Is that the right word?
- Q DAS Kent is a meticulous employee, correct?
- A I would use that word, I think. He's extremely smart,

- extremely collegial, knows the regions, both Ukraine, and the Eastern
- 2 Europe, and the Caucasus extremely well.
- Q Did you ever come across any memos to file that he wrote
- 4 related to Ukraine over the past 6 months?
- A Oh, I would have to go back and see. You know, he sent a
- lot of email in those early days, particularly -- I was so new to it,
- and as I've said, trying to understand where was this coming from, what
- 8 was this about.
- 9 O But do any jump out at you, as you sit here, in terms of
- recalling any specific memos to file and concerns that he had?
- 11 A No.
- 12 O You don't recall a memo to file on or about August 16th that
- he wrote related to Ambassadors Sondland and Volker, and any pressure
- 14 to investigate Ukraine?
- 15 A I don't believe so, no. August 16th.
- O And that memo to file is not in your 4 inch binder there?
- 17 A No. I would not think so. And I would imagine a memo to
- file sounds to me like something classified, but I don't know, and this
- is obviously not.
- Q Well, we were informed that it was not classified. In fact,
- there was another memo to file that he wrote on or about September 15th.
- 22 Does that one ring a bell related to a meeting that he had in Ukraine
- with Ambassador Taylor and a Ukrainian official?
- A Not ringing a bell right away. It is the kind of thing I
- would have to go and look back and check.

```
How about one more recently in early October, related
1
      specifically to the subpoena that the Department received from the
2
      committees in this investigation. Were you familiar with that one?
3
               I -- when the subpoena came -- I mean, George was sort of
4
     the first one in this process, and I was traveling with the Secretary
5
      in Italy, and the Balkans, and Greece. And I heard from the Principal
6
     Deputy, who of course was acting, Ambassador Cormack, that there had
7
      been some, you know, fairly acrimonious meetings, and he had laid
8
     out -- I'm just -- I don't recall specifically the format or what I
9
      saw or if this was relayed to me, what happened in that experience,
10
     which was, you know, which he was laying out in not a positive
11
12
      experience.
               Did you have any correspondence with Ambassador McKinley
13
      after this investigation came to light and before he resigned?
14
               He sent me an email in New York. I'm pretty sure it's not
15
           Α
      in here, on the Saturday -- let's refer to the trusted -- ah. Thank
16
     you. Thank you. I have counsel, it is in here. Okay. And all that
17
18
      printing.
           So Saturday, September 28th. And he did send an email saying,
19
      the Department should issue a strong statement of support for her
20
      professionalism and courage -- the subject line is "Masha
21
      Yovanovitch." This is after the transcript of the telephone call, the
22
      President's call -- for professionalism and courage, preferably today.
23
      Articles are proliferating and we should comment, not least because
24
```

it is the right thing to do, and it is critical to send a message to

25

- our colleagues that we support our people.
- I responded to him at 11:27 a.m. saying, I fully agree. EUR, that
- would be the European Bureau, had proposed statements back in April,
- 4 May, when certain media were full throttle. And I said, you may want
- to include Carol Perez in personnel and human resources in the
- 6 discussion. And I think I don't have the further, but I recall that
- 7 he then sent it, including Carol and she said, I agree too, and
- 8 that's -- that was my word from him.
- 9 Q Did you have any further conversations with anyone superior
- 10 to you about such a statement?
- 11 A I received a phone call from Under Secretary Hale, who
- said -- because I was at lunch -- I left lunch, a working lunch, and
- 13 left that to take a call in the operations center. And he said, you
- 14 know, this email that -- I have to paraphrase because I don't remember
- exactly, but it was essentially, I don't think this is going to go
- 16 anywhere. And I said, Well -- I responded that I think we should issue
- 17 a statement.
- Q Did he explain why he didn't think it was going to go
- 19 anywhere?
- 20 A I don't recall him saying anything beyond that. The
- spokesperson was also on this, so, when Ambassador McKinley sent the
- email he included David Hale and both spokesperson Ortagus on that end.
- 23 I'm not sure I ever saw any response, further response.
- Q Did he -- did Under Secretary Hale say that he had spoken
- to anyone superior to him before reaching the conclusion that he didn't

- 1 think it was going happen?
- A At that stage, I don't believe so, because I think it was
- 3 really right after that.
- Q Do you -- was it your impression that Under Secretary Hale
- 5 himself objected to the idea of a statement in support of Ambassador
- 6 Yovanovitch?
- 7 A That I couldn't characterize.
- 8 Q Would that be consistent with the David Hale that you know?
- 9 A Well, he had -- he had, you know, said earlier in the earlier
- 10 process back in March that we've already discussed at length, he'd said
- 11 his office had said no statement.
- 12 O Right. But there was also an email you recall with Ulrich
- Breckbuhl where they mentioned two things: A Yovanovitch self-defense
- 14 statement herself --
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 17 email where there was a discussion of those two ideas that were being
- 18 fed up the chain?
- 19 A I think I cited that.
- 20 Q You did.
- A Let me find it again, just to try to refresh exactly. Let's
- see, this would have been around 31, 33.
- Q Maybe while your lawyer looks, I can move on to something
- 24 else. You had -- let me ask you this: Do you recall or do you know
- whether in your binder, other than the documents we discussed, you have

- any additional relevant documents from George Kent, or any SOCs that
- came out of the interagency meetings? Is there anything else in there
- 3 that's particularly relevant?
- A No, I wouldn't have SOCs. I don't believe I have anything
- 5 related to that. I may on other systems, but I don't.
- 6 Q And do you recall seeing, in your review of your emails,
- anything else such as that July 29th email that references the President
- asking Ukraine to conduct an investigation of the Bidens? Do you have
- 9 anything else that relates to the Biden investigation, or to Rudy
- 10 Giuliani?
- 11 A There's certainly lots of press things that were being
- forwarded in this. As everyone is aware, this was circulating very
- widely as, one might say, speculation or this was one of those
- 14 narratives. And, you know, as we've discussed, Mr. Giuliani was saying
- 15 this quite openly.
- 16 O Were -- are there any emails where you and any of your
- 17 superiors were discussing Mr. Giuliani or these investigations that
- 18 he was advocating?
- 19 A I think only the ones that I forwarded up saying, here are
- the -- here's the, sort of, a sampling of the press or what is driving
- this in the early narrative the very early days in the first couple
- 22 of weeks.
- Q But nothing after, let's say, May 28th in that meeting with
- 24 Secretary Pompeo that you recall from your review of your documents?
- A No, just -- I don't think there were any further emails.

- Q But suffice it to say that you printed out this select group of emails to prepare for your testimony today?
- A I was trying to grasp. You know, I went to -- I -- these
- 4 came from e-files that I tried to save. So I had one that said "Masha."
- 5 And this Masha thing, which was, again, literally created my second
- day or something because all this was coming out. I was very concerned.
- 7 I was just trying to understand, first of all, where was this going
- 8 on, not only for my own -- where was this coming from, and what really
- 9 was going on here, what was driving this, but also, focus on how do
- 10 we take care of her.
- 11 Q But would you agree that all these emails were responsive
- to the subpoena that was sent by the committees to the State Department?
- A I could assume that. I don't know the response to that. I
- think it is done automatically, so I don't know what they pulled out,
- 15 but --
- O Last question before we go back to the minority on your
- documents. In your review of your WhatsApp messages, are there any
- other WhatsApp messages between you and Ambassador Volker, you and
- 19 Ambassador Taylor? I think you said who else, Masha?
- 20 A Masha.
- Q Ambassador Sondland? And Masha?
- 22 A I don't have WhatsApp with Gordon.
- O Ambassador Sondland and who the fourth? There was a fourth?
- 24 A Taylor, Volker, Masha and Kent, George.
- 25 Q Okay.

- A And George was very brief. It was just a period when -- I can't remember where he was traveling that it was difficult to --
- Q Are there any others that reference the Biden-Burisma investigation, or Rudy Giuliani, or 2016 election?
- A I think we covered it when we went over the first one.
- 6 Masha's didn't. It was more the first part of my Masha exchanges on
- 7 WhatsApp was about whether she was interested in the position at EUCOM,
- 8 which was another, sort of, task I had before all this hit, and then
- 9 it was the exchange.
- 10 Q Right. I understand there are other topics. I'm asking
- specifically if there are any other references to these investigations,
- 12 Biden, Burisma, Giuliani, 2016?
- A I mentioned to you, Bill Taylor's concerns when he said, I'm
- 14 still struggling with the decision whether to go. I believe the
- chairman brought up Giuliani, Biden; this was Bill referring to the
- 16 Giuliani-Biden issue, will likely persist for the next year. And I
- don't know -- I'm not sure the Secretary can give me any reassurance
- on this issue. And of course, then we did have the meeting and he did
- 19 feel reassured. And then Bill -- they headed off and that was the end
- 20 of WhatsApp with Bill.
- Q Well, why don't we do this, our time is up. If maybe -- I
- 22 believe your counsel may have found that other email that we were asking
- 23 about.
- A I find one mention of Giuliani, and we can find this email,
- this is in an exchange with George, who is on the 27th of May, he had

- talked to Masha. He was relaying that to me. She had two asks and
- suggestions regarding press guidance on the latest media about her.
- 3 She had already come back by this point. She -- she said if possible,
- we -- she wants -- wanted us to say we stand up for the embassy. She
- wanted us to stand up for the embassy, because Giuliani did not just
- name her and me, that would be George, but denigrated the whole embassy.
- And so that's the only other reference to Giuliani because he did.
- 8 He attacked, you know, quite -- to me, I found it personally insulting
- 9 and outrageous. Group of, you know, an incredibly strong embassy
- that's well-known for having a great team that does amazing reporting,
- whether it is essentially partially a war zone, whether it is a country
- undergoing great change during elections, difficulty, and then get
- swamped with all of this. And yet this gentleman who is on the -- you
- know, all over the media denigrating our embassies, which is -- and
- our Foreign Service officers. And you know, that's not the only place
- 16 that that happens.
- MR. GOLDMAN: I yield to the minority.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Oh, did you want to -- sorry, just to come
- back, so I can be complete. The email that we were looking for was
- the one that Hale sent on March 28th to myself copying George saying,
- I've tried several times to get guidance from Ulrich, to no avail. I
- suggest Phil call to see if we can okay, A, for use of social media
- self-defense, and B, release of a Department statement.
- 24 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q Who was that from, sorry?

- 1 A That was from David Hale to me and George Kent.
- 2 O Suggesting that you call Mr. Brechbuhl?
- A He had tried several times to get guidance. I suggest Phil
- 4 call to see if we can, what I just read you. And I'd -- I responded
- 5 that I inquired to C's availability. That was the 28th. And then,
- of course, later that week, we got the message from his office saying
- 7 "no statement."
- 8 MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you.
- 9 MR. MEADOWS: Mr. Chairman, may I inquire about how much more time
- do you have? That may dictate what we do on our side. Are you all
- 11 done?
- MR. GOLDMAN: We are -- I just have a couple of follow-up
- 13 questions.
- MR. MEADOWS: Is that a congressional couple?
- MR. GOLDMAN: I think we are 5 or 10 --
- MR. MEADOWS: -- or is that a Goldman couple?
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I don't think we'll go through whole another
- 18 round, I hope.
- MR. MEADOWS: So Mr. Ambassador, I want to kind of close out. I
- think we're going to just keep our questions very limited. I don't
- 21 want to -- maybe clarify a couple of things. First, thank you for your
- 22 service, for your continued service. And certainly, as you're going
- through the process as acting, awaiting for confirmation --
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Excuse me, sir, just to clarify, I have never
- been nominated to the position.

- MR. MEADOWS: Okay. So you're just in the acting role. Is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. Technically I was informed that
- 4 the -- he's leaving for -- that the Vacancies Act -- after all
- 5 that -- according to the Vacancies Act, I have used up the time
- available or the time where I'm allowed to be formally known as the
- 7 Acting Assistant Secretary.
- 8 MR. MEADOWS: So you've used up over 270 --
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. That sounds right. Time flies when
- 10 you're having fun -- and so I was informed by the Legal Advisor's
- 11 Office, I think, or the human -- or the personnel people that,
- technically, I should be referred to as the senior bureau official.
- 13 And I --
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, Ambassador Senior Bureau Official.
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I've been called an SOB but never an SBO.
- MR. MEADOWS: I won't refer to you in that way.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I just want that on the record.
- MR. MEADOWS: Yeah. I'm not normally speechless, but you got me.
- So let me go back to two or three different things. Your role
- 20 right now, the way that you view it overseeing the 50 different
- countries and going forward, you feel like you have fairly clear
- direction in terms of where you need to be going? And I'll let you
- 23 answer that first.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, sir. I mean, I came -- you know, as
- I've testified, this was not something I expected nor aspired to. But

- as I said, my wife and I discussed when the Secretary of State asks
- you to do something and you're a Foreign Service officer, you don't
- say no. And my commander, boss at European Command, General
- 4 Scaparotti, understood that, fully supported the decision. And so my
- 5 understanding was I was coming back to provide leadership in the Bureau
- 6 to take care of the people, to oversee the seven --
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: Right.
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Or I would say eight DAS's continuity of the
- 9 policies that had been established, the strategies that had been put
- into place. And not just leadership of the Bureau but engagement, and
- engagement being a key word the Secretary said. And I mention it often
- publicly when I said so, what do you want me to do? Engagement is key
- that the Secretary said, even when we differ; if you criticize without
- engagement, that leads to estrangement, and that's not what we want,
- so that's partially why I spent a lot of time on the road.
- MR. MEADOWS: And you said about 50 percent of your time on the
- 17 road.
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: It has been 50/50.
- 19 MR. MEADOWS: You continue to travel and do that, continue to
- 20 travel with Secretary Pompeo from time to time?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: When he goes to European destinations,
- generally I do, not every single time, but usually I do. And then,
- I often will represent him at certain things where he just can't be
- 24 every place that ministerials or --
- 25 MR. MEADOWS: So would you say that you still have the confidence

- of Secretary Pompeo?
- 2 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I believe so, as of yesterday.
- MR. MEADOWS: Well, since you've been here today. I think that
- 4 probably bodes well.
- 5 So were you ever informed by any Ukrainian official about a delay
- 6 in the foreign aid?
- 7 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No. I just haven't had, you know, other than
- 8 sort of meeting President Zelensky.
- 9 MR. MEADOWS: It's fine. I didn't think so.
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm not the channel of communication.
- MR. MEADOWS: My colleague opposite earlier was talking about a
- readout of the phone call. Are you aware that the Ukrainians provided
- a readout of the phone call between President Zelensky and President
- 14 Trump that was published? Did you get a copy of that?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm -- I'm not sure that I was aware of that
- or that I did. What I am familiar with is what was released by the
- 17 White House.
- MR. MEADOWS: Right. But the Ukrainians, we're aware in
- 19 deposition from previous witnesses that it was actually -- I believe
- it came from Mr. Volker -- a readout from Ukrainians, because
- 21 Mr. Volker and Mr. Sondland both said that the Ukrainians felt good
- about the call. Did they convey that to you?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, as I think I've testified, Kurt did
- send me a WhatsApp message and saying, Great call with POTUS.
- MR. MEADOWS: So Mr. Volker, did he talk about -- if he says,

- great call, do you believe that that was meant to be a great call from
- 2 President Trump's standpoint, or from President Zelensky's standpoint,
- 3 or both?
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, I -- I couldn't say. I really
- 5 couldn't. I just remember being that -- saying something to the group,
- I said, Oh, I heard from Kurt, it was a great call --
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: So Ambassador Volker, Special Envoy to the Ukraine,
- 8 never said that he had any concerns about the phone call. Is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 AMBASSADOR REEKER: To me directly? No. You know, he had broad
- 11 concerns about moving forward, getting that White House meeting.
- MR. MEADOWS: The meeting.
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, moving the process forward,
- 14 getting the President to understand. He was very clear that when they
- briefed the President after the inauguration on the 23rd of May, he
- said, I just kept going back to the positive, but Mr. President, we
- have opportunities to work with this new President of Ukraine and his
- 18 team.
- 19 MR. MEADOWS: So would you say that Ambassador Volker, Ambassador
- 20 Sondland, Secretary Perry, and Senator Ron Johnson all were talking
- about how that this can be a new day for Ukraine in terms of a new
- government, kind of a reset of the corrupt practices of the past?
- 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, I think that's a hope. And the readout
- 24 from the meeting that I got was that the delegation will work with
- 25 Ukrainian Government in context of push for reform and to flag the

- 1 President's concerns about corruption, poor investment climate, and
- oligarchic control of the economy. And ensure that Zelensky comes
- 3 prepared to demonstrate his commitment to reform and improving
- 4 U.S.-Ukraine bilateral relationship.
- 5 MR. MEADOWS: Yeah. So have you heard since the aid has been
- 6 released, and -- have you heard about concerns of possible oligarch
- 7 influence within the new Zelensky administration?
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: There are reports of those kinds of things
- 9 where you would imagine questions ---
- MR. MEADOWS: Specifically, people that are closely aligned with
- oligarch, they were closely aligned with Zelensky, now getting
- positions in the new government. I think some of your other colleagues
- have testified to that. Have you heard any of that?
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah. There was always the question about
- 15 Mr. -- it wasn't so late I would remember, Kola --
- 16 MR. CASTOR: Kolomoisky.
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Kolomoisky, the exiled oligarch, who he was
- said to be close with, but there is still my understanding is that
- there's still questions about what role and what influence he has.
- MR. MEADOWS: And so let me close with this: Generally speaking,
- 21 you're -- the aid that was released on September the 11th and 12th of
- 22 2019, actually had a higher proportion of that money going towards
- defensive weapons and military options, versus previous appropriations
- 24 and administrations. Is that correct?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, I know that the fiscal 2019 amount

- for Ukraine State USAID, and that includes of course State stuff that's
- been passed to DOD for various military programs was \$445.7 million.
- That's a plus-up of over \$30 million from fiscal 2018. The Javelins,
- of course, was something that was new and just under this administration
- that had not been, if I'm recalling correctly, but it is not something
- 6 that I was tracking at all.
- 7 MR. MEADOWS: That's correct, as a defensive weapon?
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah.
- 9 MR. MEADOWS: And so in that increase, would you say that proper
- 10 U.S.-Ukraine policy would be to continue with additional defensive
- weapons support going forward?
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I mean, I would leave that specific judgment
- and recommendation to the experts in that. And my -- many of them,
- former colleagues at EUCOM, or at DOD in our political military bureau
- at State, where most of that -- I wouldn't want to -- I think that's
- an important component. But I would defer and get an expert position
- on that before I would want -- but I do believe that that is an important
- component of what we're doing to help Ukraine. And, of course, the
- 19 Ukrainians are also buying and paying for weapon systems.
- 20 MR. MEADOWS: I yield back.
- 21 Mr. Chairman, for the record, I just want to say thank you for
- 22 hearing me out you on some of my concerns today.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I -- and I thank you. I look forward to
- 24 working with you through the rest of the duration here.
- Ambassador -- no, feel free to consult with counsel at any time.

- I hope this will be the lightning round to finish off here. So you
- 2 may know nothing about the topics I'm going to ask you about, if you
- don't, just say so and we can move on.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Okay.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: If you do, if you can be succinct, we will get to
- it quicker. During the time when Secretary Perry was one of the Three
- 7 Amigos with this portfolio, did you have any interaction with him?
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. I met Secretary Perry when we traveled
- 9 from -- let me get this in the right order. We attended the Three Seas
- 10 Initiative Summit. This is an initiative of central European
- 11 countries that ties in Baltics, Black Sea, and Adriatic countries
- focused largely on energy diversification, and so, the --
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: When would that have been?
- 14 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That's what I will find for you. I'm sorry.
- Just -- there it is. I traveled with the Secretary to Berlin to make
- up for the trip, he'd have to cancel. We went on to The Hague for Global
- 17 Entrepreneurship Summit; and then, I was in Brussels for the dinner
- 18 on June the 4th.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, you're undercutting the lightning
- 20 round here.
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Sorry. But this gets right to it. So
- 22 Secretary Perry was at that dinner, and he was also at the dinner. And
- we then flew the next morning from Brussels to Ljubljana .
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: So when would that be?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: June the 5th.

UNCLASSIFIED

- THE CHAIRMAN: And during your traveling or meeting with 1 Secretary Perry during this time, did you discuss Ukraine? 2 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, Gordon Sondland was along with us, and I think there was some general discussion, because we definitely, 4 I recall, this is where I remember the discussion about the need to 5 stockpile and to get the Ukrainian -- this is summer, and they are 6 already thinking ahead to winter. If the Russians turn off the tap 7 at the end of their contracts, will the Ukrainians have stockpiled? 8 And that was an assignment that Secretary Perry was sort of undertaking. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: During that period when you were traveling with 10 Sondland and Perry, were you present for any conversation, or 11 participate in any conversation with either Sondland or Perry about 12 Burisma, the Bidens, the 2016 -- desire to investigate 2016, did those 13 14 topics come up in any of those discussions? AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do not recall anything specific to that. 15 It was really focused on this Three Seas and then moving forward with 16 Zelensky. Gee, didn't he seem like a good guy? I think he's on the 17 right track from the dinner. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: So you weren't present for any conversations about 19 those other investigative interests of Mr. Giuliani and others? 20 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, I don't recall any there. And there was 21 22 another time --THE CHAIRMAN: Did Giuliani's name come up at any during that 23 24 period?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Not -- not that I recall. I can't swear it

- didn't in passing, but I don't recall.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And you mentioned you had one other interaction
- 3 with Secretary Perry.
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes, it was later after we were back. And
- on June 18th, kind of following up all of that, we went over -- Gordon
- 6 was back in town, so it was Secretary Sondland, Volker, and Ulrich
- 7 Brechbuhl joined us, and I went, which was probably not usual, because
- 8 I wasn't doing that much on this with them, but they invited me along,
- and I was in town, I had an opportunity. So we went over to DOE to
- 10 Secretary Perry's office to kind of follow up.
- THE CHAIRMAN: The date of that, again?
 - 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That was June the 18th, according to my
 - 13 calendar. And I just want to --
 - 14 THE CHAIRMAN: And then in that conversation, did the Bidens,
 - Burisma, 2016, the black ledger, DNC server, any of those issues come
 - 16 up?
 - 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No. It was a meeting and a number of other
 - 18 people there. And by that time, Bill Taylor was on the ground in Kyiv,
 - and he came in by conference call. I think he references that in
- 20 his -- in his prepared statement that was officially or not officially
- 21 released.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And apart from what you've already testified to,
- did you have any other conversations, or come across any other documents
- pertaining to an investigation of the Bidens or Burisma or the 2016
- 25 election?

- AMBASSADOR REEKER: To the best of my knowledge and recollection, only the references in the various press things or references to those discussions, but I'd never seen, that I can recall, any particular documents about those subjects, no.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And you never discussed specific -- you never discussed with either Kent, or Volker, or Sondland, or Perry, or anyone else the desire by Giuliani to investigate the Bidens?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, it was in that oblique -- that's not the right word, it was in the, like, the discussion with Kurt who was trying, as he said, I'm going to try to talk to Rudy to get him refocused on the now, and how we move forward.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And did the Bidens come up in that conversation? 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: But I don't recall anybody mentioning the 13 Bidens, per se. You know, it was just one of those things it was always 14 out there, because, of course, Giuliani was talking about it and the 15 press was writing about it all the time. And George too, you know, 16 we, in our general discussions, as I have alluded to now many times, 17 he had these four strands of narrative that were coming out, some of 18 these sort of conspiracy theories, and one of them was that. 19
- And so, you know, we would attribute certain things, here comes another press story that's still feeding on that, or is Rudy himself talking about that?
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did you participate in a May 16 meeting at State
 Department with David Hale and Fiona Hill?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yes. That sounds familiar. I think Fiona

- came over -- yeah, 3:45 to 4:15 p.m., according to my calendar.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And who set up that meeting and what was its
- 3 purpose?
- 4 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm not sure I can remember. I know I had
- an email afterwards saying -- where David said, I found that useful,
- it's good to do just to kind of -- I think we call it a signals check
- or something. I know she -- I do remember one of the topics was the
- issue of Gordon, she had had, I think a -- she was frustrated by Gordon's
- 9 role again, this irregular role. And that certainly came up there.
- 10 I know at some point. I don't know if it was that early she -- but
- it may have been them talking about having had a real sort of clash
- with Gordon, words -- words were exchanged, I think. And I just don't
- 13 remember the details of that, but it fell into the same category of
- what I'd raised with Hale over the -- I mean, I guess I had been around
- about almost 3 months at that point, irregular role.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And did Fiona Hill ask whether Sondland had been
- given a charter to be one of the leads on Ukraine?
- 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I think that was part of the question. And
- 19 "P," or, as I know him, David Hale, the Under Secretary, focused that,
- oh, he seems to have that role. And --
- THE CHAIRMAN: So during the meeting, Hale affirmed that at the
- 22 President's direction, Sondland was, in fact, at least one of the three
- people in charge of the Ukraine?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I seem to recall, I mean, it would be David's
- 25 style to say something like, you know, it appears that way, or just

- like saying in response to my question, sort of, can you explain to
- me this role, and he just said, irregular, isn't it? I don't remember
- 3 the specifics of the conversation, but --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anything else you do recall about the
- 5 conversation?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, not --
- 7 BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q Did you discuss the inaugural delegation to Ukraine that was
- going on May 20th, since this was 4 days before?
- 10 A We very well could have, because I do remember David asking,
- 11 Well, who's going? Because there was a time we thought the Vice
- 12 President would go. I knew the Secretary couldn't go. We wanted
- high-level participation, that was he, again, showing support, showing
- engagement getting off to a good start. Gordon was pushing for that
- too. And I knew the Secretary couldn't go. And there was talk at a
- 16 certain time that possibly the Vice President would go.
- 17 O Do you know why he ultimately didn't go?
- 18 A I don't.
- 19 Q Did Dr. Hill express concern about Ambassador Sondland
- 20 going?
- 21 A I don't remember that specifically, but I -- to me it was,
- well, of course Gordon's going to go, that was -- and then I remember --
- 23 Q So you --
- 24 A -- asking who is going now? And I think I -- I had heard
- 25 from the team that they were looking now at Secretary Perry, and that

- 1 Kurt would go, and Gordon.
- Q Why was it, of course, that Sondland would go before the May
- 3 23rd meeting?
- A Because Sondland was, you know, he was the Zelensky -- he
- was determined to be -- he was, I am going to lead on this, and
- 6 underscore his role in working on Ukraine and bringing the Europeans
- along, being there with others. He was always eager and ready to go
- 8 to just about anything anywhere in the continent.
- 9 Q And this was just a few days after Mr. Giuliani canceled his
- 10 much-publicized trip over there, right?
- 11 A I don't recall when that was. I remember the talk about him
- going and then that he decided not to go, but I don't recall the dates.
- Q Well, if we look at exhibit 1, it's the New York Times
- 14 article, it is dated May 9th, but we can just flag that for the record.
- 15 A There you go.
- 16 MR. GOLDMAN: Mr. Chairman.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, did you speak with Volker or Kent in
- advance of their meeting with Zelensky in Toronto?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Well, in the sense -- it depends how you
- 20 mean. Specifically -- I mean, in advance, I mean, I spoke to them on
- and off, I saw George Kent almost every day when I was in the office.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did you speak about what was going to take place
- in Toronto? What their message was going to be, that they were going
- to speak -- that Ambassador Volker was going to speak to Zelensky, what
- 25 he was going to communicate to in that meeting?

- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't recall -- specifically, I knew
 George was going. Again, there had been questions about who might go.
- At a time, I thought I might go, it was an opportunity to focus a little
- 4 more on Ukraine, but it didn't work in the travel schedule, and these
- guys were handling it so we came to the determination that probably
- 6 Kurt and George were the right combo for that.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: And did Ambassador Volker tell you what he planned
- 8 to discuss with Zelensky before he left for the trip?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't recall that specifically. The date
- 10 of that, I know --
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: The conference was, I think, was July 2nd, 3rd.
- 12 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That sounds right.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did he discuss with you after the conference what
- 14 he communicated to President Zelensky?
- 15 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I will take another quick look at our
- 16 WhatsApp, but I don't think there were any other emails that I don't
- 17 remember specifically. No, there was nothing in that period.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Did you ever discuss with Ambassador Volker or
- 19 Secretary Kent what took place, what was communicated in Toronto to
- 20 Zelensky?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't recall specifically doing that.
- THE CHAIRMAN: You may have been asked this earlier, if you have,
- 23 I apologize. Are you familiar with the July 10 meeting at the White
- House between Yermak, Danylyuk and various U.S. Government officials?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: I've seen reference to it. I couldn't tell

- you at this moment if it's more contemporary reference recounting it,
- in terms of all of the news coverage or some of these transcripts or
- 3 testimony from this process.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Did you get a readout about what happened at the
- 5 meeting after it took place?
- 6 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't recall that I did. And I don't know
- 7 that I would have. I don't recall if any of our guys were there, or
- 8 Kurt was there, or Gordon was there or not.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: I believe they both were there. But you got no
- 10 readout from anybody who was present?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Sir, I don't recall any particular readout.
- 12 Again, I -- you know, I get 1,000 emails a day.
- THE CHAIRMAN: I know this was a pretty significant meeting,
- though, and it's obviously an important part of your portfolio.
- There are text messages, Ambassador Volker to Mr. Yermak, before
- the Trump-Zelensky call, in which Volker communicates to Yermak, Heard
- from White House as soon as President Z convinces Trump, he will
- investigate/get to the bottom of what happened in 2016. We will nail
- down date for visit to Washington. Good luck. Do you know what that
- 20 was referring to?
- 21 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I'm not familiar with the text message.
- THE CHAIRMAN: No, I'm not asking if you're familiar with the text
- 23 message. But do you understand what Ambassador Volker was referring
- to when he said to Yermak, assuming President Z convinces Trump, he
- will investigate/get to the bottom of what happened in 2016. We will

- nail down the visit, date for a visit to Washington. Do you know what
- he's talking about there?
- 3 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't know specifically. I mean, based on
- 4 all of news reporting now, one can assume, but I wasn't aware of the
- 5 email or the message.
- THE CHAIRMAN: So throughout this process and up until July 25th,
- 7 which was the date of the call, and the date of his text, Ambassador
- 8 Volker never told you that he was trying to get President Zelensky to
- 9 commit to President Trump that he would investigate and get to the
- 10 bottom of what happened in 2016?
- 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: He never brought that to your attention?
- 13 AMBASSADOR REEKER: They were focusing -- I mean, I did not talk
- to Kurt that often, as you see from the texts. I don't recall anything,
- 15 you know -- his focus was on trying to move forward on the broad strategy
- 16 which --
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: The question is how he was trying to move forward
- 18 with the strategy, and --
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: He did not consult with me on his process or
- 20 his steps in the process.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And were you aware of efforts by either Ambassador
- 22 Volker or Ambassador Sondland to get President Zelensky to commit to
- these two investigations, either of these two investigations the
- 24 President wanted, that of the Bidens, or that of 2016?
- 25 AMBASSADOR REEKER: What I was aware was the reference I made that

- Gordon had a script to move forward with Zelensky, but the specifics
- of that, I was not part of, no.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: So throughout all the months that Ambassador
- 4 Sondland was working on this and Ambassador Volker was working on this,
- 5 neither one ever brought to your attention that they were trying to
- get President Zelensky to commit to these two investigations the
- 7 President wanted either before he would get a meeting, or during that
- 8 call?
- 9 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, not the specifics of -- you know, it was
- 10 convincing, you know, as I read to you the idea was to convince the
- 11 President as I put if, flag POTUS's concern, yeah, to push for reforms,
- flag POTUS's concern about corruption and poor investment climate, and
- ensure that Zelensky comes prepared to demonstrate his commitment to
- reform and improving U.S.-Ukraine bilateral relationship.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And you're reading from what now?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: This again is the May 23rd readout that Kurt
- 17 Volker sent specifically to me.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you've got this official readout?
- 19 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Uh-huh.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But then you've got this other channel that you're
- 21 not aware of, in which they are seeking to get a commitment from Zelensky
- to do these investigations. Is that your testimony that you're aware
- of the official ask, which you've referenced the memo, but in terms
- of what Ambassador Volker is talking about in this text message you
- 25 had no knowledge of that going on?

1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I did not, no. THE CHAIRMAN: And did you have any knowledge of comments made 2 by Ambassador Sondland, Ambassador Volker, or others indicating that 3 the military aid itself was being withheld, as a way of getting Ukraine 4 to commit to these investigations? Did that come to your attention? 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: No, I mean -- again, in the conversations 6 within the office of George and others, what is holding this up, why 7 Mulvaney is behind this, is that right? How do we push this --8 In those conversations did someone raise the 9 THE CHAIRMAN: concern, Hey, is this being done to get Zelensky to do these 10 investigations? 11 AMBASSADOR REEKER: That supposition, that idea is that is that 12 possible I think was potentially one of things out there. But I had 13 14 no indication that that was it. Nobody knew, and I -- we kept focusing on pursuing this PCC process because that's the way to get to a real 15 16 decision. THE CHAIRMAN: Well, who raised that concern that aid might be 17 withheld for the reason of pressuring Ukraine to do the investigations. 18 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I couldn't remember if that's something 19 George was talking about. Subsequently, I've seen the references that 20 Bill Taylor made to that. 21 22 THE CHAIRMAN: You said somebody contemporaneously had raised this concern. Who was that? 23 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I just couldn't tell you. Probably George 24

would be the most likely person, as we looked at the, you know, where

25

- do things stand on a periodic check-in on this, what's holding up?
- What's happening there? And the focus continued to be we keep pressing
- forward through this PCC process to try to get this assistance.
- THE CHAIRMAN: And after the call, after the July 25th call, were
- you aware that there was an effort to get President Zelensky to publicly
- 6 commit to doing these two investigations before he could get a White
- 7 House meeting? Were you aware of that effort?
- 8 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I do not recall that specifically, no.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: You would recall it if Ambassador Volker, or
- 10 Ambassador Sondland, or someone had told you about it. I assume you'd
- 11 recall something like that?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: Probably. I don't, so I can't -- I can't
- tell you if it did in the course of everything else.
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Were you aware that Ambassador Volker was -- a
- different part of chronology, but are you aware that Ambassador Volker
- was trying to help arrange a meeting between Giuliani and Yermak in
- 17 Madrid?
- AMBASSADOR REEKER: You know, I've read that since and I -- so
- 19 I don't -- I do not believe that was the specific -- I think as far
- 20 as Kurt and I got was that he was trying to, you know -- he was going
- to talk to Rudy and try to deal with that impediment, that that would
- 22 perhaps help with the President's attitude towards Ukraine if he could
- 23 make Rudy understand.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But he never told you he was trying to arrange a
- 25 meeting between Giuliani and Yermak in Madrid?

- 1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I don't remember that specifically, no.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Is it fair to say there were a great many things
- that Ambassador Volker didn't share with you about his efforts,
- 4 vis-à-vis Rudy Giuliani?
- 5 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Yeah, we didn't talk about it much. He -- as
- I said, he mentioned it. It wasn't a secret, but we weren't in touch
- 7 regularly. You know, he mentioned that he was going to try to do that.
- 8 And as this went on, I had my own, wondering what things could be. It
- 9 was all a little strange. We still didn't have a meeting. We still
- 10 didn't have the assistance, until we did.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me ask you, at the end of August, end of August,
- early September, I think Senator Ron Johnson gave an interview in which
- he said something to the effect that Sondland had indicated there was
- a quid pro quo involving a commitment by Ukraine to investigate matters
- related to 2016 and the frozen aid. Were you aware of that at the time
- that article came out about that conversation?
- 17 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I remember reading that. I don't know if you
- 18 know exactly the date that was.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: I believe that was August -- interview with
- 20 Senator Johnson. August 30th, I believe. Did someone bring that
- 21 article to your attention?
- 22 AMBASSADOR REEKER: I -- I just -- I don't recall.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Would it have concerned you if Ambassador Sondland
- had told a U.S. Senator that the aid was being withheld in order to
- 25 get Ukraine to commit to investigating matters relating to 2016?

1 AMBASSADOR REEKER: Would it have concerned me? Yes.

- 1 [7:03 p.m.]
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Goldman.
- BY MR. GOLDMAN:
- Q I just have one more topic. The NODIS cable that Ambassador
- 5 Taylor sent to Secretary Pompeo on August 29th --
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 O NODIS cables of that -- first-person NODIS cables like that
- 8 are pretty unusual within the Department. Is that right?
- 9 A I mean, they are not the most common, but they're
- not -- they're not totally unique. I mean, you know.
- 11 Q Okay. Well, how many times a year do you think that one of
- 12 your 50 --
- 13 A Ambassadors?
- 14 0 -- ambassadors, right -- sent notice cables, first-person
- 15 like that to the Secretary?
- A It would be rare. So, I mean, I'm not trying to be glib.
- 17 Q Right.
- A I'm just sort of saying it's not unprecedented, but it's
- 19 certainly unique, by definition.
- O Do you know what the Secretary did with the cable at the time?
- A No, I don't.
- 22 O And --
- 23 A I, you know, his -- his staff give him stuff to read, so I
- 24 assumed, as I mentioned, I saw it later.
- Q So just to be clear, something that's rare like this within

- your portfolio that goes directly to the Secretary, was not brought
- 2 to your attention at the time?
- A I -- August 29th was prior to the Warsaw -- anticipating
- 4 Warsaw visit. It was kind of a scene setter for that, and laid out,
- as I think I mentioned, the significance of Ukraine as a security
- 6 partner.
- Q No, I'm just asking whether you think it's unusual if you
- 8 were not notified of a cable like that within one of your 50 countries?
- 9 A You know, whether it came down and was shared, I'm not sure
- if George saw it or read it.
- 11 Q I'm just asking about you.
- 12 A Yeah, I would have -- I would have liked to see it. I would
- have thought that, I mean, Bill might have, sort of, flagged, I'm
- 14 sending this. But I -- I did not have a communication from Bill at
- that point. And he was dealing primarily with -- with George inside
- 16 the Bureau.
- But now, I think I'm probably equating what -- what I have read
- of Bill's testimony that he had met during Ambassador Bolton's visit
- 19 to Kyiv on that subject.
- Q But you affirmatively did not know about this cable when it
- 21 came in?
- 22 A Not -- not that I recall.
- Q Okay. You just testified that you travel a fair bit with
- 24 Secretary Pompeo when he goes to Europe. Is that right?
- A When he does European trips, I usually accompany him. Not

- 1 always --
- Q Right.
- A -- but I usually accompany him.
- O So that's a fair bit of time that you end up spending with
- 5 him over the past 6, 8 months, right?
- A Not that much, I mean, we can go back through it if you look
- 7 at it. There have been several -- several trips, but they are short.
- 8 And I don't have that much actual time with him, but, you know --
- O Did you discuss the Ukraine-related issues with him on any
- 10 of those trips?
- A No. The only time, in Rome, after all of this happened, we
- discussed -- at one juncture, I was included in a pre-brief, I think,
- before an -- either remarks or an interview or something, which I'm
- not always, and I -- because I mentioned that there was a press report
- out that said that -- I said to the spokespeople and the Secretary:
- You may be asked. There's a press report that says you asked Volker
- 17 to submit his resignation.
- And he said: I didn't ask Volker. Volker called me and told me
- 19 he had to submit his resignation. And he was very disturbed and
- 20 disappointed about that because, as he said, and I agreed, Kurt was
- a, you know, he was the guy. He was really crucial to our moving forward
- on this path, and now we will have to readjust that. So --
- Q Did you get the sense that Secretary Pompeo knew about this
- irregular channel with Rudy Giuliani, Ambassador Sondland, and
- Ambassador Volker, and pushing these investigations?

UNCLASSIFIED

- 1 A He certainly knew about Sondland and Volker leading the
- 2 engagement, but --
- Q And what about the investigations that Giuliani wanted?
- 4 A -- no indication.
- Q He never said -- spoke to you about it at all?
- 6 A No, sir.
- Q Was this trip to Rome when the call record came out and the
- 8 whistleblower complaint came out, or shortly thereafter?
- 9 A That occurred, I believe, the week before while we were in
- 10 New York for the UNGA --
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A -- and the President was there also. And that is, of course,
- when the President had the Zelensky meeting in New York, and Kurt had
- emailed -- not emailed, but I think WhatsApp'd me, asking if I could
- 15 help get him manifested for that meeting.
- And I just said: Well, I passed that to the Secretary's executive
- 17 secretary to --
- Q And when you were on that Rome trip, did you discuss this
- 19 Ukraine matter at all?
- A We really didn't. The mention I just gave about the -- the
- 21 question that he might get. Of course, there was a lot of press
- questions at each of his availabilities. And, you know, to be clear,
- when we are on these trips, I'm not hanging with the Secretary by any
- 24 means.
- Q Are you aware of whether or not the Attorney General was

- either in Italy around the time that you were there, or had been shortly
- before?
- A That I learned from press, and I was being asked that by
- 4 Italians. I know a lot of Italians -- by Italian journalists, and I
- 5 really don't know anything about that. But I have read about that
- 6 since, you know. And that question came up at the Secretary's --
- 7 O But you have no personal knowledge of --
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q -- the Attorney General's trips to Italy at all?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you know -- have you come across, since you took over this
- role, that the two individuals, Lev Parnas and Igor Fruman?
- 13 A I never came across them personally. I know their names and
- their connections to Giuliani, and, of course, their recent arrests,
- 15 but that's been in the news.
- 16 Q Did you, prior to their arrests, had you -- were you familiar
- 17 with their association with Giuliani?
- A Yeah, there were press reports, some of those ones that
- 19 circulated in the vast numbers of --
- 20 O How about other than press reports? Did you come across them
- in any of your work?
- A I don't believe so, no. I've never met them, no.
- 23 O And then finally, earlier today when you were discussing the
- sort of irregular nature of the arrangement with Ambassador Sondland
- and Ambassador Volker in response to some questioning from the

- minority, you said at one point, you said: I've often wondered -- and
- then you kind of trailed off and then went to a different question.
- And I'm just wondering if you remember what you often wondered
- 4 about this irregular arrangement?
- 5 A It was -- it was irregular and you started reading all of
- these supposed things, and I've -- I've wondered what was really going
- 7 on. How does this all connect?
- You know, I've seen the outrageous smears and attacks against
- 9 Ambassador Yovanovitch, in particular, George, our embassy, the
- 10 Foreign Service, in general. We have been called Obama holdovers and
- deep State whatever, which, of course, is personally offensive having
- done this for 27 years through one administration to another,
- 13 regardless of party, and being nonpolitical and focused on, you know,
- the foreign policy of the United States, and trying to engage, and
- support our interests, regardless of who the President is.
- So that is certainly a personal frustration. Talking to -- you
- 17 know, I feel responsibility for this large number of people,
- particularly now that I'm old, long in the tooth, and the younger
- 19 generations of Foreign Service officers who, with this process and this
- investigation, I think are really concerned.
- The number of people that are at our embassy certainly in Kyiv,
- but in other places, and in my Bureau who want to know if they need
- 23 to go hire lawyers.
- That's difficult, and trying to know, you know, what is all going
- on, what might be. You know, you read a lot of different things in

- the press. Look, I inherited what I got. I agreed to do this. This
- was not something I asked for. I left a job I liked very much, I found
- 3 worthwhile and interesting at the European command.
- I was within a 3-hour commute of my wife, and now I'm six time
- zones away. I travel 50 percent of the time trying to maintain a
- 6 relationship between the United States and our European partners and
- 7 allies, which is strained, and help them to look at things in a more
- 8 holistic long-term way.
- I try to bring in historical context. We are at the 75th
- anniversary of the end of the Second World War, and what we have done
- together in the three-quarters of a century to bring the transatlantic
- space that is, you know, stable, peaceful, and has given us a level
- of prosperity, despite challenges over the years that no one, like my
- grandfather, who fought in World War II, would have imagined possible.
- So, you know, that's what I do every day. I go in there and I
- deal with all of these things. I get on the United plane in seat 42-G,
- pray that I can get an upgrade with my points so that I can, you know,
- 18 fly to Georgia and engage with a brand-new prime minister there and
- 19 establish a relationship.
- You know, I have to manage and try to do this to the best of my
- 21 ability. That's what I do every day. Ukraine is one piece of that.
- I just remind you all that in the course of this period, you know,
- we have also got Russia, and what they are doing. I've got the Turkey
- 24 problem set. Do you have any ideas how much time we spend on Turkey,
- 25 you know?

UNCLASSIFIED

- Acting Assistant Secretary for Europe, I know more about Syria
- than I would have imagined if I was in the Near East Bureau. You know,
- trying to follow that, not micromanage. I cannot to take on
- 4 everything. So I pass on these things.
- I have seven great deputies. I inherited a great team and
- 6 continuity, and I try to provide leadership and engagement to the 50
- 7 ambassadors of those countries here in Washington, to our 50
- ambassadors and their team around the AOR; to go to the appropriate
- 9 think tanks, conferences, where these things are discussed to try to
- 10 tell the story of the United States.
- And that's -- I'm sorry to go on, but that's just kind of what
- 12 I do.
- Q Last question. I just want to be sure that we didn't miss
- 14 any documents that might be particularly relevant to these
- 15 investigations.
- The Biden-Burisma, I don't know whether you or your counsel had
- 17 a chance to review.
- A Did we find the one email? Yes, this was the one that I
- think -- I think I covered this. This is going back to the Masha, trying
- to put out a statement March 25th, so exactly a week after I started
- where we got the word from P, the Under Secretary's office. At 12:04
- "P says no statement."
- 23 Q Right.
- A That was just somebody that asked for that and we couldn't
- 25 find it. I didn't know where it was.

- 1 Q And, once again, you never determined whether -- who that
- directive came from, or who that decision came from? It was relayed
- 3 to you by P, but you never --
- A It was relayed. It was P staff. "P says," so I was assuming
- that was him, himself, because his staffer was, again, not sending it
- to me. He was sending it to the press folks who were pursuing this
- 7 clearance process.
- 8 Q Understood. All right.
- 9 A And I said at the top of this -- I think we talked about
- it -- to Michael, my then acting deputy: Did you reach Masha to let
- 11 her know that there will not be a statement, essentially?
- 12 Q Okay. I have nothing else.
- A And in terms of documents, I just, I'm not -- you know,
- documents have not been provided. I have no authority to do that. So
- 15 I've --
- THE CHAIRMAN: Ambassador, I want to thank you for your testimony
- today, and we are adjourned.
- [Whereupon, at 7:13 p.m., the deposition was concluded.]